“Forensics was my glee club.”

~ Chris Colfer, Kurt on Glee
CDE DEBATE HANDBOOKS 2010-2011: REDUCING OUR MILITARY PRESENCE

“The most thorough research material available.” Butch Hamm, Ryle HS (Kentucky)

“Unique evidence and arguments unavailable elsewhere.” J. Prager, California

“I wouldn’t go a year without CDE.” V. Zabel, Deer Creek

“Your CX blocks are great.” Tim Hughes, Belton HS

“So much more complete than all the other handbooks that I don’t see how they stay in business.” J. Dean, Texas

“These are the best handbooks I have ever seen.” Coach, Highland Park HS

“Of the 700 plus pages in your 3 books there wasn’t one thing we didn’t end up using; we discarded or gave our novices most of the handbooks we bought from other companies.” Jen Johnson, Florida

“Your generic blocks are really good. I get bothered by how much duplication all the other handbooks have, it’s like they’re all written by the same person.” John Denton-Hill, Texas

- NATIONAL CAMP SURVEY ranks CDE Handbooks “the best in the nation.”

- Texas-based speech newsletter finds CDE Handbooks and Affirmative Cases Book the biggest, most complete, and best debate books available.

- The ROCKY MOUNTAIN EDUCATION Survey looked at CDE, Baylor, Paradigm, DRG, Squirrel Killers, West Coast, Michigan, Communican, and Harvard. They rank CDE best in every category except editing.

600+ pages, disadvantages on minorities, women, terrorism, economies of scale, national security, defense, sphere of influence, credibility, treaty obligations, person power, proliferation, and much more. And hundreds on topicality, harm attack blocks, harm turns on issues such as soft power and drug interdiction, incrementalism, trend blocks, kritiks from scare rhetoric to nuclearism, counterplans from consultation to IGOs and alternative agents

By e-mail or paper, 3 book set for $86 (or on CD with Affirmative Cases Book just $98).
Summer, 2010

University of Texas
National Institute in Forensics

We invite you to join us for the 17th Annual UT National Institute in Forensics, and to come and see why UTNIF continues to be one of the largest and most accomplished summer forensics programs in the country.

www.utspeech.net
www.utdebatecamp.com

UTNIF Alumni


What will you spend your summer preparing for?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Arrival</th>
<th>Departure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual Events</td>
<td>June 22, 2010</td>
<td>July 6, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual Events + Extension</td>
<td>June 22, 2010</td>
<td>July 10, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CX Debate Session 1 (Marathon &amp; Experienced)</td>
<td>June 20, 2010</td>
<td>July 9, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CX Debate Session 2 (Marathon &amp; Experienced)</td>
<td>July 12, 2010</td>
<td>July 31, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CX Debate Supersession/Survivors</td>
<td>June 20, 2010</td>
<td>July 31, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTNIF CX Novice</td>
<td>July 16, 2010</td>
<td>July 25, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln-Douglas Debate</td>
<td>July 12, 2010</td>
<td>July 25, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln-Douglas Debate + Extension</td>
<td>July 12, 2010</td>
<td>July 30, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UIL Focus CX Minisession A</td>
<td>July 13, 2010</td>
<td>July 19, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UIL Focus CX Minisession B</td>
<td>July 21, 2010</td>
<td>July 27, 2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UTNIF
Dept. of Communication Studies
1 University Station
Mail Code A1105
Austin, Texas 78712-1105

Phone: 512-471-1957
Fax: 512-232-1481

Email:
Speech: mrcox@mail.utexas.edu
Debate: jd.rollins@mail.utexas.edu

Rostrum
Lincoln Financial Group has been a valued partner in growing forensic education since 1995. The NFL extends its deepest thanks to Lincoln Financial Group for its outstanding commitment to tomorrow’s leaders.


High school debating named after Abraham Lincoln and his most famous adversary was celebrated in Washington, DC, in February, just a few days before Lincoln’s birthday.

At a special ceremony, Lincoln National Life Insurance Company (“Lincoln Life”) announced its sponsorship of the National Forensic League’s Lincoln Douglas Debates. Two respected National Forensic League alumni, NBC-TV news anchor Jane Pauley and C-SPAN CEO Brian Lamb, received awards. Pauley was presented with the National Forensic League’s “Communicator of the Year” Award. Lamb received the “Spirit of Lincoln” Award from Lincoln Life and the Lincoln Museum. The Lincoln Museum, located in Fort Wayne Indiana, has one of the largest collections of Lincoln memorabilia in the world.

“Lincoln Life is proud to sponsor the Lincoln Douglas Debates,” said Jon Boscia, Lincoln Life President. By sponsoring the debates, we’re not only preserving the legacy of President Lincoln, we’re also underwriting the future of America.”

Held at the prestigious National Press Club, the Washington celebration also included prize-winning student debaters Jason Baldwin and Claire Carman who gave a model debate on the topic, “Resolved: That the spirit of the law ought to take priority over the letter of the law”...

... “We are extremely excited that Lincoln Life has become the sponsor of the 1995 Lincoln Douglas Debates,” said National Forensic League Executive Secretary James Copeland. “This company, which originally received permission from Lincoln’s son, Robert Lincoln, for its name, is the ideal sponsor for an event which is modeled after the original debates. Abraham Lincoln spent his entire political career debating and speaking about the moral questions facing American society. The Lincoln Douglas Debates carry on that tradition.”

Looking Back

Thank You!

1995-Present
Dear NFL,

This month we celebrate 30 years of Lincoln Douglas Debate as a national event. During milestone years like this it is common to ask, “How has this event changed our community?”

Primarily, thousands upon thousands of students have used the event as a vehicle for learning. With priceless life skills at their command, many former Lincoln Douglas competitors have assumed leadership roles in top businesses, law firms, political organizations, nonprofits, and educational institutions. Their impact extends far beyond the forensic community to touch lives throughout our nation and world.

The content of the debates has also influenced forensics and society. Lincoln Douglas Debate exposes participants to value propositions, encouraging them to consider moral value judgments and philosophical premises when making decisions. Examining our core beliefs is a crucial—and often overlooked—prerequisite to progress.

Lincoln Douglas Debate has certainly broadened the scope of high school debate and provided incredible opportunities for many. We are proud to support Lincoln Douglas debaters, coaches, and scholars as we look forward to the next 30 years of dialogue, development, and growth.

Sincerely,

J. Scott Wunn
NFL Executive Director
April 2010
Public Forum Debate
Resolved: On balance, government employee labor unions have a positive impact on the United States.

March/April 2010
Lincoln Douglas Debate
Resolved: In the United States, the principle of jury nullification is a just check on government.

2009-2010
Policy Debate
Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially increase social services for persons living in poverty in the United States.

Thirteen Seek Board of Director Seats

Ballots were mailed to all NFL advisors April 1, 2010. Any chapters not receiving ballots by April 9, 2010, should contact the national office.

All returned ballots must be postmarked by May 1, 2010. Please send ballots to Credentialing Services, Inc., PO Box 1502, Galesburg, IL 61402-1502

The 2010 IDEA/NJFL National Middle School Tournament will be hosted in Des Moines, Iowa, June 24-27

Now is a great time to reach out to your feeder schools and encourage them to become involved in the NJFL!

Promoting meaningful middle school participation is a great way to build your program and expose even more students to the life-changing potential of speech and debate.

Visit www.juniorforensicleague.org for more details.
1. **Individual attention**
   4 to 1 staff to student ratio and the vast majority of your time will be spent in small labs with four to eight people, not in huge faceless lectures and oversized classrooms.

2. **Practice debates and drills**
   In policy debate, you do 5 drills and 10 debates during the first two weeks; 5 practice debates and a 5 round tournament during the third week. In LD and Public Forum, you do 2 debates almost each day of the camp culminating in tournaments.

3. **Evidence and Arguments for Success**
   Our staff research before the camp and you supplement staff research so you won’t go home with a few paltry pieces of evidence and you won’t spend endless hours as a research slave. You’ll leave with at least 2,500 pages of policy, 1,000 pages of LD, and 300 pages of Public Forum materials. Each debater receives chosen prints of files plus electronic versions of all files.

4. **Beautiful location and housing**
   Whitman is located in southeast Washington State. Modern, comfortable classrooms feature fast wireless Internet access with multiple computers and an excellent library. Residence rooms are comfortable, showers are private, and the lounges bring people together for fun.

5. **Family feel with a great staff**
   People at our camp feel connected, not isolated. You’ll work with our fantastic staff: Andy Baker (NDT First Round), Ben Meiches (NFL National Champ), Nate Cohn (NDT First Round), Anjali Vats (NDT Octas), Luke Sanford (CEDA Quarters), Daniel Straus (CEDA Quarters), Mike Meredith (NPDA and CEDA Elims), Paul Montreiul (NDT First Round), James Culver (Semis, Berkeley), Joe Allen (TOC LD Quals), Brie Coyle (NPDA and NPTE Elims).

6. **Transportation to and from the airport**
   Whitman is easily accessed via plane or bus and we provide a shuttle to and from the Pasco and Walla Walla airports.

7. **Cost Effective**
   Compare prices. You will not find any camp that provides the individualized attention, quality of staff and instruction, and amenities we provide at anywhere near the price. See our web page for details.

**ONLINE REGISTRATION, SEE OUR STAFF, AND MORE INFO AT:**
www.whitman.edu/rhetoric/camp/
West Coast Publishing

THE ULTIMATE PACKAGE

- SAVE HUGE AMOUNTS OF MONEY
- It includes all 4 sets listed below

Policy Evidence Set
- **Aff and Neg Books** with Complete Affs, Disads, Counterplans, Kritiks, Topically arguments to get you going on the topic earlier than ever before.
- **Big September Update.** We will go beyond what the camps offer providing a broad array of strategies and arguments.
- **Evidence Updates each month**. Hundreds of pages will be added during the year to provide debaters with the latest evidence and arguments. AND YOU VOTE ON THE UPDATES YOU WANT.
- **Theory Blocks** providing students with the arguments they need when they hit a theory challenge.

LD Evidence Set
- **NFL LDFiles** (50 to 60 pages with topic analysis, aff. and neg. evidence provided for each announced NFL LD topic)
- **UIL LDFiles** (50 to 60 pages with topic analysis, aff. and neg. evidence on each UIL LD topic)
- **PhilosopherFiles** (All of our West Coast Philosopher-Value Handbooks on a web page)
- **LDFiles** (includes over 120 previous West Coast LD Supplements on a web page)

Extemp-Parli-Congress-PublicForum Set
- **NewsViews** featuring articles with the pros and cons on current issues. You receive 20 page updates every two weeks (Sept, Oct, Nov, Jan, Feb, Mar, and one in June). Learn and cite key arguments on current events to do well in Extemp.
- **ParliCongressFiles** provides hundreds of pages of cases and opposition strategies on the latest and recurring arguments with monthly updates. Great for Student Congress and Parliamentory Debate.
- **PublicForumFiles** offers for each Public Forum debate topic 20 pages including a topic analysis, affirmative case and supporting evidence, negative arguments and evidence.

BDB Debate and IE Textbook and Online Training Set
- You access the Textbooks and Prepbooks electronically and save huge amounts of money. You and ALL of your students may view and print the Textbooks and Prepbooks.
- **Includes the Debate Textbooks.** They teach students step by step, with separate texts for POLICY-CX, LD, PARLI, AND PUBLIC FORUM, and include new examples, stories, and advanced tips.
- **Includes the Prepbooks** that involve students in preparing cases, relating, and flowing using real evidence on this year’s POLICY-CX topic and great example I.D and PUBLIC FORUM topics PLUS Parli instruction.
- **Includes the Dictionary of Forensics** with definitions, examples, and uses of terms from Policy, LD, Parli, Public Forum, Argumentation, Rhetoric, and Individual Events. A fantastic resource.
- **Includes the BDB IE Textbook** with 142 pages chock-full of step by step instructions, advanced tips, examples and more on extemp, impromptu, oratory, expository, interpretation and more IEs!
- **Now includes Online Videos, Example Speech and Debate Videos.** Learn with step by step lessons, streaming video with PowerPoint.

Visit www.wcdebate.com
On-line and printable Order Form available at the web site
Simply Functional Fundraising is unique in offering only healthy, all natural products that are exceptionally rich in Omega 3 and Antioxidants.

We offer a selection of delicious products and flavors everyone is sure to love. The current products offered include sensational Salad Dressings, BBQ/Grilling Sauces, and Salsas.
Exceptional Instruction

Lab Leaders
- Mike Wascher • Lake Highland
  Workshop Director & Senior Lab Leader
- Beth Eskin • Timber Creek High School
  Senior Lab Leader
- Carol Green • The Harker School
  Senior Lab Leader
- Jonathan Peele • The Harker School
  Senior Lab Leader
- Jeff Roberts • Mountain Brook
  Senior Lab Leader
- Rob Baron • Eagan High School
  Senior Lab Leader
- Dr. Sandra Berkowitz • The Blake School
  Senior Lab Leader
- Rob Schebel • West Des Valley (IA)
  Senior Lab Leader

Lecturers
- Dallas Perkins,
  Coach of Debate, Harvard Debate Council
- Sherry Hall,
  Coach of Debate, Harvard Debate Council
- Stefan Bauschard,
  Harvard Debate & Planet Debate
- Dr. Minh Luong
  Yale University

Guest Lectures by faculty from Harvard and other major universities

Harvardpfdebate.org
July 4-16th, 2010

$2495 Resident, $1095 Commuter, $995 Electronic Participants

A Project of the Harvard Debate Council,
An Undergraduate Organization
University of Missouri-Kansas City
Summer Debate Institute 2010

Policy

3 Week Scholars
July 11-July 31
Commuter $900
Resident $1550

2 Week
July 18-July 31
Commuter $575
Resident $1475

Public Forum
1 & 2 Week Options
Check umkcsdi.com for details.

Lincoln-Douglas
1 & 2 Week Options
Check umkcsdi.com for details.

Staff
We pride ourselves on hiring experienced, quality staff that ensure that you have an exceptional experience at UMKC Summer Debate Institute. Check our website under the staff link to see all the exciting additions for 2010.

Features
★ One hour of COLLEGE CREDIT included in camp fee.
(See website for details- 2 and 1 week camps only)
★ Evidence at the beginning of camp.
★ Each student receives ALL evidence produced.

UMKC
2007 National Debate Tournament 2nd Place!

http://umkcsdi.com
How are YOU Giving Youth a Voice?

by Jenny Corum Billman

NFL alum Brad Kornfeld demonstrates excellence in both his work and commitment to service. He heads the Colorado-based Kornfeld Real Estate Group, overseeing a retail portfolio of more than two million square feet of retail space in the western United States. He also serves as a leader in the national organ donor community, traveling to Washington, DC, in March to advocate for changes in organ donor laws and regulations.

Before he became a leader to so many, Kornfeld honed his communication, cognitive, interpersonal, and leadership skills in the NFL. I recently caught up with this busy alum to discuss his experience with speech and debate and the way it affects his life even today.

**Jenny Corum Billman:** Talk to me about your speech and debate background.

**Brad Kornfeld:** I did speech and debate all four years in Cherry Creek High School in suburban Denver. I did Cross Ex for three years and Extemp for my last year. I went to nationals in Extemp, and I was the co-captain of the team my senior year.

After high school, I went to Emory University. I double majored in political science and sociology. I did a couple of internships in TV news. I worked for CNN in college, and after college I worked for them as well. Then I went to Georgetown law school in 1990, graduating in 1993. After graduation, I did litigation for a few years, then joined a company involved in commercial real estate. I stayed with the company for 15 years. Then I took sole control of the company in 2009.

**JB:** So you’re a busy person!

**BK:** (Laughs) I am busy! And I have three little kids, ages four, six, and eight, and we have three dogs. So we’re a little nutty.

Every day, individuals from across the country model the NFL Code of Honor through their service to their community, country, and the league. This column highlights the outstanding efforts of alums who commit time, effort, or resources toward making the world a better place. The NFL’s longstanding tradition of excellence shines brightest through the dedication of its alums—alums like YOU.

**JB:** That’s understandable! Looking back, how did speech and debate affect you?

**BK:** You know, I think it really laid the groundwork for a lot of what I did after high school. Obviously, there’s the speaking component, which had an impact for me in TV news and in law school. It also taught me a lot about study habits, work ethic, and opened up my thought process as well, which is very helpful in college, law school, and the business world.

There’s also the social aspect. You form such meaningful friendships and relationships in speech and debate—friendships that can last a lifetime. I’m 42 years old—I graduated in 1985—but I can say that, to this day, the majority of my best friends are from not only high school, but from speech and debate.

**JB:** It sounds like your friends have stayed with you. What skills that you gained from speech and debate have also stayed with you?

**BK:** Certainly the advocacy component helps when I’m negotiating deals. It also teaches you to think of both sides of the argument. On a personal level, it teaches you to think about how other people are going to react. There is the old expression about putting yourself in other person’s shoes. Speech and debate shows you how to do that, to think of both sides of the argument. That, to me, is the core benefit of speech and debate.

**JB:** Why do you think speech and debate is uniquely beneficial for today’s students?

**BK:** There are a lot of reasons. One is that Americans in general tend to have a very small view of the world, an American-centric view. That’s not a good thing—it’s a very big place! Speech and debate opens kids up to a much bigger world. Without getting too philosophical here, war and prejudice come from ignorance. Young people in debate, who are at a formative period in their lives, can learn about other cultures, and other ways of thinking, and that can only be beneficial to them.

**JB:** Why do you think it’s important to give back?

**BK:** If you got something out of it, it’s nice to give back and provide a better program for today’s students. It’s a fun way

Think someone you know should be featured here? E-mail ideas to jenny.billman@nationalforensicleague.org
to see how it’s changed and reconnect with that part of your life. I do a lot of volunteer work in the community, and it’s a great way to give back and spend some time away from work, doing something meaningful.

JB: Talk to me more about your volunteer work.

BK: Well, I donated a kidney to my dad in 2004, so I do a lot with organ donation. I’m the past board chair of Donor Alliance, which is the federally-chartered organization for organ procurement for Colorado and Wyoming. I also do a lot of work with UNOS, the national committee that was set up by Congress to help establish a national organ donor policy. I’m also involved with Rose Community Foundation, which gives grants to nonprofits in the Denver area.

JB: Any closing thoughts for us?

BK: Just like anything—with speech and debate, you get what you put into it. I chose to become very involved with the program in high school, and I think I’m still seeing the rewards both personally and professionally to this day.

HELP US GIVE YOUTH A VOICE!

Please send your tax-deductible donation to: Bruno E. Jacob Youth Leadership Fund, PO Box 38, Ripon, WI 54971

Or visit us online: www.nflonline.org/Giving/Bruno

Leaders Academy Seeks Forensics Director/Instructor

Job Responsibilities
1) Teach students speaking, acting, debating, and English. Design and manage curriculum for assigned courses.
2) Provide differentiated instruction to a variety of abilities and counsel students to find appropriate competitions.
3) Provide in-depth feedback and guidance to both students and parents about the progress of each student.

Qualifications
1) Bachelor’s Degree
2) Competitor & coaching experience
3) Extensive knowledge of forensics

Preferences
1) Teaching certificate
2) Middle School teaching experience
3) Humanities-related degree

Contract
1) Year-long contract
2) Salary based on qualifications
3) Housing included

Leaders Academy
Jaesok Building floors 3 & 4, 908-1 Daechi, Gangnam, Seoul, Korea
Phone: 82-2-562-9799

Applicants should send CV and cover letter to leadersdebate@gmail.com. Include “NFL Recruitment” in the subject heading.
Coaches, it’s not too late!

(P.S. IT’S easy, TOO.)

Do your students have something to say about global issues? Join high schools from around the world this April in The People Speak.

In recent years, more than 100 NFL chapters and 88 countries have participated by holding public debates in their schools!

The top five schools in the US will earn an all-expenses paid trip to The Netherlands for IDEA’s Youth Forum, July 22 - August 4, 2010.

Earn Free Money!
The top 50 scoring schools will earn a $200 NFL credit voucher to apply toward merchandise, individual student memberships, and more.

Hold a Performance Showcase or Debate in April!

Get started: nflonline.org/Partners/ThePeopleSpeak
The Stanford National Forensic Institute offers a unique national caliber program conducted by the Stanford Debate Society of Stanford University, a registered student organization of the Associated Students of Stanford University.

**The Three Week Program:** The Three Week Accelerated program balances improving students’ debate technique through expertly critiqued practice rounds, along with in-depth discussion of debate theory and the topic for the year. Students will work with each other and the faculty on research and argument construction to create a full set of evidence available to all SNFI students. The Core program is an intensive but value priced option for students who are seeking a program of depth and quality on a great campus. Students may also apply to the Swing or Sophomore Scholars labs, two special programs within the larger Three Week program. The Swing Lab program is designed to provide a continuation of participants’ prior camp experience with an advanced peer group and the finest instructors. To be eligible to apply students must have previously attended at least one debate institute during the summer of 2010. The Sophomore Scholars lab is an intense program emphasizing technique and research skills for rising sophomores.

**The Four Week Program:** The Four Week Program is fully integrated with the Three Week Program, but adds an additional week, which focuses primarily on technique and practice rounds. Students are guaranteed to get at least 10 fully critiqued practice rounds in the final week! In addition to the average of 12 rounds during the three week program, the extra rounds give participants nearly 25 rounds by the end of the summer, the equivalent of a semester or more of experience by the start of the school year! Four Week students are welcome to apply to the Swing Lab for the first three weeks of the camp.

**Faculty:** The SNFI faculty is composed of current and former competitors and coaches from successful programs across the country. Past staff members and initially confirmed staff for summer 2010 include:

Corey Turoff - SNFI Policy Debate Program Director, Co-Policy Coach for Stanford Debate and The Head Royce School of Oakland:

- Jon Sharp - U. of Kentucky
- Judy Butler - Augusta Prep, GA
- JR Maycock - Highland HS, UT
- Alex Zavell - Emory Univ
- Brian Manuel - Harvard Univ & Lakeland
- Rich Boltizer - Stanford Debate

Shanara Reid - U. of Pittsburgh
- Sara Sanchez - Lexington HS, MA
- Rachel Schy - Redlands University, CA
- Matthew Fraser - Stanford Debate / HRS
- Jenny H Creek - formerly Stanford
- Jeff Martin - Stanford Debate (coach)

“I learned more at this camp than I did during the entire school year.”
- Justin Mardjuki, previous SNFI Participant

*Prices are tentative and subject to change
Policy Debate Special Programs at the 2010 Stanford National Forensic Institute

The SNFI now offers two exclusive labs for the summer of 2010! These programs are designed to improve on specific skill sets for debaters serious about dramatically improving understanding of debate technique as well as argument production and development. For the same price as our accelerated program, students can work closely with our most experienced staff to fine tune their debate skills.

The Swing Lab  July 25 - August 14
Resident: $3,385*    Commuter: $2,665*

The Swing Lab is a “second camp only” option taught by one of the community’s most talented instructors, jon sharp, of the University of Kentucky. The Swing Lab features in-depth practice for mastering in-round technique and argument development with a master teacher of debate. New changes to the swing lab curriculum for 2010 include: An extended round-robin conducted through the course, a judge proctor program where swing students will judge debates with instructors to gain a new perspective from the other side of the ballot, and a new emphasis on evidence production balancing augmenting existing arguments with creating/innovating new ones.

The Sophomore Scholars Lab  July 25 - August 14
Resident: $3,385*    Commuter: $2,665*

The Sophomore Scholars Lab offers exclusive education in debate skills for rising sophomores led by veteran instructor Judy Butler, formerly of Emory University. This lab provides extended heavily critiqued practice debates and step-by-step instruction of the evidence production process.

*Prices are tentative and subject to change
The Program — The Policy, LD and Public Forum programs offer an interactive learning environment for students of all levels (beginning, intermediate, advanced). Learning is targeted to both national circuit debaters and regional competitors. The instructional staff includes accomplished collegiate and high school coaches as well as current collegiate debaters who are former NFL, Catholic and TOC National qualifiers and finalists.

Diversified Staff — Ashley Anderson (Hollins University, VA); Stacie Anthony (Canyon Springs High, NV); Andrew Arsh (Rowland Hall, UT); Moses Baca (Juan Diego High, UT); Josh Bentley (Lone Peak High, UT); Chase Burton (Berkeley, CA); Mario Feola (Rowland Hall, UT); Oliver Gappmayer (Lone Peak High, UT); Richard Jaramillo (Rowland Hall, UT); Danielle Jennings (Idaho State); Wade Johnson (Lone Peak High, UT); Abigail Kingsford (Utah State); Kirk Knutson (the Meadows, NV); JR Maycock (Rowland Hall, UT); Stephanie Monson (Lone Peak High, UT); Carol Shackelford (Bingham High, UT); Daniel Shackelford (University of Utah); Mike Shackelford (Rowland Hall, UT); Richard Jaramillo (Rowland Hall, UT); Michael Wagner (Bingham High, UT).

Curriculum
Policy — Lectures focus on the topic, debate theory, unique and rival views of positions, and “cutting edge” argumentation. Labs focus on research, document-mapping, briefing, refutation, rebuttal reworks, delivery, and practice.

LD — Lectures focus on philosophy, values, criteria development, and several relevant topics. Labs focus on affirmative and negative case construction, delivery, research, and practice.

Public Forum — Lectures and labs focus on current events, crossfire cross examination skills, argumentation, clash, refutation, persuasion, and practice.

IE — Lectures and practice for all NFL events.

12 Critiqued Rounds (Tournament)

Research Facilities
Dixie State College features a “state of the art” computer lab
- Each student will have full time internet access including LEXIS-NEXIS and EBSCO.
- All evidence is shared.

College Credit — Each student will receive three (3) hours of transferable college credit (COMM 2020).

Scholarships — Winners of each varsity debate event will receive a scholarship to Dixie State College.

Atmosphere — SCFI provides a safe environment where students will feel connected to the staff and other students.

Cost
$685 includes room (apartments/dorms, air conditioned, pool) and board (lunch and dinner)
$415 for commuters (no room and board)

Lab Fees (maximum): Policy $65 / LD $40 / Forum $25
If traveling fly in/out of Las Vegas, NV

Check Us Out Online
www.scfi.wikispaces.com

Register Online
www.dixie.edu/workshops/registration.php

Coaches Workshop
July 18–24, 2010
Coaches will receive lesson plans and training for Policy debate, LD debate, Public Forum and all NFL individual events.

COST
$435 includes room, board
$295 for commuters

Three Week Policy Workshop
July 11–31, 2010
The additional week features: case construction, negative positions and round robin tournament.

COST
$1070 includes room, board
$615 for commuters

Individual Event Workshop
July 25–31, 2010
Instruction, practice and a tournament in Congress, Expository, Extemp, Impromptu, Interp, Oratory and Spontaneous Argumentation. Participate in two (minimum), four (maximum). Featuring Tarzan (at Tuacahn)

COST
$395 includes room, board
$255 for commuters
Offering Instruction in Cross-X Debate and Public Forum Debate.

Capitol Classic is dedicated to skill improvement through hands-on instruction, focusing on the skill sets that debaters need to have to reach the next level. Critical to our curriculum are small group seminars that supplement each debater’s understanding of lectures; small theory classes that focus on in-depth understanding of vital theoretical issues; and lots of skill exercises followed by thorough critiques. We have a history of producing champions – be the next star!

CROSS-X FACULTY
Michael Antonucci  Georgetown University
Adrienne Brovero  Mary Washington
Daryl Burch  Capitol Debate
Brian Manuel  Harvard-Lakeland
Patrick Waldinger  Boston College

PUBLIC FORUM FACULTY
Jason Kline,  Myers Park High School
Director
Lynne Coyne  Ridge School
Jay Rye  Montgomery Academy

* TO ENROLL, GO TO WWW.CAPITOL-DEBATE.COM *

For more information contact: Ronald Bratt, Director of Capitol Classic
Phone: 443.538.4992  |  Email: bratt@capitol-debate.com
In 2009, the Arthur N. Rupe Foundation provided a generous grant to the National Forensic League to promote Public Forum Debate across the country. In addition to sponsoring the Rupe Foundation Qualifying Series of Public Forum Topics in February, March, and April, the Rupe Foundation grant also created a research portal to provide the highest quality educational research resources and exclusive interviews to the debate community and the public at large. To learn more, visit www.rupescholars.org today!
A Conversation with Jennifer Stanton

by Jenny Corum Billman

When New York’s Delhi Central School speech team faced dissolution, teacher and advisor Jennifer Stanton jumped into action. She explains, “I had to fight for the club to build its membership and become an active participant in the local and district events. I knew that the Speech and Debate Club would give children confidence and speaking skills that were vital in today’s world.” Determined to show students what they were missing, Stanton did something unconventional: She decided to invite another successful school’s forensic team to Delhi to help promote forensics. “In a sense they were begging for some competition,” Stanton notes.

After working out the visit with administrators at both schools, the Pennsylvania’s Sayre High School Forensic Team made its way to Delhi. The group arrived at 8 a.m. after a two-hour drive. Sayre’s team, its coaches, and Stanton visited several classrooms, explaining speech and debate and demonstrating Humorous and Dramatic Interpretations, a Prose, and an Oratory. Students were then invited for a meet-and-greet after school in Stanton’s classroom. “The response was overwhelming and incredible,” she notes. “Approximately fifteen students came to tell me that they were interested in joining Speech and Debate. It was thoroughly thrilling.”

Bolstered by the success of the Sayre team’s visit, the Delhi team began to prepare for its competition season. On October 17, the team made its first outing to the Catskill Area School Study Council Forensics Tournament at SUNY Oneonta. Six students competed in the tournament, and despite the newness of many in the group, the team came home with three awards. The team continued competing and even plans to host a tournament later this year.

According to Stanton, Sayre’s team visit had a huge impact on Delhi’s team. Thanks to the Coach Sally Hickey, Assistant Coach Tom Yonkin, and the Sayre squad, students were shown what had to be done and many took on the challenge. Coach Stanton notes that for Delhi, Sayre’s visit was “exactly what we needed to build a team of winners.”

I recently had the opportunity to speak with New York’s Delhi Central School coach Jennifer Stanton about forensics, recruitment, and growth. Below, she offers stories from her coaching experience as well as suggestions for other coaches of up-and-coming teams.

Jennifer Stanton: When did you decide to be a teacher and/or coach?

Jennifer Stanton: I knew in fourth grade I would teach and coach! So, I also knew that when any opportunity presented itself I’d take it!

During my second year of teaching I was approached by the coach and he asked if I was interested in helping with middle school forensics. I jumped aboard and have been involved since. This is my third year as the coach because I took over when he left. I was so overwhelmed in learning all of the details at once.

Jennifer Billman: Why is forensics important for students?

Jenny Corum Billman: The number one reason that I think forensics is so important is that it allows students to face their fears and build their self-confidence. As an English teacher I see great value in the Interpretation department; students learn to read fluently and read with meaning, therefore improving their comprehension. Also, I believe debate is important because it instills the sense of a very important value, the value of standing your ground and standing up for what you believe. Debate also allows participants to realize that supporting your opinion has great value. Joining and attending competitions in forensics can develop skills that are an asset to any student.

Jennifer Stanton: How has a growing forensic team affected your school?

Jennifer Billman: Our program is growing and it’s growing rapidly. The growth seems to bring more students weekly. I have new students almost weekly. Students were exposed to some very talented high schoolers from another forensic team and I think they said, “Hey, I can do that too!” And so it began. For some that have a competitive nature but don’t take interest in athletics it has given them an outlet for their competitiveness and talent. Forensics can also positively impact students’ academic performance and self-esteem.

Jennifer Billman: What has been your greatest challenge as a coach? How did you address it?

Jennifer Billman: Membership has certainly been my greatest challenge. I was at my wits’ end. For two straight years I tried to encourage kids to join. I tried to explain to them how good they’d be. I made announcement after announcement trying to get members to join, even offering refreshments. We have never had a huge team at Delhi, but I had no one who was truly committed to forensics. During those two years, I never gave up. I tried to learn everything I could about forensics. I continued to develop my ideas, and this year my new approach was show, don’t tell! So with this major issue of low membership I thought, what better way to encourage kids than to show them, by exposing them to the types of things they too could do. I invited Sayre Area High School’s team. I developed a rigid packed schedule for the visitors to see many students and classes throughout the
day. Sally Hickey has really been a great mentor to me. They have a small program in comparison to some nationwide schools, but a larger program in our region. Sally has taught me how to run a successful program. Sally and her team are a major part of the reason we are turning our program around.

**JB:** What can veteran schools do to encourage new schools in forensics?

**JS:** Veteran schools can provide support to new coaches and new members through encouragement and sharing their successes and struggles. Knowing I was not alone in the fight to keep forensics going was a huge help. It has also been helpful that a veteran school is willing to share documents and information with us. I have had some great assistance from Sayre Area High School, Hancock Central, and Franklin Central School over the last few years. They have been supportive and encouraging. One student in particular from Sayre, Sarah, really has been a mentor to our students.

At the tournament we attended at Sayre she engaged my team, offering them advice and encouragement. Simple gestures do really make a difference in a great program.

**JB:** How are programs from small schools different than programs from larger schools?

**JS:** The major difference I see is numbers. At times, it’s difficult for my students to understand why the larger schools get so many of the trophies. I am constantly explaining to them that they have a lot of experience, a long running program, and they have two, sometimes three times, as many in attendance compared to us. I am always praising my team for their improvements, no matter how large or small.

**JB:** What advice can you give to new coaches?

**JS:** Persevere; never give up. This program is vital to helping our students become well-rounded citizens, citizens who can stand up for what they believe and can use their talents to succeed in and enjoy life.

I also think it’s important for new coaches to find a mentor coach; find someone who is always answering your questions and providing encouragement and support. Thank them endlessly, and know they are a major part of the reason you haven’t pulled your hair out just yet.
The Stanford Public Forum Debate camps are committed to the same professionalism with Public Forum debate that SNFI has brought to Policy debate and Lincoln-Douglas debate for the past 20 years. Serious student of public forum debate wanting to take their activity to the next level are encouraged to attend, as are those just beginning in this style of argumentation. A special Advanced debate section is planned for this summer, a week focusing on practice rounds, and a beginners level week. Activities are often in small groups so as to maximize improvement.

We offer three different one-week long Public Forum programs. These camps are designed to build skills similar to our Parliamentary program but with a specific focus on the structure and strategies unique to Public Forum Debate. This program also offers students with little to no experienced coaching at their schools the opportunity to develop the necessary skills to coach themselves effectively to success.

These exclusive one-week programs will feature:

- A low staff to student ratio - averaging 1 staff for every 8 to 10 students
- Lots of practice debates - half of the total instructional time will be spent on conducting practice debates with extensive critiques
- Seminars on brainstorming, constructing and supporting arguments and theory of argumentation from the ground up
- Topic analyses on a number of commonly used topic areas through a spirited examination of current events
- Public Forum will be headed by Les Phillips, formerly of Lexington High School, Lexington, MA; and Ashley Artmann, UC Berkeley Invitational Champion in Public Forum, 2008. Additional staff will be added as necessitated by enrollment. All instructors will have extensive personal experience in debate, and will draw from different disciplines including value debate and policy oriented debate to maximize the depth and breadth of each student’s experience.

The sessions are held in an intimate setting that allows plenty of question and answer sessions and one-on-one interaction with instructors, not just rote learning. Students are allowed to develop their talents in a relaxed and supportive atmosphere with excellent supervision. Students will emerge from the program as more confident public speakers and as experts on the rules, style, and strategies of Public Forum Debate, ready to compete in the fall!

“I would recommend this camp to all debaters at every level. The staff is exceptional and you leave with a much higher understanding of debate as a whole”
- Victoria Anglin
2007 SNFI Participant

*Prices are tentative and subject to change.
JULY 11-24, 2010

WHY CHOOSE BRADLEY?

Bradley’s summer camp creates winners.

Bradley’s forensics team is the most successful team in the nation’s history.

Bradley is affordable.
$995 includes two weeks of coaching, instruction, room and board, and there are no hidden charges or add-ons.

We focus on process over product.
At Bradley’s camp, students leave with a polished product and the time-tested process to make all their pieces shine.

Our coaches travel, judge, and coach on a national circuit. They know what other judges are looking for and can help you create it.

Let’s face it—size does matter.
Our team of top high school and college coaches will give you the personal attention you require and teach you everything you need to succeed in forensics competition. Bradley is the right size for you.

WANT MORE INFO?
Emily Skocaj: Continuing Education 309.677.3900; eskocaj@bradley.edu

Dan Smith: Director of Forensics 309.677.2439; dan@bradley.edu

www.bradley.edu/continue
2010 Cal National Debate Institute,  
Lincoln-Douglas Debate Program  
2-week LD option: June 20 – July 3  

Designed for beginners as well as experienced, circuit debaters the CNDI LD program will teach students research and argument construction, in round strategies as well as the fundamentals of philosophy and public policy. Run by Ryan Lawrence, director of debate at Lynbrook and Time Case, director of debate at Presentation the CNDI will give students the opportunity to learn from the best coaches in the nation.

Public Forum Debate Program  
2-week Public Forum option: June 20 – July 3  

Run by Carol Green, coach of the 2009 TOC Champion Harker School, the CNDI Public Forum program will teach students of all levels the skills necessary to be competitive. Students will learn research techniques, case construction, strategic use of questioning techniques during the cross fire and much, much more.

Policy Debate Program  
4-week policy option: June 13 – July 10  
3-week policy option: June 20 – July 10  
2-week policy option: June 27 – July 10  

The policy program at the CNDI features UC Berkeley’s top ranked debaters and coaches as well as some of the best high school and college debate coaches in the nation. There is a reason that the Cal Debate Team is consistently one of the top 5 teams in the nation and the CNDI gives students a chance to learn strategy and techniques from the very best. Specifically, the Cal Regents Lab offers students who desire success at the national level a chance to work with Dave Arnett (Co-Director of Debate at Cal), Sarah Holbrook (coach of CEDA National Champion and winner of CEDA Nationals as a debater) and many other outstanding lab leaders and guest lecturers.

More information about all of these programs can be found at cndi.wikispaces.com

Also feel free to email the Director Greg Achten at gregachten@berkeley.edu
See what’s new on NFLtv.org

More than 200 videos now available!
The College of Communication and Information Sciences at The University of Alabama ranks among the top 10 such colleges in the nation. Our 12,000 graduates have gone on to rewarding careers as print and broadcast journalists, advertising executives and public relations practitioners. We have produced four Pulitzer Prize winners, print and broadcast journalists and leaders in advertising and public relations. We have the resources and faculty to help students reach higher and achieve more in their communications careers.

TO FIND OUT MORE ABOUT THE ADVANTAGES WE OFFER, VISIT GOBAMA.UA.EDU.

FOR MORE INFORMATION CALL TOLL-FREE 800-933-BAMA (2262) OR (205) 348-5666
Stanford National Forensic Institute
Individual Events Camp

The SNFI Individual Events program offers a comprehensive program which accounts for regional differences in style, content, and judging. Students will have the opportunity to work with coaches and national champions from around the nation. The Institute is designed to provide a strong technical foundation in an enjoyable atmosphere, students at all levels of experience will be accommodated.

Dramatic Interpretation...Humorous Interpretation
Oratory...Extemporaneous...Impromptu...Expository
Thematic Interpretation...Prose...Poetry...Duo Interpretation

Zachary Prax is joining us for his fifth year as an instructor and his third as the Director of Individual Events with SNFI. A coach of seven years at Apple Valley High School in Minnesota, Zach coaches LD and Public Forum, student congress, and extemporaneous speaking. In extemporaneous speaking, he has coached students to the final round of the NFL National Tournament, the NCFL Grand National Tournament, and the Minnesota State Tournament, and to outrounds at the TOC of Extemp. In Congress, Zach's students have appeared in the final round of Glenbrooks, Emory, and the NCFL Grand National Tournament.

With combined coaching experience of over 40 years - Sarah Rosenberg and Luis Cardenas have had students in hundreds of final rounds across the country. Their students have won DUO at CFL Nationals and have tied for 1st in DUO at NFL Nationals twice. They have had over 20 National Finalists and have won countless of State Champions in California, New York, Philadelphia and Florida. They have coached for Stuyvesant High School, Bronx High School of Science, Florida Forensic Institute, Bronx Prep, Holy Ghost Prep, San Marino High School, Cleveland High School and The PUC Schools.

Additional staffing are added as student enrollment and particular event interest dictates. Last year this resulted in staff including a former national champion in both extemp and collegiate parli debate to the extemp staff, for example, and a nationally competitive high school interp champion as well. High standards will be maintained for any staff which are added so as to insure the most positive possible experience for all attendees.

*Prices are tentative and subject to change.
In Memoriam

Donovan Cummings, a Hall of Fame coach, passed away February 17, 2010, after a lengthy illness. He was 75.

Cummings was born in Rice Lake, Wisconsin, attending Kenosha High School and competing three times in the National Tournament. After attending Northwestern University, he relocated to Stockton, California, and began teaching English and coaching speech at Edison High. Cummings later assumed the same duties at Stagg and coached at Franklin for two years, moving between the three schools. In addition to the NFL Hall of Fame, he was a member of the California High School Speech Association Hall of Fame and Edison High’s Hall of Fame. He was a five-diamond coach.

Innovation.

The NFL is looking for new, fresh articles to publish in our monthly magazine.

Visit us online for more information:

www.nflonline.org/Rostrum/Writing
Nebraska Debate Institute

About the Staff
Public Forum instruction will be led by Millard West coach Fred Robertson, with former Lincoln Southeast coach Janet Eckerson, current Lincoln Southeast coach Steve Foral, and Millard West assistant coach Aarron Schurevich assisting. Former Millard South coach Scott Wike and current Millard South coach Dana Christensen will once again lead the policy debate staff, with Paul Bellinger, Dana Christenson, Jeff Roberts, Halli Tripe, Chris Spurlock, Ryan Wash, Tim Royers and Dylan Sutton returning on staff. Our LD staff will be led by former TOC champion Jennifer Larson with Marshall Bunde, Adelle Burk, Charles McClung assisting. We always provide high quality staff and a staff-student ratio that no other camp can match.

Toni Heimes, debate coach at Lincoln Southwest, gets quality vendors like Famous Dave’s to supply lunches and dinners at great rates and also makes plenty of home-cooked meals. We provide a friendly staff, great facilities, excellent food, and quality instruction. At our camp-ending tournament, we have excellent, experienced coaches and judges who are willing to volunteer their time because they know NDI has been important to helping debate thrive in Nebraska, plus they know Toni will be feeding them lunch!

Cost
A $100.00 deposit is due from student or coach participants by May 30 in order to save a spot at 2010 Summer NDI
Our price for instruction, meals included (10 of them for Policy and LD students), and all copies and materials:

- Policy $550 per student
- LD $450 per student
- Public Forum $350 per student

Coaches, you pay just $50 per day for the LD, Policy, or PF camp. Coaches can sit in on student’s practice rounds and lectures, as well as getting practical advice from experienced coaches on a variety of topics. There are also three full tuition and meal scholarships available via application to the National Forensic League on a first come, first served basis.

Visiting our website at http://nscta.info/ndi/

Dates:
Lincoln-Douglas
JULY 23–JULY 31 Directed by Jennifer Larson*
Policy debate
JULY 23 -JULY 31 directed by Scott Wike and Dana Christensen

Public Forum
JULY 26–JULY 31 directed by Fred Robertson

NDI tournament
For all debate divisions Saturday, July 31

Fred Robertson, Director
Cell Phone: 402-709-9217
School email: fdrobertson@mpsoma.org
Email: fritz.robertson@gmail.com
Make checks payable to Nebraska Debate Institute
Mail to
Fred Robertson, Nebraska Debate Institute,
2712 N 96TH DR.
OMAHA, NE 68134
COMING TO YOU
LIVE FROM NEW YORK CITY -
THE IPPF FINALS!!

In October 2009, more than 230 debate teams from around the world registered to compete in the 2009-2010 Bickel & Brewer/New York University International Public Policy Forum (IPPF). After five months and three rounds of written competition, the “Elite Eight” teams have been chosen. These schools are now preparing for the IPPF Finals in New York City and the chance to win the $10,000 grand prize!

On April 17, 2010, you can be a part of this event! View the IPPF Finals Weekend debates live on the Internet and vote for the winning school!

Join us at www.bickelbrewer.com/debate at 10:15 and 11:30 a.m. EST to view the semi-final debates. Then log back in at 4 p.m. EST to watch the finals and cast your ballot!

CONGRATULATIONS TO THE “ELITE EIGHT” TEAMS!

ATLANTIC COMMUNITY HIGH SCHOOL
Delray Beach, Florida

CHERRY CREEK HIGH SCHOOL
Greenwood Village, Colorado

HENRY CLAY HIGH SCHOOL
Lexington, Kentucky

MCNEIL HIGH SCHOOL
Austin, Texas

NOTRE DAME HIGH SCHOOL
Sherman Oaks, California

SAMUEL MARSDEN COLLEGIATE SCHOOL
Wellington, New Zealand

SINGAPORE MINISTRY OF EDUCATION
Singapore

SUNCOAST COMMUNITY HIGH SCHOOL
Riviera Beach, Florida

Presented by the Bickel & Brewer Foundation and New York University
Summer Forensics Camp
July 18-24, 2010

Join us in Athens, Ohio for a fun, energizing and educational week of forensics work. Learn practice and performance skills. Get a start on the season. Make friends for a lifetime.

Students at camp can focus in any of the following areas: Oral Interp (Duo, HI, DI, Prose, Poetry) Limited Prep (Extemp & Impromptu), Public Address (OO, OI) or Public Forum Debate.

For a camp application, please visit:

http://www.coms.ohiou.edu/forensics

Tuition: $595 ($550 if paid by July 1)

FOR INFORMATION ABOUT CAMP, OR BECOMING A SPEAKING BOBCAT,
PLEASE CONTACT:

Dan West
Director of Forensics
School of Communication Studies
43 W Union Street
Ohio University
Athens, OH 45701

Phone: 740-593-9962
Mobile: 740-590-7378
E-mail: westd1@ohio.edu
Minnesota Debate and Advocacy Workshop
June 27-July 17 (2- or 3-week sessions)
The Minnesota Debate and Advocacy Workshop is a non-profit, cooperative summer debate institute.

Medieval Minnesota
Session 1: July 18-24
Session 2: July 25-31
Medieval Minnesota is a unique summer learning experience that re-imagines life in the Middle Ages.

Summer Film Camp
July 11-17
July 18-24
The Summer Film Camp embraces the model of the “Hollywood” film and centers on the true film experience.

Summer Forensics Institute for High School Students
July 25-August 2, 2010

Spend nine days immersing yourself in forensics and honing your communication skills at Emerson College.

Emerson College has a long tradition of preparing leaders for many fields of communication—advocacy, media, political campaign communication and more. Through the Summer Forensics Institute you’ll sharpen your forensics skills in Emerson College’s intensive summer program. You’ll maximize your learning opportunities with access to an extensive library-media center that promotes research in communication.

You’ll learn real-world communication skills for real-world challenges.

At Emerson College’s Summer Forensics Institute

- Prepare for, participate in, and critique persuasive communication for argumentation and debate
- Gain knowledge on how to write position papers, persuasive speeches, and debate cases
- Answer questions such as: what is the best way to solve a problem? promote an idea? or change a mind?
- Understand how logic, reasoning, and evidence are used in debate within society and politics today
- Gain knowledge of the process of Public Forum and/or Collegiate Lincoln-Douglas Debate

Get more information and apply today.
617-824-8280
www.emerson.edu/ce
“Forensics was my glee club.”

~ Chris Colfer, Kurt on Glee
Chris Colfer’s Big Break

by Jenny Corum Billman

It seems that America is in love with Chris Colfer, the talented actor behind fiery underdog, Kurt Hummel, on the Golden Globe-winning comedy *Glee*. Despite being the youngest member of the cast and a relative newcomer to the business (*Glee* was his first major role), Chris has captured our attention and playlists with his quirky charisma and incredible vocal ability. What some Americans may not know is that before Chris was a television star, he was a member of the NFL chapter at Clovis East High School in California. In fact, he remains in constant contact with his high school coach, Mikendra McCoy, who he tells me “was one of the most influential people in my high school experience.”

Colfer agreed to talk with me while filming the end of season one of *Glee*. From even a brief conversation, his forensic talent and training were obvious. He spoke quickly but thoughtfully, answering every question with a remarkably self-aware and reflective response. Additionally, Colfer was gracious and friendly, still humble after a whirlwind rise to prominence this past year. We spoke about forensics, making the transition to acting, and staying true to “one dream.”

**Jenny Corum Billman:** How did you get involved in forensics?

**Chris Colfer:** It was completely by accident. During my sophomore year, I had a free period at the end of the day and so I took speech and debate as an elective. A few of my friends were in there, so I joined and I stayed for the rest of high school. Forensics was my glee club. It was the only place where I found kids just like me, where I belonged.

**JB:** What is your best memory from forensics?

**CC:** There are so many…Well, I am the only one from my district to win three consecutive Valley Championships, in OPP, HI, and DI.

**JB:** Which of those three was your favorite?

**CC:** I liked them all equally…although, I guess I liked OPP best, because it let me create my own story. You could immerse yourself in the character.

**JB:** When did you decide that you wanted to be an actor?

**CC:** I was four and I loved movies. I’ve wanted to do it for a long time.

**JB:** What did you learn from forensics that you use today as an actor?

**CC:** There are lots of different things. Impromptu is good for when you ad-lib. It makes you quick-minded and quick-witted. When you are part of a group of smart kids it rubs off on you. Also, reporters will try to trick you sometimes into saying something that you don’t want to say. Training in speech and debate helps me re-word questions the way that I want to answer them.

**JB:** How do tournaments compare to auditions?

**CC:** Tournaments are easier! The first round of a tournament is the hardest, though. I remember it being very nerve racking.

**JB:** We often hear that every actor struggles before he or she succeeds in Hollywood, but you seemed to find instant success. Was it a struggle for you?

**CC:** There were four long years of no luck [before *Glee*], so there was a bit of struggle there. But I wasn’t willing to accept an alternative. I just kept one goal, one dream.

**JB:** How did you find out that you would be on *Glee*?

**CC:** I was in the car with my mom when I got the call. I actually called my aunt first to tell her. My dad was kind of mad about that.

**JB:** How is your character on *Glee*, Kurt, like Chris?

**CC:** We are totally different. We look alike, and we have high pitched voices. Other than that, there is not much comparison.

**JB:** I’ve heard that it’s easier to play someone who is totally different from you. Do you find this to be true?

**CC:** It is easier to play someone different, because there is a line between you and the character. There are different speech patterns, different ways to stand. Without that line, you have to stop and think, “Oh,
did I respond to this as me, or did I respond to this as the character?”

**JB:** Speaking of characters, how did you develop Kurt?

**CC:** He’s just a 16-year-old kid trying to find himself. I actually used some of the same methods I used in forensics. I used a character blender [where you blend characteristics of a number of different people]. Kurt is a mixture of several people from pop culture. He has a Nicole Kidman vibe, he’s part Tim Gunn, part Victoria Beckham, part Anna Wintour, the editor of Vogue magazine. He’s a lot of people.

**JB:** Do you learn about yourself by playing other characters?

**CC:** That’s a good question! No one has ever asked me that before… You do learn about yourself. You think about how they would react to something, and then you think about yourself and, “Oh, this is how I would have reacted.” It’s an eye-opener.

**JB:** Do you think Kurt would have done forensics?

**CC:** Kurt would not have done forensics. He might have done a fashion club, but not forensics. He would like to argue, but he wouldn’t like to listen to the other side.

did I respond to this as me, or did I respond to this as the character?”

**JB:** I’ve read that the writers of Glee have incorporated some of your life experiences into the show. Is that true?

**CC:** There was one experience written into the show. In high school, we had a talent show in drama. I asked them to let me sing “Defying Gravity,” [a song from the musical Wicked], which is my favorite song in the whole world. And every year the students and teachers turned me down. I was from a conservative area that didn’t like to think outside the box, especially about gender issues. The writers wrote that into the show.

**JB:** Do the writers ask about your high school experience, or do you volunteer stories from high school?

**CC:** It just kind of comes up. One day you are all sitting around telling stories like, “You’re never gonna believe what happened to me in high school!”

**JB:** As a huge fan of the show, I have to know—what has been your favorite episode?

**CC:** I liked “Wheels,” because I was able to do so much in it. And also because I got to sing my favorite song in the world.

**JB:** Is it tough to juggle the demands of acting, and singing, and dancing, all in one show?

**CC:** Everything happens very fast, but you just have to take it one step at a time. There’s no method to the madness!

**JB:** I know that many of our members also want to pursue a career in entertainment after they graduate. What’s your advice to them?

**CC:** Never give up. If it’s what you’re meant to do, then it will happen.

---

**About the Author**

Jenny Corum Billman is the Coordinator of Public Relations for the National Forensic League. She holds an MA and a BA in Communication, both from Western Kentucky University, where she was a 4-year member of the forensic team and a Scholar of the College.
“Never give up. If it’s what you’re meant to do, then it will happen.”
The National Speakers Forum (NSF) is an institute of Summit Debate Enterprises offering instruction in Interpretation, Oratory and Extemporaneous Speaking.

NSF is proud of its national reputation, built around its low student/teacher ratio, innovative curriculum and dedication to the continued and long lasting educational growth of each of its attendees. The staff is composed of some of the finest speech educators in the nation, many of whom are NSF alumni themselves. We strive to ensure that students leave the institute prepared to compete in any region of the country, with a strong sense of ethics and professionalism.

NSF Interp to be Sponsored by InterProd

This year, the National Speakers’ Forum Interpretation program is being sponsored by InterProd. We are excited at this change in approach as the InterProd model has proven to be one of the most successful and innovative curriculums among the summer institutes. The proof is in the success of its students. This year alone, InterProd students have been in out rounds of every major tournament as well as produced finalists and champions. Some of the highlights include:

Yale: Multiple out rounds including 2 finalists in Duo and one in HI.
Blue Key: Multiple out rounds, Three finalists in Duo including the 1st and 2nd place contestants, Champion in HI.
Glenbrooks: Multiple out rounds, Champions in Duo and HI Finalist.
Harvard: Multiple out rounds including a finalist in Duo
Berkeley: 1st and 2nd in HI

There are numerous reasons for the success of this program. Besides a top-tier staff and the lowest student to coach ratio of any summer program, the curriculum and format at InterProd is truly designed to help the student get the most out of their experience.
1. Literature selection is conducted by all staff members, not just one lab or coach.
2. Rotations that allow each student to work with many staff members, not just one lab or coach.
3. The best script library of any institute.

Success Starts Here at Summit Debate

The success of the Summit Debate programs involves many students from a multitude of schools. This year alone, we helped students reach their potential and succeed from many regions including California, Florida, Pennsylvania, Oregon, New York, Iowa, North Carolina, Maryland, Texas, Arizona, Minnesota, South Dakota, Hawaii, Wyoming, Massachusetts, New Jersey, Georgia, New Mexico and even China! Our effect literally reaches around the world!

If you would like more information on any of our programs, please contact us at Info@summitdebate.com

NSF Confirmed Staff

**OO and Extemp. Faculty and Presenters:** Jenny Cook (University School, FL), Steve Schappaugh (University School, FL), Max Solomon (FSU), Charles Dahan (UNC/Durham Academy), Jessica Sheehan (Walt Whitman), Kenneth Colonel (Vanderbilt), Robert Colonel (Yale), Ashley Mack (UT Austin), Linda Winrow (University School, FL) and Kelley Siart (American).

**Interpretation Faculty:** David Kraft (Leland HS/Trinity Prep.), Ryan Knowles (New York), Jennifer Parker (Nebraska), Camille Norman (Lake Highland Prep.), Chris Wilgos (University School, FL), Connor White (New York), Gabe Gonzalez (Brown), Brittany Squier (DePaul) and Sachi Barstein (Jupiter, FL)
July 18th - August 1st - Emerson College, Boston, MA.

What Makes InterProd Unique?
InterProd uses play production as its model to teach Interpretive Performance. Students will study the various roles that are played when producing a theatrical production. Once the areas have been identified and explained, the InterProd student will apply each area to their production. The goal is to provide the student with production method that they can use every time they create a new production.

This unique model has produced many champions throughout the years. This year alone, InterProd students won Duo at Glenbrooks and Blue Key, HI at Crestian, Blue Key and Berkeley, as well as multiple finalists and students in out rounds at Yale, Harvard, Glenbrooks, Blue Key, Crestian, The Sunvitational, Logan and Berkeley in HI, DI and Duo.

A Program for Everyone
InterProd has stages for performers of all experience levels. STAGE ONE (Led by Chris Wilgos and Camille Norman) focuses on the beginner who has a year or less of experience. STAGE TWO (Led by Ryan Knowles and David Kraft) is for the advanced performer who is looking for a curriculum that will bring them to the next level. STAGE THREE (Led by David Kraft and Ryan Knowles) is for students who have either gone through Stage Two or have attended multiple interp or acting summer institutes.

Audition Workshop
New this year to InterProd is an audition workshop for students preparing for college or professional auditions. Students who wish to attend this class need no forensic experience. This two week workshop not only will help students find and work on monologues, but work on cold reading, character development, indentifying character type, and more. For more information please email info@summitdebate.com.

The Lyceum For Oratory
The Lyceum is an intense two-week Original Oratory institute designed for passionate and remarkable high school competitors. Our limited enrollment provides an unheard of 2:1 student ratio with our nationally-recognized coaches. The primary goal of the Lyceum is the writing and editing of a national-level Oratory speech and then honing its performance. Along the way, innovative hands-on activities and lectures help students grow as performers and develop their critical thinking skills.

If you're ready to get serious about Oratory in a fun, creative and engaging way, we hope you'll join us this summer in Boston.

Confirmed Faculty
Ashley Mack (High School National Finalist & College National Champion), Lydia Nelson (High School & College National Champion), Kelley Siart (2007 Glenbrooks Champion), and Emily Schlichting (2008 NFL Semi-Finalist).

Congrats to the 2009 Lyceum students and their accomplishments this fall. Notably:
Allie Pridmore was the Champion at Blue Key, Glenbrooks, Wakeforest and Emory in addition to being an Octa-Finalist at Harvard;
Sophia Chokshi was a Quarter-Finalist at Blue Key and a Finalist at Wakeforest; Vinita Akula was a Finalist at Emory and Wakeforest in addition to being an Octa-Finalist at Harvard and a Semi-Finalist at Blue Key; Priyanka Atreya was a Semi-Finalist at Harvard; Gracie Chang was a Quarter-Finalist at Glenbrooks; Hayley Brooks was a Semi-Finalist at Blue Key and a Quarter-Finalist at Harvard.
The National Debate Forum (NDF) is the founding institute of Summit Debate Enterprises offering instruction in Lincoln Douglas, Public Forum, Model UN and Congress. NDF offers two sessions each summer; the first located at Nova Southeastern University in Fort Lauderdale and the second at Emerson College in downtown Boston.

NDF is proud of its national reputation, built around its low student/teacher ratio, innovative curriculum, and dedication to the continued and long lasting educational growth of each of its attendees. The staff is composed of some of the finest debate educators in the nation, many of whom are NDF alumni themselves. We strive to ensure that students leave the institute prepared to debate in any region of the country, with a strong sense of ethics and professionalism.

2010 Dates
Session One: June 27th - July 11th, Nova Southeastern University, Ft. Lauderdale, FL.
Session Two: July 18th - August 1st, Emerson College, Boston, MA.

2010 Confirmed Faculty

Congress Directors: Robert Colonel (Yale) and Kenneth Colonel (Vanderbilt)
Congress Jr. Faculty: Joseph Perretta (Christopher Columbus), Mitch Blenden (Georgia Tech) and Jessica Sheehan (Walt Whitman)
Model UN Directors: Kenneth Colonel (Vanderbilt) and Max Solomon (FSU)
LD/PFD Debate Director: Steve Schappaugh, Director (University School, FL)
Curriculum Coordinators/Senior Faculty PFD: Charles Dahan (UNC/Durham Academy), Patrick Toomey (UNC/Trinity Prep.), Aaron Blumenthal (Leland), Brad Hicks (Stoneman Douglas), Spencer Waugh (Indianola), Rachel Urban (American University) and Greg Stevens (Okoboji)
Junior Faculty: Alex Edelman (University of Vermont), Aaron Schifrin (Walt Whitman) Josh Zoffer (Durham Academy), Alex Casariego (Chicago) and Meredith Potter (Yale)
Curriculum Coordinators/Senior Faculty LD: Dario Camara (Royal Palm Beach HS), Tom Evnen (University of Chicago), Kris Wright (Southlake), Tara Tedrow (University of Florida Law School) and Ernie Rose (University of Iowa Law School)
Junior LD Faculty: Andrew Waks (NYU/Bronx HS of Science), Catherine Tarsney (St. Louis Park HS), Ellen Noble (Macalester College/Apple Valley HS), Pat Donovon (Glenbrook North HS), Devin Race (TX), Emily Massey (Walt Whitman HS), and Ross Brown (Valley HS).

Congress, Extemp and Model United Nations offered at both Sessions

This summer program component provides superior training in argumentation, Parliamentary Procedures, scenario and political character preparation, skills drills, individualized coaching sessions and a curriculum that focuses on historical and current political analysis to prepare students to be at the top of their game.

REACH FOR THE SUMMIT!
SPARTAN DEBATE INSTITUTES 2010

Two-Week Session: July 11–23
Three-Week Session: July 11–30
Four-Week Session: July 11–August 6
Five-Week Session: July 11–August 14

What’s New at the 2010 SDI?

- **Introducing Spartan Seniors!**
  This year’s four-week program will include a new set of labs focused on rising seniors, and will feature senior instructors such as Bill Batterman, Greta Stahl, Brett Bricker, and Aaron Hardy.

- **New Fifth Week Curriculum!**
  This year’s fifth week program will offer a revised curriculum featuring a chance to work on strategies one-one-one with instructors such as MSU Debate Head Coach Will Repko. It will also offer each student an opportunity to see themselves speak on video.

- **Apply for Early Lab Notification!**
  For a low $50 fee, students who apply by April 1st can receive notification of their lab placement by April 15th. This won’t change your lab, but will offer you a chance to go into the camp knowing who some of your labmates and instructors will be.

For more information, and to apply online, please visit us at: http://debate.msu.edu/
Honor Your Students

With custom plaques from the NFL!

PERPETUAL PLAQUES
(Also available in Walnut)
Medium 10.5 x 13” (18 students) • Large 12 x 15” (24 students)

We have additional sizes and styles available! To customize your award, or for more information, contact Andrea Neitzel at andrea.neitzel@nationalforensicleague.org or 920-748-6206.

www.nflonline.org/community/catalog/89/plaques
The first Saturday after the last tournament of every season usually began with me snapping awake at 7:30 in the morning. Always bewildered—frustrated that someone didn’t have the decency to wake me up, and panic-stricken that I was still in bed and not in a bathroom at some Colorado high school getting ready for first round—it always took a minute or two for me to realize that normal people sleep in on Saturdays. Those Saturdays were the days when I rejoined normal high school teens and their lives.

But my first Saturday after the 2009 Birmingham National Tournament was different than most. That Saturday, I woke up at a typical forensic time and got in the car. But I didn’t drive to a high school. Instead I drove to the airport to fly to Florida—for a much-needed vacation. For a week, my family and I spent seven days aboard the Disney Magic in the Caribbean. For the first time in four years, I didn’t ask, what’s next?

Push through the finish line

For veteran forensic seniors, this what’s next? feeling isn’t an uncommon one. For two, three, or four years, you have continually pushed yourself on a mental and physical level. Having experienced sleep deprivation, time management nightmares, and an unending to-do list, your motto has become, what’s next? as a way to motivate yourself toward your goal. This what’s next? attitude can leave you burned out and ready for graduation—or a vacation. But after you celebrate and return from a relaxing sabbatical, what’s next?

Regardless of whether you qualified to the National Tournament or not, everyone has the same to-do list item in front of them. Push through the finish line.

I know it sounds cliché. It sounded cliché when 2006 FX National Champion Spencer Rockwell told a few of us during the qualifying season of our senior year to push through state and nationals. It sounds cliché even as I say it now, but it doesn’t diminish its truth. Choosing to throw in the towel now only provides a list of regrets. It may not seem so at the time, but early one Saturday morning, I promise it will.

Find a way to move on

That cruise I took with my family was the best and most relaxing vacation I have ever taken. The perfect Caribbean weather and laid back attitude probably didn’t hurt with the overall relaxed feel of the trip, but my ability to relax came from having nothing on my plate. No impossibly long CX to-do list to complete or hours of reading in The Economist or Atlantic. As much as I love forensics, the consistent flow of work from school, forensics, and my other extra-curricular activities was exhausting, and even I was burned out.

If you can’t get away on a seven-day Disney cruise, find some relaxing and enjoyable way to spend your summer. For most of you, this will be the first summer in a while in which you haven’t had to start looking for pieces or researching a new Policy topic. Take time to catch up with friends. Let go of the responsibilities of high school. Enjoy your last summer before college, and the next step toward adulthood.

Few things are worse than hearing you had the potential, skill, and opportunity to succeed but didn’t because you gave up just short of the finish line. Push through academically in the final months of your senior year. Push through in your last high school forensic tournament. Push through—because you won’t get a second chance. What’s next... is college.
If you’re like me, then you’ll find that summer slips away far too quickly. Before you know it, you are packing up the van and heading to college for what parents usually find an emotional trip. (Don’t worry; you’re not alone. ALL parents seem to do it.) Here’s where many struggle to transition from high school to college: If forensics has been a central part of your life—especially for four years—something may feel like it’s missing during that first semester of college. It’s tempting to call friends on your high school team for constant updates on the day-to-day activities of the team; but don’t. This doesn’t mean ignoring texts or cutting yourself off from everyone in your forensic community back home. Instead, allow yourself to grow and investigate the multitude of activities that college has to offer. Join a club or intramural team, or go Greek! Each of these offers an opportunity to connect with a new community which may be similar to your high school forensic team.

Don’t forget where you came from

As important as it is to put some distance between yourself and your debate team back home, it is a fine line to walk. It is one thing to still know the latest gossip about your district, and quite another to remain a crucial resource for your team and others when they need you.

Being a resource comes in multiple forms. This may mean answering questions about philosophy in LD, or watching interp pieces to offer a new perspective or explaining (and re-explaining) kritiks and how to beat them in CX. Most important, however, it means volunteering your time to help those still actively competing whenever possible. Often, this takes the form of judging at tournaments when you are home, or even once a month at a local tournament near your college. Forensics provided each of us with thousands of opportunities. It helped to shape who we are today and who we will be in the future. But we would never have had these opportunities if the ones who had come before us hadn’t chosen to give back to an activity that means so much to them. As you transition from an active competitor to an alum, it is important to contribute to that legacy which others have left before you.

In Colorado Grande, former district chair Mrs. Pauline Carochi likes to remind the outgoing senior class at nat quals every year, that it is our responsibility to give back to the forensic family no matter where we go, whether it’s as a judge, coach, or resource for the community. It’s Mrs. Carochi’s challenge to Colorado Grande senior classes, and it’s my challenge to you now. Thousands have come before us, and thousands more will come after us, with the hope of gaining the same positive experience, confidence, and opportunities that we have enjoyed. Remembering where you came from—and consciously giving back—is how we form the next generation.

Because, honestly, they are what’s next.

**About the Author**
Danielle Camous is the 2009 NFL Student of the Year. An alumna of St. Mary’s High School in Colorado Springs, CO, Danielle earned awards in debate, congress, and US Extemp during her four-year career and achieved a degree of Premier Distinction. She is now a student at the University of Colorado at Boulder.
Homemade Gourmet® is proud to offer three ways to help provide your students with the opportunities they need and deserve while you strive to care for yourself and your family.

Fundraising
Easy-to-sell meal solutions provide the opportunity for your team to earn the money they need to compete and travel. Our online fundraiser ordering system makes it simple to keep track of individual sales and totals.

Delicious Meal Solutions
Have high-quality meal ingredients and menus complete with grocery lists delivered right to your door so that it’s easier to spend precious time with your own family.

Income Opportunity
Supplement your salary with additional income to reach your personal goals.

Bringing families back to the dinner table is our mission. Let us help fulfill yours!

Call 1-888-477-2848 and provide Fundraiser Code 848339 to learn more.
Monday through Friday, 8:00am – 5:30pm CST
OR
Email: customersupport@homemadegourmet.com and provide Fundraiser Code 848339 to learn more.

www.homemadegourmet.com
Jake Nebel, 2009 NFL LD Finalist

“When I first started Lincoln Douglas Debate, I was totally disoriented. I almost quit after my first practice debate. It seemed difficult and not particularly fun. I loved philosophy, politics, and arguing with my teachers, but I was unfamiliar with competition. Had my first debate not been at camp, I probably would have quit on the spot.

“I couldn’t leave Boston in the middle of camp, so I was stuck for two weeks with my novice lab leaders. I thought, ‘I have to be better than someone, and if that someone is sticking with it, so should I.’

“So I guess you could say that I only decided to stick with debate after my first crush. No, not a romantic crush. A face crush. Since I had never been good at a competitive activity before, the adrenaline was otherworldly. I don’t remember who I crushed, but I remember the feeling. And I guess you could say that my debate career revolved around an addiction to that feeling—victory.

“I soon learned that there was more to debate. I think most debaters are, like me, addicted to victory. If I can offer one piece of advice, however, it would be this: don’t let your addiction become the goal. The addiction is instrumentally valuable because it propels us to improve, to never burn out, and to keep learning. But the friends we meet, the skills we develop, and the knowledge we gain on the way are what really matters; they are intrinsically valuable. I know that’s beating a dead horse, but it’s true, and nobody can repeat it enough. A lot of things have changed in 30 years, so this could be the only timeless truth about LD.”
Shalini Ramanathan, NFL LD Champion, 1990

“I’m a sharper, more articulate person because of LD Debate. It taught me how to organize my thoughts, speak clearly, and think on my feet. These are skills I use every day in both my professional and personal lives. It also gave me a sense of community, at a time in my life when I really needed it. I recently ran into a friend from my debate days at an airport, and that sense of having been part of something together was still there—I’m grateful for all the people I met and the lessons I learned through debate.”

“Strive to have conversations that are relevant to our personal and political realities.”
~Bryce Pashler

Bryce Pashler, NFL LD Semifinalist, 1994

“In the course of its three decades, LD Debate has significantly reinvented itself a number of times. The last time I saw a debate round in-person was 2002. When I watch videos online of debate rounds today, I find them completely unfamiliar from anything I participated in, and certainly beyond my quickly diminishing abilities of comprehension (after all, I am four years older than LD, and my mental faculties are quickly declining). Each successive “generation” of debaters undoubtedly feels they have reached some previously unimagined pinnacle of intellectual achievement; each previous “generation” undoubtedly feels the standards of the activity have degenerated and the future is lost. Neither is right. The conversation continues; the form and the rules are, in the long run, largely irrelevant. It has and always will be the substance of the conversation that gives the activity life-blood.

“So what will we see over the next 30 years? Here is my birthday wish for LD: that debaters strive to have conversations that are relevant to our personal and political realities; that they strive to make this conversation inclusive and to strike down barriers of entries that prevent the other from participating; and that they strive to make the conversation understandable and part of our wider academic, political, and social communities. I hope that LD will equip students to engage the world and to give voice to those who are currently drowned out in political discourse by the elites, the hacks, the screamers, the tribalists, the talking heads, the game-players. Good luck.”

Bryce Pashler is a graduate of Valley High School in West Des Moines, Iowa, and a semifinalist at the 1994 NFL National Tournament. After graduating from high school, he coached students at his alma mater to a still unmatched three TOC championships and four final round appearances in so many years. Today, he is an associate in the New York office of Simpson, Thatcher, and Bartlett.

Shalini Ramanathan is the 1990 National Champion from Newman Smith High School in Texas. She holds a BA from the University of Texas and a Master’s degree from the Yale School of Forestry and Environmental Management. Ramanathan joined Renewable Energy Systems (RES) Americas in 2007 as a Project Developer and was later named Director of Development for Emerging Renewables. In 2009, she was awarded the position of Vice President of Development for the South Central region.

To view her final round, visit http://nfltv.org/2010/01/27/1990-san-jose-nationals/
Reflections: Lincoln Douglas Debate Turns 30

Steve Davis, NFL LD Champion, 1999

“LD is an evolving event. I remember in 1998, Ben Silbermann and I would look at videos of the National Championship from 5 years before and marvel at how slowly competitors spoke. I recently saw a clip of someone at Nationals in 2009 and could barely understand him for the speed. Format aside, debate taught me quite a bit. Of course, we learned to construct and deconstruct arguments. We learned to structure thoughts in a compelling way. And we learned to speak articulately.

“But I didn’t realize the deeper benefits of participating in debate until much later. I learned the difference between being articulate and being right, the enormous difference between convincing an audience and convincing the person you’re talking to, and the incredible way that being articulate magnifies your contributions in any setting. The greatest part of participating in debate, though, was the articulate, ambitious people it introduced me to. The people I met on high school weekends have gone on to clerk at the Supreme Court, investigated the space shuttle Columbia disaster for NASA, and started their own companies—and that’s just the group from my state championship! Even a decade later I continue to count debate friends as some of my closest, and that for me has been the greatest reward.”

Steve Davis is the 1999 National Champion from Des Moines-Roosevelt High School in Iowa. He is a Project Leader at the Boston Consulting Group, a global management consulting firm. He has a BA in Sociology and an MBA from Harvard University. His final round is available online at http://nftv.org/2009/11/04/1999-phoenix-nationals/

“LD may be one of the best events to help expand a student’s way of analyzing a topic.”

~Brian Bloss

Brian Bloss, NFA LD Semifinalist, 2008

“Participating in high school Lincoln Douglas was invaluable to me as a competitor for two reasons: first, it is high school Lincoln Douglas that provides the format the National Forensic Association has adapted to create its own version of the event in college. When I auditioned to compete for Western Kentucky University’s debate team, I was told part of the reason I would be a good fit was that I was familiar enough with the basic LD format and could apply my time in Policy Debate in a single person format. In retrospect, a few high school Lincoln Douglas Debate tournaments provided the key to an undergraduate education. More importantly, having the privilege to go to Western Kentucky gave me the chance to meet some of my closest and dearest friends.

“Additionally participating in high school Lincoln Douglas debate was very useful in my collegiate Parliamentary Debate career. While a large majority of Parliamentary debates have a familiarity with the structure of policy debate cases, they are not necessarily familiar with the value debate structure. Although my participation in high school LD was limited, that limited experience helped me and my Parliamentary Debate partners successfully invoke the value debate paradigm in college when it was advantageous to do so.

“In retrospect, Lincoln Douglas may be one of the best events to help expand a student’s way of analyzing a topic. It is relatively easy to explain how a certain policy will work when implemented, but it is much more difficult to compress a discussion of a philosophical value system and its application to the real world within the time constraints of the event. You would be hard pressed to find another competitive activity for high school students grounded in the spirit of effective and precise communication of complex philosophical ideas and systems. These are the invaluable lessons competitors will take with them long after their time in the activity has come to a close.”

Brian Bloss was a Lincoln Douglas and Policy debater at Coppell High School in Texas under Glenda Ferguson. He went on to debate for Western Kentucky University, finishing 3rd in the nation in Lincoln Douglas Debate at the 2008 NFA National Tournament. He was also a National Parliamentary Debate Association All American Debater. Today, Bloss is a graduate student seeking a Master’s of International Affairs with a concentration in National Security Affairs at the George Bush School of Government and Public Service at Texas A&M University.

“I didn’t realize the deeper benefits of participating in debate until much later.”

~Steve Davis
Mary C. Ambrose-Gerak, the first winner of Lincoln Douglas Debate, examined the financing mechanism of the Social Security system en route to claiming the inaugural LD title thirty years ago. Today she lives in Illinois, the Land of Lincoln and Douglas. Originally from Marian High School in Omaha, Nebraska, Ms. Gerak attended the Huntsville tournament as the winner of Nebraska’s Girls’ Extemporaneous Speaking competition. She remembers enrolling in Lincoln Douglas Debate after her coaches insisted that the Marian team participate in either LD or Policy Debate. She started defeating other “second eventers” and after over a dozen rounds, entered the mainstream Lincoln Douglas competition.

Practicing at night with her Marian debate coach, Mary Lou Kipfel, and speech coach, Ms. Kassmeier, Mary improved quickly in content and delivery. Nebraska coaches such as Alex Pritchard from Creighton Prep also assisted. The topical research in 1980 arrived with Ms. Gerak’s luggage from Nebraska in books, newspapers, and magazines. Without the Internet and access to the libraries in Huntsville, her nightly scrambles through documents continued throughout the week-long competition. Resting one’s voice and using homemade remedies at night assisted her.

Capitalizing on fresh evidence, organization, and concise delivery, Ms. Gerak earned the first Lincoln Douglas Debate National Championship in 1980. In addition to the trophy and plaques, she received a Phillips Petroleum college scholarship toward her Honors program admission at Creighton University. Her status enabled her to fund her college education by judging at local tournaments on the weekends during college.

A group of debate coaches and Ms. Gerak co-authored a textbook through the University of Kansas entitled, The Lincoln Douglas Debate Experience (University of Kansas, 1981). She acquired skills that she utilized at Notre Dame Law School. At Notre Dame in 1984-87, Ms. Gerak won Notre Dame’s Client Counseling competitions (competing at the ABA Regionals), earned fourth place in the Moot Court tournament, and earned faculty selection as a Notre Dame Barrister (awarded to the 18 top trial advocacy students). She taught Legal Writing at Notre Dame as a third year.

During the 1990’s, as she practiced at Katten Muchin, one of Chicago’s top three law firms, she also taught at night at Loyola and DePaul Law Schools. She now resides in Lake Barrington with her family after a career at major law firms, top 50 corporations, and as a federal prosecutor. She ran a shelter for the homeless, as well. In her own practice as a litigator and employment attorney, she spends nighttime teaching business law classes to adults at the local community colleges. During the spring, she volunteers as a speech judge/coach at the local Barrington Middle School and High School tournaments. She enrolled the local Catholic elementary school for the first time in the District 220 tournament. “The judging criteria and point system are different, but the topics the same,” states Ms. Gerak. She attributes her successful career in public policy advocacy to her lifelong gift of communication and advocacy skills from the NFL.

“Spending weekends and summers researching and writing debate arguments propelled me forward in my career pursuits and in life. I hope that all students take time to educate themselves on the intricacies of argument and oral presentation. Lincoln Douglas Debate techniques—reading, analyzing, and discussing important issues in the spirit of our forefathers, Abraham Lincoln and Stephen Douglas—serve as terrific life skills.” ~Mary Ambrose-Gerak

Lincoln Douglas Debate Champions • 1980 to Present

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Name</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hockaday School</td>
<td>1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newman School</td>
<td>1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eagan High School</td>
<td>1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edina High School</td>
<td>1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celebration School</td>
<td>1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dulles High School</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain View High School</td>
<td>1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Cerrito High School</td>
<td>1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscar Shinee</td>
<td>1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maya Babu</td>
<td>1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam Preiss</td>
<td>1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Des Moines-Roosevelt High School</td>
<td>1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vestavia Hills High School</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Greenhill School</td>
<td>1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Marlborough School</td>
<td>1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska-Marian</td>
<td>1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DePaul Law Schools</td>
<td>1978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loyola University Chicago</td>
<td>1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notre Dame Law School</td>
<td>1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvey Mudd College</td>
<td>1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occidental College</td>
<td>1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Chicago</td>
<td>1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern University</td>
<td>1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Pennsylvania</td>
<td>1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Princeton University</td>
<td>1968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yale University</td>
<td>1967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard College</td>
<td>1966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Chicago</td>
<td>1965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Pennsylvania</td>
<td>1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Los Angeles</td>
<td>1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>1957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Los Angeles</td>
<td>1956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>1953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Los Angeles</td>
<td>1952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>1949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Los Angeles</td>
<td>1948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>1945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Los Angeles</td>
<td>1944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>1941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Los Angeles</td>
<td>1940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>1937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Los Angeles</td>
<td>1936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>1933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Los Angeles</td>
<td>1932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>1929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Los Angeles</td>
<td>1928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>1925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Los Angeles</td>
<td>1924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>1921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Los Angeles</td>
<td>1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>1917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Los Angeles</td>
<td>1916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>1913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Los Angeles</td>
<td>1912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>1909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Los Angeles</td>
<td>1908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>1905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Los Angeles</td>
<td>1904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>1901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Los Angeles</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Los Angeles</td>
<td>1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Los Angeles</td>
<td>1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Los Angeles</td>
<td>1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Los Angeles</td>
<td>1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Los Angeles</td>
<td>1980</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A modern, comprehensive approach to teaching and learning Lincoln Douglas Debate, aligned with curriculum created by the NFL’s Lincoln Douglas Committee!

NEW LD VIDEO!

The National Forensic League proudly presents Learning Lincoln Douglas Debate. This is the perfect companion to the NFL’s online text and series of lesson plans, available at www.teachingdebate.org/ld. This instructional DVD is intended as a tutorial for students and coaches alike, and can be used in the classroom, or to catch up a student who has missed instruction.

The DVD is hosted by two coaches who wrote the NFL’s Lincoln Douglas Debate lesson plan series. Hall of Fame coach Pam Cady Wycoff of Apple Valley High School in Minnesota introduces Lincoln Douglas Debate, explaining its benefits and what makes it unique. Coach Joe Vaughan of Scarsdale High School in New York brings his award-winning coaching experience and process-oriented mind as a science teacher to the segments that parallel the lesson plans:

- What is an Argument?
- Understanding Values
- Refutation and Clash
- Case Structure
- Research Methods
- Structure of a Round
- Cross-Examination
- Flowing
- Crystallization and Voting Issues

Additionally, the DVD includes a demonstration debate by two alumni champion debaters, with commentary by Mr. Vaughan.

www.nflonline.org/community/catalog/82/dvds
Reflections: Lincoln Douglas Debate Turns 30

Tara Tedrow, NFL LD Champion, 2005

“Without fail, public speaking seems to yearly rank as people’s top fear. I will always be shocked that some find it less frightening to be submerged in a shark tank than to speak before an audience. With such an awful statistic, you might imagine Lincoln Douglas Debate to be a rather sadistic event. But for anyone who is or has been a debater, you know that the exact opposite is true.

Perhaps things are different in the debate world. For one, it’s a group of students who spend their weekends and even summers doing an academic activity that requires more effort than most, if not all, of their high school courses. It’s an event where you wake up earlier on Saturday and Sunday than you would on a school days, and dress as if you are heading to another day at the office. Which all goes to show why the top fears amongst debaters probably include forgetting your cases at a tournament or hitting a critical position that has more German sounding words than English.

“But that is what makes debate so special. Debate is unlike any activity you will find at high school. It’s an activity that is thrilling, invigorating and enlightening. To say that debate has impacted my life would be a disservice to the activity and an understatement of its power. Lincoln Douglas Debate changed my life in almost every conceivable respect by providing me with opportunities that classroom academics and sports teams could never create. It gives you the chance to become an advocate- sometimes for positions you find hard to reconcile your own personal beliefs with. But in the process of being conflicted over arguments to run and cases to write, I learned the value of never putting blind faith into a belief and of pushing myself to become more educated and articulate. I was forced to manage my time between traveling sometimes three weekends a month and staying ahead in school. I was required to think on my feet, expand my knowledge base and speak in front of audiences whose job it is to analyze and criticize everything you said.

“As with any activity, styles change and new lines of thinking emerge. But the essence of debate is not how fast you speak or how outrageous of a position you run, but the lifelong benefits you derive and the friends you make along the way. I will always believe that the best debates are substantive ones, where issues are explored and ideas clash not solely by reading at hyper-speed and putting out as many one sentence responses as possible, but by having an actual discussion of the issues and leaving the judge and audience with a better understanding of your position. But even as LD has morphed into the pseudo-Policy Debate realm, the principles behind it have never changed. It will always remain an activity open to various styles of debate and divergent points of view. Though some may find its Policy aspects more appealing, the traditional lines of debate are still strong and remain formidable. Despite any changes, LD will continue to positively impact the lives of not just those competing, but those who are later taught by former competitors and coaches. To those who continue to compete, always maintain a sense of ethics and an eye toward really gaining rich insight on issues that will inevitably affect your life. And to those who teach, I hope the next 30 years will be full of praise for your efforts at helping students gain the wisdom and skills necessary to one day become their own advocates.”

Tara Tedrow is the 2005 NFL National Champion and the 2004 and 2005 NCFL National Champion. She remains the only person to repeat an NCFL championship and the only person to win both NCFL and NFL in a single year, the closest person to the triple crown. Tedrow is a graduate of Celebration High School and a Presidential Scholar for Debate at Wake Forest University. Currently, she is a Juris Doctor Candidate at University of Florida Levin College of Law, class of 2012, and Curriculum Coordinator for the National Debate Forum. Her final round is available online at http://nfltv.org/2010/02/24/2005-philadelphia-nationals/

“To those who teach, I hope the next 30 years will be full of praise for your efforts at helping students gain the wisdom and skills necessary to one day become their own advocates.”

~Tara Tedrow
Hosted in Des Moines, Iowa

IDEA/NJFL National Middle School Tournament

June 24-27
2010

The tournament will provide competition opportunities to talented middle level students from across the nation, consistent with the mission of the National Junior Forensic League (NJFL) to extend the benefits of debate and speech education to young adolescents.

Visit www.juniorforensicleague.org for more details.
As a young man, Mark Oppenheimer’s smart mouth didn’t always work in his favor. But he eventually discovered an outlet for his loquaciousness: the debate team. Frank and comical, *Wisenheimer* chronicles the travails of a hyperarticulate child who found salvation in the heady world of competitive oratory.

“An intimate look at the high school team—no, not the football team—the debate team! You think only physical athletes make interesting subjects; try mental athletes. Who knew?” —Judy Blume, bestselling author of *Summer Sisters*
The will to win, the desire to succeed, the urge to reach your full potential... these are the keys that will unlock the door to personal excellence.

- Eddie Robinson

The Reasons Are Clear

- The Best Coaches in Forensics
- A Full Week of Instruction
- The Most Reasonable Pricing
- Kentucky’s Only Non-Profit Camp
- Payment Plans Available

At WKU, we realize that becoming a great forensics competitor takes more than flash and style. It takes heart, substance and hard work to make national final rounds and master the activity. There is a reason that WKU is home to more high school and collegiate national champions than any other program. WKU’s SFI challenges students to strive to become the very best and then gives them the tools to be champions.

The only non-profit camp in Kentucky

Reasonably Priced

Costs for the camp are kept to a minimum with pricing plans for Kentucky students, out of state students and commuters. WKU’s SFI is the most reasonably priced camp in Forensics. If you want big success, but don’t want to pay big bucks, then WKU’s SFI is the smart choice. All pricing includes one full week of instruction with the nation’s best coaches, meals, lodging, & event specific materials.

Payment Plans Available

WKU’s mission is to make available the best instruction at the lowest price. Although WKU’s SFI is the region’s only non-profit institute, sometimes cost can be a major hurdle. Now, WKU’s SFI has created a payment plan to help ease the burdens of cost. The payment plan starts in February and culminates in June. Paying on time also gives the added bonus of a 10% discount off of the full tuition.

**Restrictions Apply

WE’VE WORKED WITH CHAMPIONS AT ALL LEVELS. WE CAN HELP YOU, TOO!
If you want to compete like a champion, you need to work with the champions at WKU’s SFI.
DIVISIONS AND EVENTS

The **WKU Summer Forensic Institute** offers personalized, intensive study in four major areas for the senior division and three major areas for the junior division students:

**SENIOR**
- Debate: Public Forum Debate & Congress
- Interpretation: Dramatic, Duo, Humorous, Improv Duo, Poetry, Prose & Storytelling
- Limited Preparation: Extemporaneous Speaking
- Public Speaking: Oratory & Declamation

**JUNIOR**
- Interpretation: Duo Acting, Improv Duo, Interp of Lit., Poetry, Prose, Storytelling, & Solo Acting
- Limited Preparation: Extemporaneous Speaking
- Public Speaking: Oratory & Public Speaking

**Sign Up Today! Go To** [http://www.wku.edu/pcal/camp](http://www.wku.edu/pcal/camp)
The Samford University Debate Team

proudly presents

The 36th Annual Samford University Summer Debate Institute
Sunday, June 27–Saturday, July 10, 2010

Why choose Samford Debate Institute?
- Learn from a national-caliber staff at a reasonable price.
- Beginning debaters are a priority.
- The program emphasizes 21st-century debating skills.
- At least 15 critiqued practice debates in two weeks are guaranteed.
- Samford has a track record of success. Program graduates have been in deep elimination rounds of every major high school tournament.
- Instruction is offered for all skill levels in policy, Lincoln-Douglas and public forum debate.

Prices
Samford is committed to maintaining low prices during tough economic times.

Residents
$1,350 (including $50 deposit)

Coomuters with meals
$1,000 (including $50 deposit)

Coomuters without meals
$850 (including $50 deposit)

Dr. Galloway’s Scholars
Now offering an optional third week of intensive training with our top faculty from July 11–17, 2010.

Residents
$2,000 (including $50 deposit)

Coomuters with meals
$1,600 (including $50 deposit)

Coomuters without meals
$1,400 (including $50 deposit)

800 Lakeshore Drive
Birmingham, AL 35229
For more information, contact Abi Williams at 205-726-2049, awilliam@samford.edu or go to www.samford.edu/debate.
The Teal Book

**THE TEAL BOOK INCLUDES:**

- ★ Ruffled Feathers
- ★ Something To Cheer About
- ★ Parton Me
- ★ Tiger in a Cage
- ★ Romeo and Juliet in Hollywood

A FORENSICS ANTHOLOGY
EDITED BY GREGORY T. BURNS

PREVIEW ALL BOOKS AT TheInterpStore.com
THE KANSAS CITY AREA is an excellent location for the 2010 LFG/NFL National Speech and Debate Tournament. To make planning a little easier, the National Office is happy to provide a preliminary overview of the tournament. Please keep in mind that all logistics are tentative and subject to change.

A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE TOURNAMENT LOGISTICS

• **Sunday (Registration)**
  
  This year, the tournament registration and NFL vending expo will take place on Sunday, June 13th from 8am to 4pm at the KCI Expo Center. The KCI Expo Center is centrally located from all hotels and other competition venues.

• **Monday and Tuesday (Preliminary Rounds/Early Elims/Host Party)**
  
  There will be six venue areas used for the preliminary competition. The Marriott KCI Airport and the Hilton KCI Airport will host Congressional Debate. Park Hill South High School will host Policy Debate. Park Hill High School/Congress Middle School will host Lincoln Douglas Debate and Public Forum Debate. Oak Park High School will host the Extemporaneous Speaking events and Original Oratory. Staley High School will host Humorous, Dramatic, and Duo Interpretation.

  All main event preliminary and early elimination competition on Monday and Tuesday will occur between 8am and 6pm.

  The local host party will take place in downtown Kansas City, MO at the Power and Light District and the adjacent College Basketball Experience. Students eliminated from main event competition on Tuesday will re-register for supplemental events at the College Basketball Experience during the local host party.

• **Wednesday (Elimination Rounds/Supplemental Events)**
  
  There will be three venues used on Wednesday, June 17th. Students who qualify for elimination round 9 of all main event speech and debate events (Interps, Original Oratory, US Extemp, International Extemp, Lincoln Douglas Debate, Policy Debate, and Public Forum Debate) will compete at Park Hill South High School on Wednesday. Congressional Debate semifinals will be held at the Marriott KCI Airport. Those students re-registered for supplemental events will compete at Park Hill High School/Congress Middle School on Wednesday. All competition will occur between 8am and 7pm on Wednesday.

• **Thursday (Elim Rounds/Supp/Cons Events/Interp Finals/Diamond Awards)**
  
  On Thursday morning, debate elimination rounds will continue at Park Hill South High School. Congressional Debate will hold its final round sessions at the Hilton KCI Airport. All supplemental and consolation events will occur at Park Hill High School/Congress Middle School.

  On Thursday evening, attendees will enjoy the national final rounds of Humorous, Dramatic, and Duo Interpretation, as well as the Coaches’ Diamond Ceremony at the KCI Expo Center.

• **Friday (Supp/Cons/Main Event Finals and National Awards Assembly)**
  
  The remaining main event final rounds (Original Oratory, US Extemp, International Extemp, Lincoln Douglas Debate, Policy Debate, and Public Forum Debate), as well as the supplemental and consolation event finals, will be held throughout the day on Friday at the KCI Expo Center.

  On Friday evening, the National Awards Assembly will be held at the KCI Expo Center.

Do you have important questions about the logistics of the 2010 “Jazzin’ it up in KC” Nationals that weren’t answered here? Feel free to contact the National Office at 920-748-6206 or e-mail nfl@nflonline.org.
1. All schools should stay at one of the NFL recommended hotels. The lowest rates have been negotiated for our members. **Please do not stay outside the block.** Properties that do not appear on this list are likely highly inconvenient for participation in the tournament. Morning and afternoon traffic could add substantial time to your commute if you are located outside the block. In addition, hotels not on the list have no contractual obligation to the NFL and therefore, we cannot provide any level of reservation protection at these properties.

2. When calling hotels, all coaches must mention the NFL National Tournament block to receive the posted rate. **All room reservations within the block are subject to an automatic two-night non-refundable deposit per room to avoid double booking.**

3. **All** hotel properties on the NFL list are easily accessible and are within 15-20 minutes by interstate or surface streets of every Monday-Friday competition venue. The host Web site will have downloadable maps from every hotel to the KCI Expo Center, the KCI Airport, and the competition sites. You can print all needed maps before ever leaving home.

4. **The Congressional Debate hotels are the Marriott KCI Airport and the Hilton KCI Airport.** It is recommended that teams with congressional debaters stay at one of these two properties if possible. These hotels are an excellent choice in both price and feature. Travel time between each hotel is less than 10 minutes. The Hilton will host the preliminary sessions of the Senate and the final session of both the House and Senate. The Marriott will host the preliminary sessions of the House and the semifinal sessions of both the House and Senate.

5. It is recommended that coaches go to the individual Web sites of the hotels to determine which property fits the needs of their program. All hotels on the list are convenient to the tournament venues. Schools are encouraged to book early as hotel blocks will fill up rather quickly.

6. **Key Travel Times to Note:**
   - All hotels to KCI Expo Center (1 to 10 minutes)
   - All hotels to any of the schools (5 to 20 minutes)
   - Any school to any school (10 to 20 minutes)

7. **PLEASE LOOK AT A MAP!** Before reserving rooms, all coaches should look at a road atlas and an enlargement of the North KC area to get a better perspective on travel logistics. Also look at downloadable maps on the host Web Site. The key to a less stressful week is to seriously consider following the above lodging suggestions provided by the National Office.

Additional tournament information (logistics, complete driving directions, maps, individual event schedules, etc.) will be available on the NFL Web site at [www.nflonline.org/NationalTournament](http://www.nflonline.org/NationalTournament) and at the local host site at [http://debatekc2010.org](http://debatekc2010.org).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map No.</th>
<th>Hotel Name / Web Site</th>
<th>Address (in Kansas City, MO unless otherwise noted)</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Rate (sorted by)</th>
<th>Amenities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Marriott Kansas City Airport ..............................................</td>
<td>775 Brasilia Avenue ......................................................</td>
<td>816-891-7500</td>
<td>$102 (IP, AS, FC)</td>
<td>CONGRESS HOTEL (<a href="http://www.marriott.com/hotels/travel/mciap-Kansas-City-Marriott">http://www.marriott.com/hotels/travel/mciap-Kansas-City-Marriott</a>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Embassy Suites Kansas City Airport ......................................</td>
<td>7640 NW Tiffany Springs Parkway ......................................</td>
<td>816-891-7788</td>
<td>$111 (FC, IP, GL)</td>
<td>Rate (in US)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Hyatt Place Kansas City Airport ...........................................</td>
<td>7600 NW 97th Terrace .....................................................</td>
<td>816-891-0871</td>
<td>$109 (CI, CB, OP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Holiday Inn KCI Airport and Expo Center ..................................</td>
<td>11728 NW Ambassador Drive .............................................</td>
<td>816-801-8400</td>
<td>$103 (CI, R, IP, FC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Courtyard Kansas City Airport .............................................</td>
<td>7901 N. Tiffany Springs Parkway ........................................</td>
<td>816-891-7500</td>
<td>$99 (GL, AS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Drury Inn &amp; Suites KCI ....................................................</td>
<td>7900 NW Tiffany Springs Parkway ........................................</td>
<td>800-436-1164</td>
<td>$99 (CB, AS, IP, OP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Guesthouse Int’l Hotel, Suites &amp; Conf Center ..................................</td>
<td>1601 N. Universal Avenue ..............................................</td>
<td>816-245-5512</td>
<td>$99 (OP, FC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Radisson Hotel Kansas City Airport ......................................</td>
<td>11828 NW Plaza Circle ..................................................</td>
<td>816-464-2423</td>
<td>$99 (IP, FC, AS, R)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>The Elms Resort and Spa ...................................................</td>
<td>401 Regent Street • Excelsior Springs, MO ..........................</td>
<td>816-630-5500</td>
<td>$98 (CI, FC, IP, OP, R)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Candlewood Suites KCI Airport ............................................</td>
<td>11110 NW Ambassador Drive .............................................</td>
<td>816-886-9700</td>
<td>$96 (FC, GL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Fairfield Inn &amp; Suites Kansas City Airport ..................................</td>
<td>11820 NW Plaza Circle ..................................................</td>
<td>816-464-2424</td>
<td>$94 (CB, AS, GL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hampton Inn Kansas City Airport ...........................................</td>
<td>11212 North Newark Circle .............................................</td>
<td>816-464-5454</td>
<td>$94 (AS, CB, FC, GL, OP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Hampton Inn - Kansas City / Liberty ......................................</td>
<td>8551 N. Church Street ..................................................</td>
<td>816-415-9600</td>
<td>$94 (CB, GL, FC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Holiday Inn KC Northeast ..................................................</td>
<td>7333 NE Pervin Road .....................................................</td>
<td>816-454-2629</td>
<td>$94 (IP, FC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Four Points by Sheraton KCI Airport ......................................</td>
<td>11832 Northwest Plaza Circle ...........................................</td>
<td>816-243-5561</td>
<td>$91 (AS, FC, OP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Chase Suite Hotel ...........................................................</td>
<td>9900 NW Prairie View Road .............................................</td>
<td>816-891-9009</td>
<td>$90 (CB, FC, GL, OP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Comfort Inn KCI Airport ...................................................</td>
<td>1201 Branch Street • Platte City, MO .................................</td>
<td>816-464-5500</td>
<td>$90 (CB, CI, AS, IP, FC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Best Western Airport Inn and Suites .....................................</td>
<td>2512 NW Prairie View Road .............................................</td>
<td>816-214-6027</td>
<td>$75 (CB, FC, IP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>American Inn ...............................................................</td>
<td>1211 Armour Road • North Kansas City ...............................</td>
<td>816-471-3451</td>
<td>$69 (R, OP, GL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Hawthorn Suites by Windham Kansas City Airport .......................</td>
<td>11951 Ambassador Drive ..................................................</td>
<td>816-464-5500</td>
<td>$69 (AS, CB, FC, IP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Super 8 / Country Inn KCI Airport ......................................</td>
<td>11900 NW Plaza Circle ..................................................</td>
<td>816-464-2002</td>
<td>$69 (AS, CB, CI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Howard Johnson Plaza Hotel KCI Airport ..................................</td>
<td>7301 NW Tiffany Springs Road ...........................................</td>
<td>816-268-1600</td>
<td>$67 (AS, CB, FC, CI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Sleep Inn Airport ...........................................................</td>
<td>7611 NW 97th Terrace ....................................................</td>
<td>816-891-0111</td>
<td>$58 (AS, CB, CI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Microtel Inn and Suites Kansas City Airport ............................</td>
<td>11831 NW Plaza Circle ..................................................</td>
<td>816-270-1200</td>
<td>$50 (AS, CB, CI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Extended Stay America ....................................................</td>
<td>11712 NW Plaza Circle ..................................................</td>
<td>816-287-8299</td>
<td>$34 (GL, AS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note: Map is to scale, but hotel and venue notations are approximate. Please look at a road atlas and enlargement of the North KC area to get a better perspective on travel logistics.

**VENUES**
- A • KCI Airport
- B • KCI Expo Center
- C • Park Hill High School / Congress Middle School
- D • Park Hill South High School
- E • Oak Park High School
- F • Staley High School

**HOTELS**
- 0 • Best Western Airport Inn and Suites
- 1 • Marriott Kansas City Airport
- 2 • Hampton Inn Kansas City Airport
- 3 • Hawthorne Suites by Wyndham Kansas City Airport
- 4 • Four Points by Sheraton KCI Airport
- 5 • Radisson Hotel Kansas City Airport
- 6 • Fairfield Inn & Suites Kansas City Airport
- 7 • Holiday Inn KCI Airport and Expo Center
- 8 • Extended Stay America
- 9 • Microtel Inn and Suites Kansas City Airport
- 10 • Super 8 / Country Inn KCI Airport
- 11 • Hilton Kansas City Airport
- 12 • Candlewood Suites KCI Airport
- 13 • Comfort Inn KCI Airport
- 14 • Residence Inn Kansas City Airport
- 15 • Chase Suite Hotel
- 16 • Drury Inn & Suites KCI
- 17 • Courtyard Kansas City Airport
- 18 • Embassy Suites Kansas City Airport
- 19 • Hyatt Place Kansas City Airport
- 20 • Sleep Inn Airport
- 21 • Howard Johnson Plaza Hotel KCI Airport
- 22 • American Inn
- 23 • Guesthouse International Hotel, Suites & Conference Center
- 24 • Hampton Inn - Kansas City / Liberty
- 25 • Holiday Inn KC Northeast
- 26 • The Elms Resort and Spa

**AMENITIES LEGEND**
- AS = Airport Shuttle
- CB = Complimentary Breakfast
- CI = Complimentary Internet
- FC = Fitness Center
- RL = Restaurant
- GL = Guest Laundry
- OP = Outdoor Pool

**REMINDER:**
When you book, it is NFL policy that you reserve with an immediate two-night, non-refundable deposit to hold each room. The NFL must eliminate speculative booking (reserving rooms just in case you qualify) and double booking (booking two locations until you arrive). If you reserve excess rooms, you will be charged a two-night, non-refundable deposit on each room booked, even if cancelled later.
Need to rent a car?

**HERTZ is the NFL’s official rental car company.**

Whether you make reservations for travel through [hertz.com](http://hertz.com), a travel agency, or global online travel sites such as Orbitz, Travelocity, etc., utilize your official Hertz/NFL discount code:

**CV # 04JZ0001**

Each time you place a reservation, you instantly qualify for member discounts!

Visit hertz.com or call 1-800-654-2240 today!

---

**National Forensic League**

**Kansas City, MO**

**June 13-18, 2010**

**CV# 04JZ0001**

**Rates available from Kansas City, MO**

**June 6-25, 2010**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Car Class</th>
<th>Daily Per Day</th>
<th>Weekend Per Day</th>
<th>Weekly $/7 Day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-ECONOMY</td>
<td>$52.00</td>
<td>$21.00</td>
<td>$174.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-COMPACT</td>
<td>$53.00</td>
<td>$24.00</td>
<td>$190.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-MID-SIZE</td>
<td>$54.00</td>
<td>$27.00</td>
<td>$205.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-STANDARD</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td>$31.00</td>
<td>$221.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-FULL-SIZE 4DR</td>
<td>$59.00</td>
<td>$33.00</td>
<td>$231.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-PREMIUM</td>
<td>$64.00</td>
<td>$46.00</td>
<td>$272.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-LUXURY</td>
<td>$78.00</td>
<td>$66.00</td>
<td>$331.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-4WD/4X4 SUV</td>
<td>$78.00</td>
<td>$66.00</td>
<td>$331.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-MINIVAN 2WD</td>
<td>$81.00</td>
<td>$68.00</td>
<td>$339.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-CONVERTIBLE</td>
<td>$78.00</td>
<td>$66.00</td>
<td>$331.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**General Information**

Meeting rates are guaranteed from one week prior through one week after the meeting dates and are subject to availability. Advance reservations are recommended. blackout dates may apply. Government surcharges, taxes, tax reimbursement, airport related fees, vehicle licensing fees and optional items, such as refueling or additional driver fees, are extra. Minimum rental age is 25 (exceptions apply). Standard rental conditions, qualifications and return restrictions apply. In the continental U.S. and Canada weekday rentals are available for pick-up between noon Thursday and noon Sunday and must be returned no later than Monday at 11:59 p.m. Thursday pick-up requires a minimum three-day keep. Friday pick-up requires a minimum two-day keep, and Saturday and Sunday pick-up require a one-day keep. Weekly rentals are from five to seven days. Extra day rate for Weekly rentals will be charged at 1/5 of the Weekly Rate.

---

**NeverLost®**

In-Car Navigation System Guides You Wherever You Want To Go

NeverLost uses the Global Positioning System (GPS) – with smart sensors to achieve the accuracy needed for true turn-by-turn guidance. It is the most advanced on-board system ever engineered by Magellan, a leader in satellite navigation technology. Daily rental fee applies.
For 84 years, *The Forensic Quarterly* has remained one of the most credible and valuable resources for policy debaters and coaches across the country. Four issues are published each year at $6.75 per issue. FQ1 is an overview of the topic; FQ2 is a bibliography of possible research materials; FQ3 includes potential affirmative cases; and FQ4 includes possible negative cases.

The *NFHS Coach’s Manual for Speech and Debate* is designed specifically for novice coaches. The manual contains information on a number of elements of coaching, including contest descriptions, finances, travel, judging, attending tournaments and building and developing a squad. The loose-leaf notebook format makes it easy to add information specific to your state. Cost is $20.20 plus shipping and handling.

Thirty-one low-cost speech and debate booklets are available. Titles of some of those booklets are: An Introduction to Debate; Lincoln Douglas Debate: The Basics of Value Argumentation; Oral Interpretation; Preparing and Performing Literature; Parliamentary Debate; Rebuttals and Extensions in Debate; Understanding the Counterplan; Public Forum Debate: An Introduction; Topicality: Theory and Practice; and Preparing and Performing a One-act Play. Each booklet is $3.25.

**DVDs and Videos** are available on a variety of topics. A video on Public Forum Debate is available in VHS format. A DVD is available on both Original Oration and Lincoln Douglas Debate, and a DVD on the Military Presence Policy debate resolution will be available in July 2010.

More information on all publications is available online at www.nfhs.org

To order any of these materials, call NFHS customer service toll free at 1-800-776-3462 or order online at <www.NFHS.com>.

**Coming Soon** – All booklets will be available online to NFHS Speech, Debate and Theatre Association members. $1 million excess liability and access to all 31 booklets for an annual membership fee of $20. Go to www.nfhs.org, and click on “join or renew.”
Debate & Public Address: July 11-July 16
Oral Interpretation: July 18-July 23

Why should you choose ISU?

- Debate students can choose from LD, Policy, Public Forum or Congress
- IE Students can complete up to four events
- Policy students receive a substantial evidence packet upon arrival
- Our counselors are nationally ranked in high school or college competition
- Competitors both qualify for and succeed at NFL Nationals
- Low student-to-staff ratio means an emphasis on individual coaching
- Access to University literature and research
- Competitively priced; accept major credit cards
- Full & partial IE scholarships available

What do our campers have to say?

“The performances were all simply incredible from both the college and high school level. I love to see speech in action and I was just amazed!”

“I always had a good time no matter what I was doing!”

“I’ve never felt so PREPARED for the season!!”

For more information: Speech - Megan Koch
mkoch@ilstu.edu
(309)438-8447

Debate - Donald Peters
djpete2@ilstu.edu
(309) 438-2321

Complete an application: www.forensics.illinoisstate.edu
First, ask yourself this question.

If you could buy a certificate for $20 that entitled you to go to a local florist and receive a FREE bouquet of flowers every month for an entire year (that’s 12 bouquets), would you buy it? Believe it or not, that’s what this fundraiser is all about!

Your organization can easily raise up to $3,000 within 3-7 weeks!

Our program WORKS!
- There is no upfront money; our product is consigned to you.
- An outstanding value for only $20!
- There is no local competition with this product.
- The certificate is valid for an entire year from date sold.

CONTACT US TODAY! LEARN MORE AT floralfundraiser.com

The Southwest Debate Institute

A not-for-profit camp dedicated to making quality debate instruction affordable

On the campus of Arizona State University

2-week programs: $975
LD: July 19-31st, 2010
Policy Debate: July 19-31st, 2010

1-week programs: $650
Public Forum: July 19-25th, 2010
Student Congress: July 25-31st, 2010

The Southwest Debate Institute will be held in the summer of 2010 on the campus of Barrett, the Honors College at Arizona State University. This brand-new, state-of-the-art facility will bring together coaches with a broad range of skill and experience, guest speakers with unique perspectives and ideas, and students from around the country for a couple of weeks of awesome instruction, high-energy debate, and quality preparation for the 2010-2011 season.

www.southwestdebatelinstitute.org
GMIF

GMIF ALUMNI CHAMPIONS
2009-2010

2 CHAMPIONS AND 56 OUTRUNDS AT HARVARD!!!
EXHIBITION CHAMPION AT MBA!!!
6 CHAMPIONS AT VILLIGER!!!
5 CHAMPIONS AT YALE!!!
OVER 40 ELIMINATION ROUNDS AT NATIONALS '09!

Minutes away from our Nation’s capitol, GMIF students
- Experience D.C. first hand
- Tour the monuments
- Attend Musical Theater and Newseum

LEARN FROM THE 2ND PLACE TEAM IN THE NATION.

Our national high school institute features instruction across the IE and Debate Spectra:
- Interpretation
- Oratory
- Student Congress
- Public Forum
- Extemporaneous Speaking

George Mason Institute
Of Forensics
July 11th-25th, 2010
Extended Session
July 26th-28th

More information at www.gmuforensics.org/gmif
Or contact
Dr. Peter Pober at (703)993-4119
NEW! Public Forum Debate

June 27th - July 4th

Director: Charles Dahan, coach 2009 NFL PF champions
Director: Fred Robertson, coach TOC PF Top Speakers

Iowa offers Top-Quality instruction! Iowa has gathered several of the nation’s top Public Forum coaches to provide the expert instruction our summer institute participants expect for their tuition dollars.

Iowa offers the Best Value! With the low price of $800.00 and the ability to save $100 on tuition if paid in full before April 30th, Iowa is the best investment.

Other Program Offerings

- 2 week LD: June 21st—July 4th $1,500.00*
- 3 week LD: June 21st—July 10th $2,100.00*
- 3 week CX: June 21st—July 10th $2,100.00*

*Save $200 by paying your tuition in full before March 31st.

For program information and online registration visit our website at:

www.iowadebate.com

National Summer Institute in Forensics
C/O Paul Bellus, Director
117 Communications Center
University of Iowa
Iowa City, IA 52242
(319) 335-1969
Why YOU should be in Denton for the Mean Green Workshops

✓ New LOWER PRICES for 2010! You won’t find value like this anywhere else.
✓ Unbelievable staff! Why pay thousands more to access some of the best minds in debate?
✓ Incredible student-faculty ratio: 4 to 1 with 291 students in 2009!
✓ Library system designated a major research library by the U.S. Department of Education!
✓ Multiple computer lab access and wireless access in every building on campus!
✓ Safety and comfort are the primary concerns for Residential Life Director Kandi King!

Policy Debate

**Director: Dr. Brian Lain, University of North Texas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session Type</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Four Week Session</td>
<td>June 20 - July 17, 2010</td>
<td>$3100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Week Session</td>
<td>June 20 - July 10, 2010</td>
<td>$2200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Week Session</td>
<td>June 20 - July 3, 2010</td>
<td>$1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills Session</td>
<td>July 10 - July 17, 2010</td>
<td>$1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alumni tell us the Skills Session was their most valuable camp experience ever!
Your coach works with you, your partner & one other team for the entire week!
Participate in a variety of skill debates & a mini-tournament. 16 rounds in ’09!
Designed for all levels!

Lincoln-Douglas Debate

**Director: Aaron Timmons, Greenhill School**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session Type</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Three Week Session</td>
<td>June 20 - July 10, 2010</td>
<td>$2200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Week Session</td>
<td>June 20 - July 3, 2010</td>
<td>$1600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Congressional Debate, Public Forum Debate, & Public Speaking

**Director: Cheryl Potts, Plano Senior High School**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session Type</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Two Week Session</td>
<td>June 20 - July 3, 2010</td>
<td>$1600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No Application Fees! Check out our website with store, online registration, evidence, forums, & more:

www.meangreenworkshops.com

For more information, write Institute Director Jason Sykes:

director@meangreenworkshops.com
The Ivy Scholars Program
Yale University

July 25 – August 8, 2010

Study Leaders Past and Present...

Be One in the Future

You are already an accomplished public speaker or debater.
You have already attended a summer forensics institute.
You are ready to take your training to the next level.
You are ready to be an Ivy Scholar.

Our summer program in strategic leadership for rising juniors and seniors will be held July 25 through August 8, 2010.

Visit our website for our program prospectus and application forms.

The Ivy Scholars Program
Grand Strategy • Leadership • Advocacy Skills
Yale University • New Haven, Connecticut
WWW.YALE.EDU/IVYSCHOLARS
NFL membership has benefits that extend far beyond tournaments and trophies: The skills students acquire through participation in speech and debate activities last a lifetime. Learning communication, leadership, social, and professional skills help forensic alumni succeed in many diverse situations, beginning with the classroom and continuing in the job market.

The following job descriptions are real ones. Organized by event, it is easy to see that forensics teaches students the skills that employers want. Clearly, trophies that students earn in competition are just the first of many “earnings” that result from an NFL education.

**The Trophies You Will Earn**

*by Gay Brasher and Stacy Chang*

---

**Classifieds**

**Expository Speaking (Expos)**

*Learn skills in preparation for careers in research, marketing/advertising, public relations, communications, enterprise, education, journalism, TV journalism.*

**AAN Television: Marketing Coordinator**
**Skills Needed:** Ability to present a professional demeanor, work well under pressure and maintain flexibility. Produces promotional materials by developing basic presentation approaches directing layout, design, and copy writing. Develops marketing strategies by examining client objectives planning, scheduling, and completing design.

**Apple: Market Research Analyst EMEA**
**Skills Needed:** Excellent communication/presentation skills. Excellent organizational and documentation skills. Strong data analysis (e.g., identifying data sources and needs). Proven ability to manage to deadlines.

**Baker & McKenzie: Market/Business Development**
**Skills Needed:** Excellent written and verbal communication skills. Superior attention to detail in the preparation of marketing materials. Ability to establish priorities and meet deadlines.

**Apple: Communications Project Manager**
**Skills Needed:** Flexibility that enables professional, efficient reaction to directional changes. Excellent written and verbal communication skills. Strong analytical skills and ability to identify and communicate recurring questions and issues. Commitment to identifying and communicating innovative ideas to improve processes and performance.

**Google: Account Associate (Advertising Department)**
**Skills Needed:** Ability to absorb complex technical concepts and communicate them to a non-technical audience. Demonstrated creative problem-solving approach and strong analytical skills.

**Original Advocacy (OA) / Original Oratory (OO)**

*Learn skills in preparation for careers in public relations, international relations, human resources, enterprise, education, research, journalism, TV journalism.*

**Google: Enterprise Business and Operations Analyst**
**Skills Needed:** Superior communication—ability to take complex, ambiguous topics and create stories for different audiences. Excellent problem-solving, modeling, and analytical skills, and impeccable business judgment.

**Mentornet.com: Media and Communications Director**
**Skills Needed:** Ability to shape culturally sensitive messages across a wide range of audiences. Highly developed, positive, motivating and effective written, oral, and interpersonal communication skills to engage an elite, diverse, intelligent professional community.

**Public Library of Science: Publications Assistant**
**Skills Needed:** Excellent communication skills (written and verbal). Organizational skills (strong ability to prioritize, multitask, and work independently). Language ability (knowledge of grammar and attention to detail in proofreading)

**NBC: Commercial Leadership Program**
**Skills Needed:** Strong problem solving, written, verbal, presentation skills.

**Original Prose and Poetry (OPP)**

*Learn skills in preparation for careers in publishing, editorial, creative writing, journalism.*

**Systemates: Creative/Marketing Writer**
**Skills Needed:** Strong technical writing, copyediting expertise; proofreading, fact-checking. Energetic, hard working, and flexible. Editing experience from creative writing. Ability to research and write case studies.

**Macmillan: Development Editor**
**Skills Needed:** Strong writing, presentation and other communication skills. Strong project management skills. Capacity to manage multiple projects concurrently.
in a fast-paced environment. Ability to effectively communicate with customers, vendors, project managers, marketing managers, and sales reps. Proven ability to meet deadlines and work accurately.

**Pearson Education:** Project Editor
**Skills Needed:** Excellent oral and written communication, collaboration, and leadership skills; innovative; good planning, organizational, and multitasking abilities; strong computer skills.

**McGraw Hill Company:** Editor/Writer
**Skills Needed:** Communication, organization and ability to work with others. Must be able to work quickly under extreme pressure due to time deadlines while maintaining the integrity of the product and ensuring that the true meaning of the individual news stories is not compromised. Computer skills required to retrieve scripts. Able to utilize archive system. Editing and writing experience.

**Showtime:** Digital Media & Content
**Skills Needed:** Ability to take initiative and work independently and also work well in a team environment. Excellent writing skills and demonstrable ability to self-edit. Creative flair.

**Sirius (XM) Radio:** Talk Show Host
**Skills Needed:** Excellent time management skills, with the ability to prioritize and multi-task, and work under shifting deadlines in a fast paced environment. Ability to project a professional image on the air, over the phone and in person. Good public speaking skills. Ability to prepare and execute entertaining program on a daily basis. Do research necessary to conduct interviews and present topics effectively.

**Cambridge Associates:** Editorial Project Manager
**Skills Needed:** Ability to balance time between multiple projects simultaneously while ensuring accuracy and quality of reports and other materials. Exceptional proofreading skills; careful attention to quality and detail. Proven writing, editing, copyediting, and publications and project management skills.

**Lincoln Douglas Debate (LD) / Policy Debate / Public Forum (PF)**
**Learn skills in preparation for careers in law/legal services, government, public relations, international relations, communication, human resources, education, business/entrepreneurship, international journalism, administrative.**

**MedImpact:** Staff Attorney
**Skills Needed:** Experience resolving conflict between groups with opposing perspectives, agendas, or priorities. Ability to respond to inquiries from regulatory agencies, customers, and business community. Excellent analytical and writing skills. Possess strong interpersonal and communication skills.

**Triple I:** Project Administrator
**Skills Needed:** Must have strong problem solving skills. Must have excellent verbal and written communication skills. Good organizational and documentation skills required

**Department of Treasury Internal Revenue Services (IRS):** Direction of Research, Analyst & Statistics
**Skills Needed:** Must have leadership skills, ability to work with an organization of people, must be comfortable discussing complex economic and tax administration issues.

**IBM:** Collections Manager
**Skills Needed:** Able to make coherent arguments and explain complex techniques to the wider business. Ability to draw conclusions from limited data.

---

**About the Authors**

Gay Brasher is a five-diamond coach and NFL Hall of Fame member from Leland High School in California. Stacy Chang is a 2008 Leland graduate, where she was a member of NFL and a state finalist in Expository Speaking.
Tired of Spinning Your Wheels with School In-Service Sessions?

Deano Pape brings nearly 20 years of experience as an educator and communication specialist—as well as background as an elected official, member of nonprofit boards, civic and economic development organizations.

Request the NFL’s School Faculty Seminars!

The NFL offers a wide array of faculty seminars:

- **Blogs & Wikis:** Find out what they are, how they are used in teaching and learning, and where you can access them for free! Many, many examples are provided for all fields of study. We will also discuss Wikipedia, its tremendous impact on your lives as teachers and their lives as students, and how you can use Wikipedia for good instead of evil!

- **Testing Online Evidence:** Tired of the first ten results in a typical Google search becoming the bibliography for a research project? It’s time to teach your students how to evaluate online evidence for quality. Multiple strategies for engaging students of all levels in the critique of evidence will help you identify the weak spots in your students and provide strategies for success.

- **Facing Facebook & MySpace:** What are students doing online… and do we really want to know? This workshop describes how students interact with Facebook and its impact on their worldview. Discuss with your colleagues appropriate teacher use of Facebook and whether “friending” a student is a great way to engage the students or a great way to get shown the door. In addition, discover ways to use social networking sites to establish a professional network.

- **Teaching with the power of… Pointlessness?** Presentation slides filled with paragraphs of text that are read by students, word-by-painful-word, fill classrooms across the country. Let’s teach our students “rules for the road” by getting them off the streets of endless text and on the highway to presentation excellence.

Workshops for high school students are also available:

- **Mediated Reality:** As students interact with mass media and technology for 15, 20, or 30 (yes, 30!) or more hours per day it is critical that students understand the impact media have on their lives. Students will evaluate media samples, to further their understanding of how media and other technologies guide their decision-making, study habits, and value systems.

- **Interpersonal Intensity:** Relationships with friends, family, and teachers become very complex as students mature. Students will learn key interpersonal concepts and apply them to their lives.

- **Just Write It:** Help students find out what college faculty are really looking for in their writing.

- **Argue with This!** Students will consider argument models, learn how to frame their analysis, and identify fallacious reasoning. Readings will be provided for student critique and evaluation. This is NOT a debate workshop—the focus is on critical thinking strategies and the role of the argument on improving their evaluation skills.

- **Persuade Me, Please:** Whether it’s their friends, teachers, or the media, students are bombarded by attempts at persuasion every day. Students will learn the art of persuasion, how to evaluate persuasive attempts, and craft appropriate and ethical messages to persuade others.

Refer Your Principal or School Administrator Today!

For more information, please visit www.nflonline.org/CoachingResources/ProfessionalDevelopment
2010-2011 MILITARY & POLICE PRESENCE

Affirmative Cases Book

350+ pages of Cases and Affirmative blocks, including long wave, k-wave, reduce-nuclear weapons, replace troops with technology, reduce military use in the war on drugs, Korean peace treaty, landmines, human rights and Formosa, balance of payments, time limits, the U.S. military as a supporter of corruption, Afghani Balkanization, military cost control or cost reduction, NATO responsibility, Kuwait misogynism, nation building to replace the military, NGO and IGO substitution, Iraq timetable escalation, Turkey secularization, small arms, military environmental destruction, arms sales, police replace the military, more.

DISADVANTAGE ANSWER blocks include politics, cost-benefit, U.S. leadership, balance of power, terrorism, anti-Americanism, economics, arms sales, weapons proliferation, Japan nuclearization, Asian destabilization, embargo risk, Ethnic civil wars, others. KRITIK answers on Terror, externalization, scapegoating, nuclearism, nuclear numbing, Science, techno-logic, techno-strategic, more. TOPICALITY answers on Effects, military, substantially reduce, or, etc. COUNTERPLAN Answers to consultation, agents of substitution, NATO, China, etc.

A GREAT teaching and coaching aid. It lets you and your debaters practice against other’s cases. It gives you novices and second year students a choice of great cases to use. The extensions serve as both models to help students learn how it’s done and as in-round tools to help with debates. 350 plus pages, available on computer disk, e-mail or paper, your choice. Disk copies with negative handbooks only $98.00.

Available on computer disk, e-mail or paper, your choice. Disk copies with negative handbooks only $98.00.

Complete $51.00 Available June 30

A NEW PRODUCT FOR A NEW RESOLUTION

THE REALLY BIG THEORY BLOCK BOOKS

The Crucial Complete Tool –2 Volumes only
You Get The Biggest Theory Block Book $51.00

“This is an amazing work of analysis and logic. It contains hundreds of blocks. The evidence is from legal, argumentation, logic, philosophy and even literary sources. The coverage and quality has this book bordering on being a masterpiece.”

YOU receive:

1. Over 500 theory blocks
2. Major sections on TOPICALITY
3. COUNTERPLANS
4. DISADVANTAGES
5. JUSTIFICATION
   Significance, paradigms, permutations & KRITIK Theory, much more
4. These blocks can be used on ANY topic.

Two volumes, NEW fourth edition for 2010 Researched and written over 14 years by William H. Bennett (the man recognized as the intellectual leader Of American high school debate) and several summer camps.

ORDER TODAY

CDE - P.O. Box 1890, Taos, NM 87571 (575) 751-0514 FAX (575) 751-9788 Purchase Orders accepted
Best Sellers

Visit us online to learn more about our educational book and DVD resources—many developed or selected by champion coaches from across the country!

More than 100 Titles

Popular downloadable resources from CDE, The Interp Store, and Victory Briefs are also available!

Learn More

www.nflonline.org/community/catalog

Over 30 speech and debate titles ready to download at your fingertips.
Looking for flexible graduate credits or continuing education units (CEUs)?

All modules are designed to be completed in 10 hours!

Learn online, anytime.

- Basics of Extemporaneous Speaking
- Basics of Interpretation
- Basics of Parliamentary Procedure
- Ethics and Competitive Forensics
- Introduction to Lincoln Douglas Debate
- Introduction to NFL and HS Forensics
- Introduction to Original Oratory
- Introduction to Policy Debate
- Team Development and Management

Modules Currently Available:

www.mnsu.edu/nfl

contact Kathleen Steiner for more information • call 507.389.2213 • e-mail kathleen.steiner@mnsu.edu

That’s right. By earning CEUs, you will be one step closer to achieving one of the NFL’s four levels of professional accreditation. Visit our Web site today!

www.nflonline.org/CoachingResources/Accreditation
Scholastic Benefits of Speech and Debate Activities

Prepared by the National Forensic League

Freedom of expression is the cornerstone of a democratic society, and intrinsic to the mission of National Forensic League. It is also inherent in the purpose of K-12 education to instill literacy and civic engagement. With many experts calling the 21st century economy “cognitive,” it’s more important than ever to teach young people how to think.

Speech and debate provide powerful instructional and assessment tool for advancing many of the goals required by such measures as No Child Left Behind.

Tired of rote methods of teaching to the test? Looking for a proven method of engaging students at the highest levels of Bloom’s Taxonomy with authentic assessment? Forensic activities harness student proficiency in the following areas:

- Research methodology and information literacy
- Higher-level thinking through application, analysis, synthesis, evaluation and creativity
- Listening and speaking—two overlooked zones of language literacy

1. Critical thinking skills. A wide body of research conducted at institutions including Yale University, Truman State University, and the National Forensic League has established that students involved in debate and speech consistently display higher levels of critical thinking skills, which correlates to increased problem-solving abilities and overall academic performance.

2. Unique benefits for gifted and at-risk students. Students who need to move at their own pace find intellectual stimulation in debate. When engaged, both groups of students act out less, by as much as 50%, according to a 2005 study.

3. Higher performance on standardized tests. Debate students tend to score better on standardized tests including the SAT and ACT, as well as state accountability assessments. As high school coach Rusty McCrady notes, “Not only do forensics and debate foster creative and intelligent citizens for the 21st century, they may even help your local school system win the numbers game.”

4. Improved graduation and retention rates. Students who participate in debate and speech are more likely to graduate high school and attend college than their peers. A study by Professor Briana Mezuk in the Journal of Negro Education revealed, “among African American male students, debaters were 70 percent more likely to graduate from high school, three times less likely to drop out, 50 percent more likely to reach the ACT college-readiness benchmark for English, and 70 percent more likely to reach the ACT benchmark for reading” (from NFL partner, the National Association for Urban Debate Leagues). Additionally, Professors Colbert & Biggers noted in the Journal of the American Forensic Association that 90% of debaters go on to earn at least one graduate degree.

5. Improved access to content material. Students who speak and debate form personal connections with material, improving their memory and understanding of the material. As concluded by the journal Argumentation and Advocacy, incorporating oral language skills into instruction enables students to “build links between words and ideas that would otherwise be perceived as separate and as having less meaning” (2000, p. 163).
Traditional teaching methods of lecturing to students who would passively take notes have been widely abandoned in favor of more interactive, student-centered, experiential learning. While the No Child Left Behind law has attracted immense controversy, it has forced school districts and states to more closely measure and monitor achievement, and hold schools accountable for results. The law advocates experiential learning and higher-level critical thinking, since research has shown those approaches to positively affect achievement.

Mirroring that traditional, passive approach are scholarship programs, such as oratorical contests, where students enter, may advance to further levels of competition, and win prizes. This progression is very linear and quite finite. There is little interaction among students, and once the contest is over, there’s little continuity of participants from year to year.

Through fostering intrinsic motivation through contest speaking, students who engage in forensic activities are driven to express themselves and their understanding of issues through research and critical thinking. Here, several skills cultivated through various speaking, debating and literary interpretive events are matched with College Board Standards for College Success (CBSCS) in English Language Arts (http://professionals.collegeboard.com/k-12/standards), covering the four zones of literacy: Reading (R), Writing (W), Speaking (S) and Listening (L). The National Communication Association endorses these competencies, which are comparable to standards enumerated by the National Council of Teachers of English.

The following charts also acknowledge where National Council for the Social Studies (SS) standards (http://www.socialstudies.org/standards/strands) are met. Finally, where use of media and technology help students construct their speeches, debate cases, and literary programs, they employ several standards of the International Society for Technology in Education (http://www.iste.org/NETS).

Visit www.nflonline.org for more resources.

Meeting Cross-Disciplinary Core Standards

Prepared by the National Forensic League
### Standards Met: Debate, Oratorical, and Extemporaneous Speaking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skills and Activities</th>
<th>Standards Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✗ Researching to build a debate case or construct a persuasive speech.</td>
<td>R1. Comprehension of words, sentences, and components of texts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✗ Building vocabulary specific to the discipline to which they speak or debate.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✗ Evaluating credibility of research found, as well as challenging others’ in debate.</td>
<td>R2. Using prior knowledge, context, and understanding of language to comprehend and elaborate the meaning of texts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✗ Drawing on myriad expert sources to develop a credible argument in a speech or debate case.</td>
<td>R3. Author’s purpose, audience and craft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✗ Showing sensitivity to diverse views and cultures, as well as historical factors that influence perspective is key in building a credible speech or debate case.</td>
<td>R4. Using strategies to comprehend texts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✗ Explaining geopolitical and economic factors that influence the inherent forces at work with a particular speech or debate topic. Where applicable, weighing interdependence of foreign policies as they relate to peace, human rights, trade and global ecology.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✗ Outlining a speech or debate case and tailoring it to the specific type of contest event parameters.</td>
<td>W1. Rhetorical analysis and planning of purpose, goals, audience and genre.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✗ Understanding the ethical importance of honesty, students cite sources they’ve consulted.</td>
<td>W2. Generating content—based on research.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✗ Taking feedback from coaches and contest judges to adapt and improve.</td>
<td>W3. Drafting text with an organizational structure and stylistic choices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✗ Connecting with audiences when speaking, influencing viewpoints of judges and other contestants.</td>
<td>W5. Editing to present technically sound texts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✗ Engaging in cross-examination.</td>
<td>S1. Understanding the transactional nature of the communication process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✗ Practicing and repeated presentation engenders enhanced proficiency.</td>
<td>S2. Speaking in interpersonal contexts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✗ Using a formal, sophisticated, and rhetorical linguistic register.</td>
<td>S3. Preparing and delivering presentations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✗ Taking notes in debate to track coverage of arguments by both sides.</td>
<td>L1. Understanding the transactional nature of the communication process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✗ Preparing to ask meaningful questions in cross-examination.</td>
<td>L2. Managing barriers to listening.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✗ Mastering tactics of focusing attention to listening to arguments by the debate opposition.</td>
<td>L3. Listening for diverse purposes: to comprehend, to evaluate, and to achieve empathy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✗ Harnessing a variety of sources by which to consult as evidence for speeches and debate cases, and evaluating their credibility.</td>
<td>M1. Understanding the nature of media communication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✗ Using a formal, sophisticated, and rhetorical linguistic register.</td>
<td>M2. Understanding, interpreting, analyzing, and evaluating media communication.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Standards Met: Literary Interpretive Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skills and Activities</th>
<th>Standards Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v Finding literary texts to perform.</td>
<td>R1. Comprehension of words, sentences, and components of texts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v Delving into the themes and meanings of texts to create meaningful performances that explore various facets of the human condition, bringing the author’s intent to life.</td>
<td>R2. Using prior knowledge, context, and understanding of language to comprehend and elaborate the meaning of texts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v Deriving themes from literature to craft an original introduction that prepares an audience to understand tone and purpose of the interpretive performance.</td>
<td>R3. Author’s purpose, audience and craft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v Selecting the most poignant passages to perform, to drive expression of their central thematic concept, and to show character progression and/or progression of ideas.</td>
<td>R4. Using strategies to comprehend texts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v Taking feedback from coaches and contest judges to adapt and improve.</td>
<td>SS1/3. Understanding culture, people, places and communities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v Performing dialogue that acknowledges human motivations and conflicts.</td>
<td>SS4. Individual development and identity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v Working with coaches and peers to improve performance.</td>
<td>W1. Rhetorical analysis and planning of purpose, goals, audience and genre.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v Rehearsing and presenting literature that advances thematic ideas, and engages audiences.</td>
<td>W2. Generating content.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v Listening to peer performances and contestants from other schools. Competition motivates students to incorporate tactics and ideas they encounter to improve their own performance.</td>
<td>W3. Drafting text with an organizational structure and stylistic choices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v Using a variety of media platforms as inspirational stimulus for gathering their literary selections.</td>
<td>SS2. Time, continuity and change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v Rehearsing and presenting literature that advances thematic ideas, and engages audiences.</td>
<td>W4. Evaluating and revising texts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v Listening to peer performances and contestants from other schools. Competition motivates students to incorporate tactics and ideas they encounter to improve their own performance.</td>
<td>W5. Editing to present technically sound texts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v Working with coaches and peers to improve performance.</td>
<td>S1. Understanding the transactional nature of the communication process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v Rehearsing and presenting literature that advances thematic ideas, and engages audiences.</td>
<td>S2. Speaking in interpersonal contexts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v Using a variety of media platforms as inspirational stimulus for gathering their literary selections.</td>
<td>S3. Preparing and delivering presentations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v Rehearsing and presenting literature that advances thematic ideas, and engages audiences.</td>
<td>L1. Understanding the transactional nature of the communication process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v Using a variety of media platforms as inspirational stimulus for gathering their literary selections.</td>
<td>L3. Listening for diverse purposes: to comprehend, to evaluate, and to achieve empathy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v Rehearsing and presenting literature that advances thematic ideas, and engages audiences.</td>
<td>M1. Understanding the nature of media communication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v Using a variety of media platforms as inspirational stimulus for gathering their literary selections.</td>
<td>M2. Understanding, interpreting, analyzing and evaluating media communication.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Breaking Ranks Through Forensics: Achieving Reform with Debate and Speech Education

The National Association of Secondary School Principals adopted the *Breaking Ranks* series of strategies for high school and middle level reform that recognizes weaknesses in education and strives to bridge certain gaps to promote achievement. Many of these strategies can be actualized by embracing debate and speech education, whether curricular, extra-curricular, or both:

- **Core Area 1** (professional learning communities) calls for school communities to “recognize diversity in accord with the core values of a democratic and civil society” and provide **professional development** “to help educators appreciate issues of diversity and expose students to a rich array of viewpoints, perspectives and experiences.” The NFL is a professional organization for coach-educators, and offers several free and low-cost professional development resources and opportunities, such as **school faculty seminars**.

- **Core Area 2** (personalized school environment) calls for teachers to “convey a sense of caring.” **Coaching** of speeches, performances, and debate cases is one of the purest forms of teaching, because it’s **one-on-one** and happens over time. Students **build a rapport** with forensic educators, much like they do with counselors and advisers.

- **Core Area 3** (curriculum/assessment) is reached through the **myriad standards** enumerated above. Students are actively involved in their own learning, with repetitious interscholastic presentations serving as a form of ongoing, authentic assessment where students constantly improve based on **evaluative criticism**. As an honorary society, the NFL encourages students to speak beyond interscholastic contests: in **service** of a cause or community issue, and **outreach in the continuum** of middle and high school forensics.
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representations critique is an argument that links not to the affirmative’s plan text, but instead to the way they have described the world in order to explain why their plan is necessary. These critiques have a long list of possible justifications, but the most common one stems from the idea that the affirmative’s descriptions of reality are not objective or value neutral, but are instead ideologically laden constructions. The most simple form of a representation critique can be thought of as a “bias” argument; no one would be shocked to see a piece of evidence from the Heritage Foundation about the benefits of tax cuts or from the Sierra Club about the dangers of global warming. Institutions or individuals who have a track record of arguing consistently for a certain political ideology are labeled (rightly or wrongly) conservative or liberal to represent this bias. When evaluating evidence from a source that is thought to be biased, many judges will naturally discount the weight they give to such “biased” evidence. This is because the judge assumes that the ideological “glasses” worn by the author filter/limit their interpretation of the world in such a way that their conclusions can no longer be trusted. While few would object to a bias argument with debate theory, they usually will when that bias argument is explained as a representations critique.

In order to understand how to defeat the representations critique, it is important to understand exactly what they are saying. Therefore, let us first examine two crucial arguments contained in most representations critiques—the distinction between “problem solving theory” and “critical theory” and the idea of social constructionism. As with many ideas in debate, these two theories have been appropriated by debaters, and as such, there is not a direct one-to-one translation into the debate context, they have largely been instrumentalised to fit the mold of debate argument.

The distinction between problem solving theory and critical theory comes (primarily) from Robert Cox and an article in the 1981 *Millennium Journal of International Studies.* According to Cox, problem solving theory “takes the world as it finds it, with the prevailing social and power relationships and the institutions into which they are organized, as the given framework for action. The general aim of problem solving theory is to make these relationships and institutions work smoothly by dealing effectively with particular sources of trouble… the general pattern of institutions and relationships is not called into question.” This is in contrast to critical theory which “stands apart from the prevailing order of the world and asks how that order came about.” As used in debate, the affirmative represents an example of problem solving theory; they take existing orders (the government, the law, certain theories of international relationships) and attempt to make them function more smoothly (to eliminate harms). The negative’s representations critique represents critical theory—it says before we can consider using these structures/systems to improve their function, we should ask a series of related questions about where these things come from and whether or not they are legitimate. The affirmative may say the US should enact a “cap and trade” program to spur investment in green technology and shore up US technological competitiveness, because failure to do so would result in a collapse of US leadership, which is crucial to global stability. The negative would say that such an argument presupposes many ideas about the world, and more specifically, theories of economic and international relationships that are in many ways suspect. The negative will argue that if the affirmative’s understanding of the international system is flawed, then it does not make sense to adopt cap and trade—since the arguments in favor of it are therefore also suspect. To sum up, the negative is arguing that in order to properly make a decision about a policy, we must interrogate the justifications for that policy, and more specifically, the epistemological (knowledge systems) and ontological (ideas about identity) assumptions of that system.

The second argument is that language affects reality. This argument is often misunderstood or over claimed, and so analytical clarity here is particularly important. The weak form of this argument basically says that language does not change material reality, but it does change our perceptions/interpretations of reality. This is the argument advanced by Roxanne Doty in probably the most influential work from which these critiques draw:

The goal of analyzing these practices is not to reveal essential truths that have been obscured, but rather to examine how certain representations underlie the production of knowledge and identities, and how these representations make various courses of action possible… Such an assertion does not deny the existence of the material world, but rather suggests that material objects and subjects are constituted as such within discourse. So, for example, when US troops march into Grenada, this is certainly “real,” though the march of troops across a piece of geographic space is in itself singularly uninteresting and socially irrelevant outside of the representations that produce meaning. It is only when “American” is attached to the troops and “Grenada” to the geographic space that meaning is created. This weak form does not deny that there are certain things/people/events that exist in the world; it merely acknowledges that how we perceive those things is heavily influenced by language. In essence, it
argues that perception is not a passive exercise, but is instead an active attempt to impose order on the world—an order informed by the perceiving subject’s prior knowledge. Thus, if you think that China is hostile and expansionist, you would perceive certain actions—say, their development of a space program—as an attempt to militarize space instead of as a peaceful scientific endeavor. The strong version of this argument, and what I believe to be a misrepresentation, is to argue that language literally alters material reality. This is most often explained by negatives through reference to a self-fulfilling prophecy—constructing China as a threat causes them to become a threat. However, this explanation is faulty because it is not the language of the affirmative’s representations that cause China to become a threat; it is the actions policy makers take, based on this explanation, that cause an action/reaction cycle of enmity and arms racing. Since policy makers believe China to be a threat, they take actions to defend themselves, and those actions are then (mis)perceived by China and used as justification for Chinese build up, etc. While the weak form is almost impossible to deny, the strong form falls prey to any number of simple arguments (does saying, “it’s hot outside” end winter? is a classic example from a prominent affirmative card). For the sake of the rest of this piece, we will assume the negative is advancing the weak form.

Theory indictments of representations critiques are, in my mind, problematic because the negative argument that our understanding of the world influences the choices we make about what policies to support is almost uncontestable. How we should approach China, for instance, would be radically different depending on whether we thought China was a rising realist power, or if we thought China was an emerging liberalist state. Since most theory arguments are unable to explain how we can include these important discussions, but still focus on the plan, they are fatally flawed. The negative will be able to win that it is better for education and for the process of policy making, that we include a discussion of representations. Furthermore, they argumentatively take a gun to a knife fight. The negative is not advancing a “theory” based reason for why representations should be important. They are making a logical, philosophical case for their relevance. Saying “this discussion should not be allowed” does not answer that the discussion is crucial to a proper understanding of the issues at hand. If a rich understanding of the issues is prevented by theory, the activity of debate becomes vacuous. Since the negative is not using theory to prove the importance of representations, it is my contention that the affirmative should engage them in the substance of their substantive arguments.

The first argument the affirmative needs to make revolves around using the negative’s evidence against them. The negative advances the argument that language shapes reality in order to prove that any harmful rhetoric employed by the affirmative will cause damage in the real world. The flip side of this coin, however, is that the affirmative can argue that any positive language they use will equally have real world effects. So while it may be true that an advantage about the Middle East peace process does cause increased hostility between Israeli and Palestinian authorities, if that rhetoric also promotes US leadership, it could still be, on balance, desirable. A form of this argument that most teams have been making for a decade (but poorly explaining) is the claim that “realism is inevitable.” Realism is a theory of international relations that (generally) posits that states are the dominant actor, they act in their own self interest, and as a result, the international arena is prone to anarchy or instability. The argument that realism is inevitable is used to answer critiques that offer an alternative version of how the world operates—for example, the common critique of security as advanced by the Copenhagen School. This argument says that instead of being an objective feature of reality, security is a social construct. What it means to be secure (as a subject or nation) is defined by language, and since language is unstable, therefore security is unstable. The impact claim is that since total security is an illusion, the process of striving to achieve security will necessarily be a violent one as states misperceive and overreact to the actions of other states (often referred to as the security dilemma). Here the argument that realism is inevitable is essentially a uniqueness press—states will always act this way, so the security dilemma is unavoidable. One of the many reasons given for why realism is inevitable is that it is embedded in the minds of policy makers around the globe. The negative’s argument that the affirmative security representations feed the security dilemma implicitly concedes this claim by acknowledging that other leaders will act to arm themselves when they perceive it to be in their own self interest. Saying the affirmative’s language can cause this sort of realist action is recognition of the inevitability of realism. Students of the Copenhagen school explicitly acknowledge this point:

Although the evolution of realism has been mainly a disappointment as a general causal theory, we have to deal with it… To dispose of realism because some of its versions have been proven empirically wrong, ahistorical, or logically incoherent, does not necessarily touch its role in the shared understandings of observers and practitioners of international affairs. Realist theories have a persistent power for constructing our understanding of the present… Despite realism’s several deaths as a general causal theory, it can still powerfully enframe action. It exists in the minds, and is hence reflected in the actions, of many practitioners. Whether or not the world realism depicts is out there, realism is… realism is a still necessary hermeneutical bridge to the understanding of world politics. Getting rid of realism without having a deep understanding of it, not only risks unwarranted dismissal of some valuable theoretical insights that I have tried to gather in this book; it would also be futile. Indeed, it might be the best way to tacitly uncritically reproduce it.

While this evidence does not directly discuss the value of representations critiques, it is an on-point answer to the idea that changing our representations would result in a better form of politics/process of policy making. Furthermore, the negative’s epistemological claim, that security is not objective, is also answered explicitly. While these arguments may be “defensive” in nature, they so fundamentally respond to the negative’s argument that it will be easy to win a small risk of your case, enough for the judge to vote affirmative.

The second important argument is to challenge the preeminent position of representations in the debate. As mentioned previously, the negative is arguing that representations logically must come first because we have to understand the world/the problem before we can decide what to do about it. While this may seem obvious, it is in fact a disputed concept—we don’t always have the luxury of waiting for epistemological certainty before we are able to make a decision. Writing in response to Cox, Owen states it this way:

‘[a] frenzy for words like “epistemology” and “ontology” often signals this philosophical turn… such a philosophical turn’ is not without its dangers… it has an inbuilt tendency to prioritise issues of ontology and epistemology over
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IDEA’S GLOBAL EVENTS

**HIGH SCHOOL** The People Speak Global Debates challenge young people all over the globe to speak up and change the world. Participate in March and April by holding a public debate or performance showcase and by helping to improve your community. The free downloadable Global Debates toolkit walks you through every aspect of the project, challenging you to take the lead. Winning teams and their teacher receive all-expenses-paid trips to the IDEA Youth Forum in the Netherlands. To learn more and get your toolkit, visit [ThePeopleSpeak.org](http://ThePeopleSpeak.org).

**MIDDLE SCHOOL** The IDEA-NJFL National Tournament is the premiere middle school debate event. This large open tournament, featuring a variety of formats including storytelling and poetry as well as popular debate formats, is designed to instill and nurture in younger students an appreciation for divergent viewpoints and diverse cultures. The 2010 tournament takes place in Iowa City, Iowa, June 24-27. [Registration begins early 2010.](http://registration begins early 2010.)

**HIGH SCHOOL** The International Tournament of Champions, a Worlds-style event, brings together high school students from as far away as Canada, the Philippines, India, and Korea for two days of discussion and friendship-building activities. This event is unique because it takes place on the campus of Willamette University in Salem, Oregon, offering competitors a taste of undergraduate life. Students stay in dorms and enjoy free time on campus after competition. Willamette University conducts a workshop the day before the tournament. The 2010 ITOC takes place May 22-23.

**HIGH SCHOOL** The IDEA Youth Forum, now in its 16th year, gathers 250 young people from more than 30 countries for nearly three weeks of competitions, workshops, and cultural experiences. IDEA groups participants from different countries into three-person teams. Team members must work together to address controversial issues. The 2010 Youth Forum will take place in The Netherlands, July 22-August 4.
explanatory and/or interpretive power as if the latter two were merely a simple function of the former… prioritisation of ontology and epistemology promotes theory-construction from philosophical first principles, it cultivates a theory-driven rather than problem-driven approach to IR… this is to misunderstand the enterprise of science since ‘whether there are general explanations for classes of phenomena is a question for social-scientific inquiry, not to be prejudged before conducting that inquiry.’

Moreover, this strategy easily slips into the promotion of the pursuit of generality over that of empirical validity.4

The ability of problem solving theories to act based on empirical evidence and generalities are in this light an advantage crucial to coping with a rapidly changing international arena. If a crisis is looming, representational purity is probably a standard we cannot afford. This evidence is also powerful because it provides a solid defense of empiricism. It may be true that our understanding of a subject is necessarily incomplete, but if a particular understanding has been effective in guiding action, then we can act with a reasonable degree of certainty. It is important to remember that one of the arguments the negative absolutely must win for a representations critique to be effective is that the affirmative representations are not just dangerous but are in fact inaccurate. If China is hostile and plans to attack the US, then it is probably irrelevant that the way we know that is not epistemologically sound; just because you’re paranoid doesn’t mean that they aren’t after you. A thorough reading of this article will arm you with all the necessary evidence to respond to the epistemological and ontological justifications for the prioritization of representations or any other form of critique.

The final argument you must respond to is the political one—that representational systems are always designed for someone and for some purpose, so we must challenge their support of oppressive and/or hierarchical social structures. While agreeing that these structures should be challenged, many critics disagree that representations (or language) are the crucial site at which people should resist. In many cases, representations critiques are used to argue against affirmative whose plans would no doubt improve material conditions for many. The negative team who argues that representations are more important than this pragmatic benefit leaves themselves open to a counter critique that their extreme focus (or obsession) with language is in and of itself politically disastrous:

the discourse and concerns of foreign policy decision makers are quite different, so different that they constitute a distinctive problem solving, theory averse, policy making subculture. There is a danger that academics assume that the discourses they engage in are more significant in the practice of foreign policy and the exercise of power than they really are… The assumption that it is representations that make action possible is inadequate by itself. Political, military, and economic structures, institutions, discursive networks, and leadership are all crucial in explaining social action and should be theorized together with representational practices... there is a danger of fetishizing this concern with discourse so that we neglect the institutional and the sociological, the materialist and the cultural, the political and the geographical contexts within which particular discursive strategies become significant. Critical geopolitics, in other words, should not be a prisoner of the sweeping ahistorical cant that sometimes accompanies ‘poststructuralism.’5

So in the same way the negative is arguing that the affirmative’s epistemology is incomplete, the affirmative can argue that the methodology of the representations critique is likewise incomplete because it ignores structures, institutions, and other factors that go into political decision making. This is an important argument for the affirmative because if they can win that the judge should not exclusively look to representations but should instead look at the entire IAC in context, they will be in a much better position. This can be illustrated by way of example. If the affirmative reads a hegemony advantage, the negative may argue that their desire to police and control the globe means representations criticism is a violent belief in US omnipotence.4 If the affirmative is debating next year’s topic, however, and is arguing for a full withdrawal from Iraq and Afghanistan, their plan adds crucial context to the debate that would change how their representations should be interpreted. In short, while an exclusive focus on the plan may ignore the crucial issue of representations, exclusive focus on representations is not a sound remedy.

These arguments barely scratch the surface of the substantive responses the affirmative can make to critiques of representations. Depending on what your affirmative is about, you can find specific indict of representations critiques from environmentalists, Marxists, feminists, and most other schools of political theory. These more specific answers are more useful than theory because they implicitly set up an “even if” comparison: even if representations do affect reality and the likelihood that our plan would solve for the harm, you should still vote affirmative because XYZ. Unlike theory based objections, they engage directly with both the negative’ policy related impacts and their claim that representational analysis should have unique weight in the debate. Direct argumentative clash not only improves the competitive aspects of debate, but also the educational ones. ■

End Notes
2 Roxanne Lynn Doty, Imperial Encounters, p. 5-6
3 Stefano Guzzini, Realism in International Relations and International Political Economy, 1998 p. 234-5
5 Gearoid Tuathail, “The patterned mess of history and the writing of critical geopolities: a reply to Dalby,” Political Geography 15(6-7), 1996 p. 664
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   Gahanna-Lincoln High School
   Aaron Gyde

PENNSYLVANIA
   North Allegheny High School
   Rona Sun
   St. Joseph’s Preparatory School
   Fred G. DeRitis
   Charles J. Gress, III

SOUTH DAKOTA
   Spearfish High School
   Jeffery Young

TEXAS
   Franklin High School
   Hayden Pendergrass
   Ronald Reagan High School
   Sanjana S. Dubey

UTAH
   Intermountain Christian School
   Breegan O’Connor
   Michelle Kfoury
   Allison Tripp

VIRGINIA
   Sherando High School
   Fariha Kabir
   Cassie Medcalf

WISCONSIN
   Appleton East High School
   Ruth M. Markwardt

APPLY TODAY!
www.nflonline.org/DistrictInformation/AwardNominationApplication
Celebrate each achievement with NFL honor society insignia for students and coaches!

The National Forensic League offers pins, jewelry, honor cords, and more!

ORDER TODAY!

www.nflonline.org/community/catalog
Vol 84, No. 7

Not all summer forensic institutes are created equal—and at FFI, we continue to prove it!

**Florida Forensic Institute and National Coaches Institute**

Regular Session: July 23 - August 6, 2010
Optional Extension: August 6 - 9, 2010

**WHY FFI?**
- Small labs with top instructors from across the country
- Specialized curriculum for all levels of expertise
- Individual attention
- Supervised hotel accommodations
- Excellent research and instructional facilities
- Affordable tuition for a CHAMPIONSHIP EXPERIENCE
- Optional session extension gives students a chance to delve further or switch gears

**MEET OUR BLUE RIBBON STAFF**

These are just a few of the Champion Coaches who could be coaching you this summer:

- **Bob Marks**, Director of Curriculum
- **Lisa Miller**, Nova High School
- **Chris McDonald**, Eagan High School
- **Jeff Welty**, Durham High School
- **Adam Jacobi**, formerly of Rufus King High School
- **Lydia Esslinger**, Syosset High School
- **Jeff Hannan**, Evanston Township High School
- **Bret Hemmerlin**, Roseville High School
- **Natalie Sintek**, National Forensic League Champion
- **Hoang (Mario) Nuguyen**, Plano Sr. High School, Western Kentucky University
- **Nicholas Gilyard**, Nova High School
- **Lindsey White**, Eastview High School

**PLUS**

Now offering training for middle school (grades 6-8) students and coaches!

This year the Florida Forensic Institute celebrates its 19th anniversary. FFI is one of America’s oldest and most successful summer speech and debate institutes! The truth lies in the results. FFI and its coaches have produced more National Champions and finalists than any other program!

**www.ffi4n6.com**

Not all summer forensic institutes are created equal—and at FFI, we continue to prove it!
Supplemental Debate Event Offered at 2010 NFL Nationals

For more information, please visit www.nflonline.org

In the spring of 2010, the NFL Board of Directors approved the creation of the first supplemental debate event for pilot implementation at the 2010 Kansas City National Speech and Debate Tournament.

Crafting a supplemental debate event requires many considerations including time, format, structure, and understandability. After significant discussion and collaboration, a model has been developed that would modify existing rules of Parliamentary Debate to address the needs of a supplemental event at nationals.

The one-on-one debate format will address a topic that changes each round. The debate does not permit published material to be used or consulted during the round, but debaters may reference scholarly work in their speeches. Instead, debaters speak impromptu from a few notes that record the arguments the other debater made, as well as outline his/her own main points. Each of these points should be signposted, explained, supported by relevant facts and examples, and given impact. Debaters must learn to think on their feet, adding and elaborating upon arguments while speaking. However, unlike Parliamentary Debate, all time is protected. A speaker may not interrupt another. There is designated cross-examination of the proposition and opposition by the opposing debater immediately following the constructive speeches.

### Time Limits
- Each debater is allotted up to two minutes per speech and three speeches.
- All speech time is protected time. A speaker may not interrupt another.
- One minute of mandatory prep time will occur prior to each set of rebuttal speeches, to be used by both debaters at the same time.

### Resolutions
- A different resolution for each round will be used. The list of all possible resolutions will be presented to the debaters during supplemental re-registration. The list will include approximately 20 resolutions.
- All resolutions debated will be straight resolutions (meant to be debated literally) in the form of “Be it resolved that…”
- The topic of each round will be about current affairs or philosophy. The resolutions will be general enough that a well-educated high school student can debate them. They will be phrased in literal language.
- Resolutions to be debated might include:
  - Be it resolved that the Middle East peace process is futile.
  - Be it resolved that the minimum wage in the United States should be reduced.
  - Be it resolved that looting is morally acceptable in times of crisis.

### Objective of the Debate
- The proposition debater must affirm the resolution by presenting and defending a sufficient case for that resolution. The opposition debater must oppose the resolution and/or the proposition debater’s case. If, at the end of the debate, the judge believes that the proposition debater has supported and successfully defended the resolution, they will be declared the winner. If the judge believes the opposition debater has successfully undermined the case for the resolution, he or she will be declared the winner.

### During the Debate
- No published materials (dictionaries, magazines, books, etc.), prepared arguments, or resources for the debaters’ use in the debate may be brought into the competition room.
- Debaters may refer to any information that is within the realm of knowledge of liberally educated and informed citizens. Judges will disallow specific information only in the event that no reasonable person could have access to the information: *e.g.*, information that is from the debater’s personal family history.

#### Format • Time Limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Proposition Constructive</th>
<th>Cross-Examination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opposition Constructive</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>2 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-Examination of Opposition</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandatory Prep Time</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposition Rebuttal</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>2 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opposition Rebuttal</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>2 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandatory Prep Time</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposition Rebuttal</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>2 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opposition Rebuttal</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>2 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total:** 16 min
NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY DEBATE INSTITUTE 2010

rising seniors
rising juniors, rising sophomores
4-WEEKS (July 11 - August 7, 2010)

Scheduled Faculty Includes:

Dan Fitzmier
Luke Hill
Stephanie Spies
Matt Fisher
Johnathan Paul
Greg Achten
Jeff Buntin
LaTonya Starks

Christian Lundberg
Tara Tate
Tripp Rebrovick
John Warden
Jonah Feldman
Seth Gannon
and more.....

www.northwestern.edu/nhsi
1-800-662-NHSI
nhsi@northwestern.edu
Stanford Parliamentary Debate Camp
Two one-week sessions: August 8-14 & 14-20, 2010

The Stanford Parliamentary Debate program returns this summer, bringing the same professionalism to parliamentary debate that SNFI has brought to policy debate and Lincoln-Douglas debate for the past 20 years. Serious students of parliamentary debate wanting to take their activity to the next level are encouraged to attend, as are those just beginning in this style of argumentation. A special Advanced section is planned for this summer. Small group activities ensure that students of all experience levels can be accommodated.

These exclusive one-week programs feature:
• A low student to staff ratio - averaging 1 staff to every 10 students or better
• A great number of practice debates - half of the total instructional time will be spent on conducting practice debates
• Seminars on brainstorming, constructing and supporting arguments and theory of argumentation from the ground up
• Topic analyses on a number of commonly used topic areas through a spirited examination of current events
• Live and work on the Stanford University campus in a stimulating and secure environment
• Work with national caliber instructors, including formative members of East and West Coast style parli
• Learn to develop cases of various types, including both ‘tight link’ and ‘loose link’ style cases, how to debate serious topics, and be effective on lighter topics as well

The camp is held in an intimate setting that allows plenty of question and answer sessions and one-on-one interaction with instructors, not just rote learning. Students are allowed to develop in a relaxed and supportive atmosphere with excellent supervision. Students will emerge from the program as more confident public speakers and as experts on the rules, style, and strategies of parliamentary debate, ready to compete in the fall.

Phone 650 - 723 - 9086    Web: www.snfi.org    Email: info@snfi.org
This month’s Billman Book Club selection is an optimistic auto-biographical look at the talkative childhood and, later, outstanding debate career of Mark Oppenheimer. Today, Oppenheimer is a regular writer for The New York Times Magazine, Slate, The New York Times Book Review, The Boston Globe, and The Forward. He is the author of two books, a founding editor of The New Haven Review, and an occasional commentator on NPR’s All Things Considered and Day to Day. Before he was any of these things, he was a wisenheimer.

As the book’s interior notes, a wisenheimer is a smart aleck—someone a bit too precocious for his or her own good. Oppenheimer fits the bill perfectly, recalling childhood debates with adults and mischievous exploits that often landed him in trouble. After years of struggling with his gift for language, he finally finds a niche in debate. The book, which covers both the prelude to debate and the magical years in the trenches, explores just how fun being a talkative kid can be.

I had the opportunity to speak with Oppenheimer on the eve of Wisenheimer’s publication. We talked about debate, language, and finding a place to fit in when you aren’t like everyone else.

Jenny Corum Billman: How did you decide to write a memoir?  
Mark Oppenheimer: It didn’t start out that way—I was initially going to write a book about oratory in American culture generally. I had a whole outline for the book—it was going to start with the Revolutionary Era, debates about secession and so forth—all the way to the present tense, ending with Obama’s speech at the Democratic National Convention in ‘04. But I was bored by my own research—not because the topic was boring, but because I realized my relationship to oratory was a very personal one. The only way I wanted to talk about oratory was what it meant to me in my own life and how it helped me grow up as a kid.

So I scrapped a year’s worth of research and started writing a memoir. My first publishing house refused to publish a memoir. I had to give the money back and start looking for another publisher. I was very grateful when an editor at Free Press liked the idea.

Jenny Corum Billman: Some of the stories you share (one in particular involves a prank on a girl named Kelly) are very personal. Was it difficult to share intimate details of your life?  
Mark Oppenheimer: That one wasn’t hard for me because I have no idea where Kelly is, and I...
“Too often when we think of gifted children, we think of math and science prodigies, and they get a lot of attention. It’s very different being gifted with words.”

 don’t worry that she will find [the book]. It was harder writing about girls from my high school years. Nina, a former girlfriend, read the section about herself and graciously said, “That’s fine, go ahead and print that.” Sari, another girl mentioned in the book, never got back to me. I assume that she thought it was fine. One old friend threatened to sue me if I included certain stories in the book. He wrote me back and Cc’d his lawyer on the e-mail. Whenever you are writing about living people, you are putting yourself on the line.

JB: It sounds like writing a memoir can be fairly risky! Did anyone try to discourage you from doing it?

MO: No, people were very encouraging. I think it’s very important that this isn’t a typical memoir; it’s really about a specific aspect of my childhood. It’s about [my childhood] as it relates to language and speech and debate. People might be less enthusiastic if I wanted to tell everything. Who would want to read that? I’m not that interesting. It’s not about every bit of gossip. It’s really about the amazing people I knew though speech and debate.

JB: Was it difficult to transition from debating to writing?

MO: Writing is a different art. There are lots of people who are good talkers who are bad writers, and vice versa. It’s unusual to find someone who can do both. Hanna Rosin writes for The Atlantic magazine. She can do both, but she is the exception. If you want to switch from speaking to writing, you have to be prepared to get your butt kicked again. You may be a champion debater, but you may be a novice writer.

JB: What message do you want people to take away from Wisenheimer?

MO: I think it’s about kids who talk a lot. It’s about growing up as a child and as a teenager in America, enjoying words, and big words. Too often when we think of gifted children, we think of math and science prodigies, and they get a lot of attention. It’s very different being gifted with words. It changes your relationship with other children, with adults. A lot of people think it’s a bad thing. So the book is sort of a defense of gifted children.

JB: The last line of Wisenheimer is, “Good talkers aren’t made, they are born.” What do you mean by that?

MO: I do think that the best orators have a natural gift. I don’t think that you can teach someone to be great. I think you can teach someone to be good. Great speech or oratory is an art form, and it has to come from a love of language. I think that unfortunately, there are coaches who don’t think that language is part of it. They think that it is simply about reason and logic. Those are certainly important parts of it, but I would never discount the importance of rigor and philosophy.

JB: Looking back on your career as a debater, what skill that you learned then do you use most now?

MO: Definitely the thinking skills. That it’s not just about winning arguments, it’s really about clarifying ideas. The best coaches teach their students a love of thinking, a love of ideas. The other great thing you learn is how you give a good speech. You learn to give a speech that anyone could understand.

JB: It sounds like you have gotten a lot out of forensics. Do you think your daughters will debate?

MO: Only one of them talks so far. She certainly talks a lot! I hope that she figures out what the best activity for her is. [But if they wanted to debate] I would tell them to do it. I would tell them not to think too much about the winning and losing. Just think about learning, learning ideas, becoming more intellectually sophisticated. Think of it as a life skill.

Note: This feature is intended to discuss literature for the benefit of NFL members. The views expressed by the authors of books discussed in this column do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Forensic League or its employees. Review of a book does not constitute endorsement by the NFL.

About the Author
Jenny Corum Billman is the Coordinator of Public Relations for the National Forensic League. She holds an MA and a BA in Communication, both from Western Kentucky University, where she was a 4-year member of the forensic team and a Scholar of the College.
Whether you’re a budding new coach or a seasoned veteran looking to expand your horizons... Nothing beats learning during the leisure of summer!

DON’T DELAY... APPLY TODAY!
NFL Summer Coach Workshop Scholarship Program

2010 Participating Summer Institutes

UC Berkeley Debate Institute
Berkeley, CA • June 13-July 10, 2010
Events: Policy/LD

Bradley University Summer Forensics Institute
Peoria, IL • July 11-24, 2010
Events: Speech/Interp

Capitol Classic Debate Institute
Loyola College, Baltimore • July 11-31, 2010
Events: Policy Debate

Florida Forensic Institute/National Coaches Institute
Fort Lauderdale, FL • July 23-Aug. 6, 2010
Events: Congress, Speech/Interp, PF

Gonzaga Debate Institute
Spokane, WA • July 11-24, 2010
Events: Policy Debate

Gustavus Adolphus College Summer Speech Institute
St. Peter, MN • July 25-31, 2010
Events: Speech/Interp

James Madison University Speech & Debate Institute
Harrisonburg, VA • June 20-July 3, 2010
Events: Policy, LD, Congress, PF, Speech/Interp

Kansas State Wildcat Debate Workshop
Manhattan, KS • July 4-25, 2010
Events: Policy

Liberty Debate Institute
Liberty University, Lynchburg, VA • June 20-26, 2010
Events: Policy, LD, Congress, Rhetoric/Logic (Oratory)

Mean Green Workshops
University of North Texas, Dallas • June 20-July 3, 2010
Events: Policy, LD, Public Speaking

Nebraska Debate Institute
Southwest High School, Lincoln, NE • July 23-31, 2010
Events: Policy, LD, PF

Ohio University
Athens, OH • July 18-24, 2010
Events: PF, Speech/Interp

The Perfect Performance
Creekview HS, Carrollton (Dallas), TX • June 21-30, 2010
Events: Speech/Interp, Congress

Spartan Debate Institute
Michigan State University, East Lansing • July 5-10, 2010
Events: Policy

Summit Debate Institutes
Session 1: Nova Southeastern University, Ft. Lauderdale, FL
June 27-July 11, 2010
Events: Congress, LD, PF, Model UN, Speech/Interp & Audition Workshops

Session 2: Emerson University, Boston, MA
July 18-Aug. 1, 2010
Events: Congress, LD, PF, Model UN, Oratory, Extemp, InterProd (Interp/Audition)

Sun Country Forensics Institute
St. George, UT • July 18-31, 2010
Events: Policy, LD, PF, Speech/Interp

Victory Briefs Institute
University of California, Los Angeles • Aug. 8-14, 2010
Events: LD

Whitman National Debate Institute
Walla Walla, WA • July 18-Aug. 6, 2009
Scholarships Available: (1) one-week tuition + (2) $250 Discounts (Note: Coaches also must submit three letters of recommendation, attesting to their professionalism and commitment to coaching.)
Events: Policy, LD

For convenient links to each of these Web sites, visit www.nflonline.org/CoachingResources/CoachWorkshops
New Diamond Coaches

Paul Gieringer has coached 31 of his 32 years at Marshall High School in Missouri. During this time, his students have qualified for eight National Tournaments and 30 consecutive Missouri State Tournaments. His students won the State Championship in Policy Debate in 1984. A student he coached for three years transferred to North Carolina and became the National Champion in Policy Debate his senior year. Paul is a past Chairman of the Heart of America District, and he still serves on the District Committee. He has been greatly influenced by three Hall of Famers: He was a student under Richard Rice, student-taught under Wayne Brown, and was mentored by Don Crabtree. In addition to his forensic responsibilities, Paul is a longtime tennis coach at Marshall High School, a marathoner, and a member of the Missouri Heart Institute Board of Directors.

• SIXTH DIAMOND •
Paul Gieringer
Marshall High School, MO
November 23, 2009
18,714 points

• DIAMOND COACH ADVANCEMENT •
(five years between each diamond)

First Diamond.........................1,500 - 2,999 pts
Second Diamond ......................3,000 - 5,999 pts
Third Diamond.........................6,000 - 9,999 pts
Fourth Diamond .....................10,000 - 12,999 pts
(Fourth diamond is earned, points are in 3,000 increments)
Fifth Diamond.........................13,000 - 15,999 pts
Sixth Diamond.........................16,000 - 18,999 pts
Seventh Diamond.....................19,000 - 21,999 pts
Eighth Diamond.......................22,000 - 24,999 pts
Ninth Diamond.........................25,000 - 27,999 pts

ALL COACHES WHOSE DIAMOND DATES ARE AFTER MAY 15, 2009 WILL BE HONORED WITH THEIR DIAMOND AWARD AT THE 2010 NATIONAL TOURNAMENT.
New Diamond Coaches

During Coach Vickie Fellers’ tenure at Wichita High School East, teams have won the NFL’s “Top 15” sweepstakes award in District competition for the last 13 consecutive years. In 2004, 2005, and 2007, East was named a School of Excellence in debate at the National Tournament. The East Chapter has earned the Leading Chapter award twice. Over 100 students qualified for the National Tournament, with many advancing to late out rounds. In 2009, an East student was National Champion in Expository Speaking. In 2006 and 2007, East Policy Debate teams finished in the top six at the National Tournament. In 2004, an East congressperson finished 7th in the House, and another East student was 10th in LD. Seven East students have been State Champions in forensic events. East students have earned hundreds of awards at invitational and state tournaments. In addition to her work with the East team, Fellers is a member of Sunflower District Committee. She also served as co-host of 2007 National Tournament.

Chuck Ballingall began his coaching career as a 17-year-old college student, assisting the debate program at Marlboro High School, New Jersey, and, after transferring to the University of Redlands, working with both debaters and speakers at Yucaipa High School in California. He started teaching in the fall of 1982 at Damien High School, and is now in his 28th year of coaching debate there.

Chuck has coached 38 policy debate teams to NFL nationals, including the 2009 Policy Debate champions and a team that placed 3rd in 1990. He has also qualified students in Extemporaneous, Dramatic Interpretation, and Congressional Debate. Over 100 Damien debate teams have qualified for the California state tournament during his career, with a 1st place finish in 1993, as well as several 2nd and 3rd place finishes.

Chuck has been actively involved in the Policy Debate topic selection process since the early 90s, serving as a representative to the National Federation Topic Committee meeting, including two three-year terms as Wording Committee Chair. He wrote the topic paper for the current Policy Debate topic on poverty. He was also vice president on the National Debate Coaches Association, and has been a member of the California State Speech Council for several years, currently holding the office of secretary. He was elected to the California High School Speech Association Hall of Fame in 1998, and the National Forensic League Hall of Fame in 2008.

In addition to debate, Chuck also teaches AP US History and AP Economics. In his spare time he is the public address announcer for Damien basketball and baseball. This involvement has also led to his announcing games for the LA Dodgers and the LA Clippers. He is an avid sports fan and reader of history.

• FIFTH DIAMOND •
Vickie Fellers
Wichita East High School, KS
December 13, 2009
18,114 points

• FIFTH DIAMOND •
Chuck Ballingall
Damien High School, CA
January 14, 2010
13,150 points
New Diamond Coaches

• THIRD DIAMOND •
Arianne G. Fortune
KC Oak Park High School, MO
January 12, 2010
12,835 points

• THIRD DIAMOND •
David Abel
Goddard High School, KS
January 23, 2010
8,354 points

• THIRD DIAMOND •
Richard D. Brown
Creighton Preparatory School, NE
January 30, 2010
6,011 points

• THIRD DIAMOND •
Cindi H. Havron
Magnolia High School, TX
January 31, 2010
7,480 points
New Diamond Coaches

• SECOND DIAMOND •
  Toni Heimes
  Lincoln Southwest High School, NE
  January 10, 2010
  4,894 points

• SECOND DIAMOND •
  Matt Heimes
  Lincoln Southwest High School, NE
  January 16, 2010
  4,227 points

• SECOND DIAMOND •
  Paul S. De Maret
  Rocky Mountain High School, CO
  January 17, 2010
  5,030 points

• SECOND DIAMOND •
  Martha Anne Pierson
  Clear Lake High School, TX
  January 18, 2010
  3,699 points

• SECOND DIAMOND •
  Tessa Kunz
  Sky View High School, UT
  February 1, 2010
  6,998 points
New Diamond Coaches

- FIRST DIAMOND •
  Raul Ruiz
  Sandra Day O’Connor High School, TX
  October 3, 2009
  1,562 points

- FIRST DIAMOND •
  Ryan Ray
  Grant County High School, KY
  December 4, 2009
  4,355 points

- FIRST DIAMOND •
  Chris Sheldon
  Bancroft School, MA
  January 9, 2010
  1,563 points

- FIRST DIAMOND •
  Richard J. Kawolics
  Laurel School, OH
  January 10, 2010
  1,583 points

- FIRST DIAMOND •
  Michael Priem
  Mankato West High School, MN
  January 13, 2010
  1,557 points

- FIRST DIAMOND •
  Nate Smith
  Lee’s Summit High School, MO
  January 19, 2010
  1,862 points

- FIRST DIAMOND •
  Pam Lucey
  Glenrock High School, WY
  January 21, 2010
  1,513 points

- FIRST DIAMOND •
  Jamelle M. Brown
  Sumner Academy, KS
  January 25, 2010
  3,378 points
New Diamond Coaches

• FIRST DIAMOND •
Alexander Clarkson
Sylvania Southview High School, OH
January 25, 2010
1,531 points

• FIRST DIAMOND •
Beth Eskin
Timber Creek High School, FL
January 25, 2010
1,784 points

• FIRST DIAMOND •
Jordan Mayer
Munster High School, IN
January 25, 2010
1,514 points

• FIRST DIAMOND •
Kristin Chapman
Lawrence North High School, IN
January 26, 2010
1,533 points

• FIRST DIAMOND •
Jon Cruz
The Bronx High School of Science, NY
January 29, 2010
7,179 points

• FIRST DIAMOND •
Lee Nicholas Droge
Smoky Hill High School, CO
January 31, 2010
1,504 points

• FIRST DIAMOND •
Timothy Case
Presentation High School, CA
February 1, 2010
1,500 points

• FIRST DIAMOND •
Adam Nelson
The Harker School, CA
February 28, 2010
1,513 points
### NFL District Standings

*(as of March 1, 2010)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Average No. of Degrees</th>
<th>Leading Chapter</th>
<th>No. of Degrees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Three Trails (KS)</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>Blue Valley North High School</td>
<td>670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Calif. Coast (CA)</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>Leland High School</td>
<td>781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kansas Flint-Hills</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>Washburn Rural High School</td>
<td>379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Florida Manatee</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>Nova High School</td>
<td>647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>Millard North High School</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Show Me (MO)</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>Blue Springs High School</td>
<td>422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>Northwest Indiana</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>Munster High School</td>
<td>499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>East Los Angeles (CA)</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>Gabrielsono High School</td>
<td>786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>New York City</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>The Bronx High School of Science</td>
<td>801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>San Fran Bay (CA)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>James Logan High School</td>
<td>663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sierra (CA)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>Sanger High School</td>
<td>814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Rushmore (SD)</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>Sioux Falls Lincoln High School</td>
<td>499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Illini (IL)</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>Downers Grove South High School</td>
<td>454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>East Kansas</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Shawnee Mission East High School</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Northern South Dakota</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>Aberdeen Central High School</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Southern Minnesota</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>Eagan High School</td>
<td>527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>Ozark (MO)</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>Central High School - Springfield</td>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Heart Of America (MO)</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>Liberty Sr. High School</td>
<td>707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Eastern Ohio</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>Perry High School</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Sunflower (KS)</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>Wichita East High School</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>Bozeman High School</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>South Texas</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>Bellaire High School</td>
<td>784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>Southern California</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>Claremont High School</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Northern Ohio</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>Canfield High School</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Northern Illinois</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>Glenbrook South High School</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Utah-Wasatch</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>Sky View High School</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>West Kansas</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>Buhler High School</td>
<td>317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Idaho Mountain River</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>Hillcrest High School</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>New England (MA &amp; NH)</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>Manchester Essex Regional High School</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>Ridge High School</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Golden Desert (NV)</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>Green Valley High School</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>Rocky Mountain-South (CO)</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>George Washington High School</td>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Eastern Missouri</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>Pattonville High School</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>Carver-Truman (MO)</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>Neosho High School</td>
<td>407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>Central Minnesota</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>Eastveiw High School</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sundance (UT)</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Bingham High School</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Idaho Gem of the Mountain</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Mountain Home High School</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>Chesapeake (MD)</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>Walt Whitman High School</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Great Salt Lake (UT)</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>Skyline High School</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>Cherry Creek High School</td>
<td>478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>East Texas</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>William P. Clements High School</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>South Kansas</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>Fort Scott High School</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tarheel East (NC)</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Pinecrest High School</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>Deep South (AL)</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>The Montgomery Academy</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Florida Panther</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Trinity Preparatory School</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Desert Vista High School</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Wind River (WY)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Green River High School</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Nebraska South</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Lincoln East High School</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Central Texas</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Winston Churchill High School</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>South Side High School</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>West Los Angeles (CA)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>Fullerton Joint Union High School</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Western Ohio</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>Notre Dame Academy</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Heart Of Texas</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>Del Valle High School</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## NFL DISTRICT STANDINGS

*(as of March 1, 2010)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Average No. of Degrees</th>
<th>Leading Chapter</th>
<th>No. of Degrees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hole In The Wall (WY)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>Cheyenne East High School</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Inland Empire (WA)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>Lake City High School</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>North East Indiana</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>Chesterton High School</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>North Coast (OH)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>Gilmour Academy</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>West Iowa</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>West Des Moines Valley High School</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pittsburgh (PA)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>North Allegheny Sr. High School</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>North Texas Longhorns</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Flower Mound High School</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Colorado Grande</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Central of Grand Junction High School</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Carolina West (NC)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Myers Park High School</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Northern Lights (MN)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>St. Francis High School</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>Greater Illinois</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Belleville West High School</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Valley Forge (PA)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>Truman High School</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>Hoosier Crossroads (IN)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>Kokomo High School</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Southern Wisconsin</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>Brookfield East High School</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>Lone Star (TX)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>Plano Sr. High School</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>Comeaux High School</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Space City (TX)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Alief Elsik High School</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>Western Washington</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>Gig Harbor High School</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Northern Wisconsin</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>Appleton East High School</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>North Dakota Roughrider</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Fargo South High School</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>Georgia Northern Mountain</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Henry W. Grady High School</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hoosier Heartland (IN)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Fishers High School</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>New York State</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Scarsdale High School</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Albuquerque Academy</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tall Cotton (TX)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Seminole High School</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>East Iowa</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Indianola High School</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Rocky Mountain-North (CO)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Rocky Mountain High School</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Grant County High School</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>West Oklahoma</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Norman North High School</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Oak Grove High School</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Big Valley (CA)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>James Enochs High School</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Florida Sunshine</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Pine View School</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Puget Sound (WA)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Kamiak High School</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>UIL (TX)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Hallsville High School</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>East Oklahoma</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Jenks High School</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>North Oregon</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Tigard High School</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Portage Northern High School</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Morristown West High School</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>Gulf Coast (TX)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Gregory Portland High School</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>LBJ (TX)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Princeton High School</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>Capitol Valley (CA)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Mira Loma High School</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>South Florida</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Michael Krop High School</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Wheeling Park High School</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Georgia Southern Peach</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Carrollton High School</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Sagebrush (NV)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Reno High School</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>West Texas</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>El Paso Coronado High School</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Bangor High School</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Punahou School</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Salem High School - Salem</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>South Oregon</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Ashland High School</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Iroquois (NY)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>R. L. Thomas High School</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Bellwood-Antis High School</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Pacific Islands</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>CheongShim Int’l Academy</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Welcome New Schools!

El Molino High School, CA
Eagle Valley High School, CO
Ralston Valley High School, CO
Tampa-Jesuit High School, FL
GSMST High School, GA
Maine West High School, IL
Bishop Luers High School, IN
Rushville Consolidated High School, IN
Regina High School, IA
Fair Park College Prep Academy, LA
Life Skills Center of Metropolitan Detroit, MI
DeLaSalle High School, MN
Belgrade High School, MT
Gardiner Public School, MT
Hanover High School, NH
Dublin Jerome High School, OH
Maumee Valley Country Day, OH
Altus High School, OK
Bishop Ready High School, OH
Timken High School, OH
Denver City High School, TX
Saginaw High School, TX
Clover Hill High School, VA
Monticello High School, VA
Dominican High School, WI
Green Bay West High School, WI

TAKE ADVANTAGE OF PRE-ORDER PRICING!

32oz Aluminum Water Bottles $10
Legal Size Vinyl Portfolios $12
Jazzin’ It Up 2010 T-Shirts $12 / $15 *
Worlds of Fun Day Passes $33
Royals Tickets $12 **
(parking passes available for $8)

* T-Shirts $12 (S-XL) and $15 (2XL-3XL)
** If we sell 1,000 Royals Tickets, NFL Executive Director J. Scott Wunn gets to throw out the first pitch!

Jazzin’ it up in KC
NFL Nationals 2010

http://debatekc2010.org/preorder
BE A PART OF THE EXCELLENCE

Dare to be Remarkable

To the University, Forensics is an opportunity to demonstrate academic excellence, to excel in competition of the intellect, and an extension of the entire academic atmosphere. At WKU, Forensics is an internationally renowned program recognized as a model for academic distinction among its peers, which recruits the finest talent in the country.

To the student, Forensics is an opportunity to cultivate life-long friendships, travel the country, and receive college credit for what you already love to do. As a result of the incredibly supportive administration, the students in Forensics at WKU enjoy many benefits, which is why WKU is home to more high school and collegiate national champions than any other program in the country.

simply the best!

- The only team to win the National Forensic Association Championship in debate and individual events in the same year. WKU accomplished this feat multiple times.
- The only team to win the National Forensic Association, American Forensic Association, and International Forensic Association Championships in the same year. WKU accomplished this feat multiple times.
- Winners of the International Forensic Association World Championship every year in attendance.
- Kentucky Forensics Association State Champions for over two decades.
- Recognized by the Kentucky Senate and House of Representatives for Forensics Excellence.
- Recognized by the United States Senate and House of Representatives for Forensics Excellence.
- Designated as a Program of Distinction by the Post-Secondary Education Council.
- WKU’s SFI is one of the most reasonably priced summer forensics institutes, coached by numerous collegiate and high school national champions.

join the excitement

Interested in Hearing More About WKU Forensics ~ E-mail jace.lux@wkue.edu ~ Or visit us at www.wku.edu/forensics
We applaud your persistence in preparing for your future. Especially so early in life. Lincoln Financial Group. Proud sponsor of the National Forensic League. Visit LincolnFinancial.com/nfl to learn more about our sponsorship.