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Extemporaneous Speaking National Institute
The Extemporaneous Speaking National Institute is hands down the best camp in the nation for foreign and domestic competitors. Students will receive instruction in an extensive array of topic areas, classes on personality and delivery, hundreds of relevant extemp articles, and twenty-three practice rounds critiqued by the nation’s best coaches and former national competitors. Instruction is divided into one of three options to provide optimal training: Foreign Extemp, Domestic Extemp, and Generic Extemp. Most of all, campers will get the tried and true methods that have proven themselves priceless at countless regional tournaments and national championships.

Lincoln Douglas Debate National Institute
The Lincoln Douglas Debate National Institute provides award winning instruction for debaters of all ages and experience levels. The Varsity Division is open to all enrolling students and offers an extensive look at everything from evidence research and case construction to cross-examination techniques and topic lectures. The Championship Division is limited to those students who have previously attended the Lincoln Douglas National Institute or qualified for the NFL National Tournament or the Tournament of Champions. The newly introduced Scholars Division is limited to those students who have been selected in a nomination process for their excellence in rounds and in the classroom. All divisions will also offer detailed instruction on all ten of the coming year’s topics, twenty-three rounds critiqued by the nation’s best instructors and coaches, and extensive research materials.

Policy Debate National Institute
The Policy Debate National Institute is dedicated to providing outstanding instruction in the areas that team debaters need most. Unlike the “evidence factory” model employed by most debate camps, the curriculum at CDE is driven by time honored methods that encourage independent growth and achievement, individualized instruction and mentoring, and the tools and techniques needed to develop winning strategies that win debate rounds. The Varsity Division is open to all enrolled students, and the Championship Division is reserved for those students who have qualified for either the NFL National Tournament or the Tournament of Champions. The main goal of both of the divisions of Team Debate is to develop an environment in which students can learn the standards of policy, but also prepare for the latest trends in argumentative structure.

Public Forum Debate Institute
The Public Forum Debate curriculum is one of the most exciting new programs to come to the CDE National Debate Institute. Some of the best Public Forum coaches and debate minds from around the United States will be leading discussion based modules and focus groups directed at developing strategies that work in the NFL’s newest form of debate. Students will receive numerous lay-critiqued rounds and instruction in current events, rhetorical strategies, oratorical organization, cross-fire techniques, topic approaches, and persuasive performance. The main goal of the Public Forum Debate Institute will be to allow students to take an active role in creating the organizational and argumentative structure of Public Forum Debate while emphasizing the persuasive and oratorical nature of this new form of debate.

Applications for the 2006 CDE National Debate Institute are now being accepted.
Mail this form along with a $95 application fee to: CDE, PO Box Z, Taos, New Mexico 87571.
Application fee is completely refundable if not accepted to the camp. Visa and MasterCard are accepted.

Name: ___________________________ Phone Number: ___________________________
Mailing Address: ___________________________
School: ___________________________ Number of Years in Event: ___________________________
Coach’s Name: ___________________________ Coach’s Phone Number: ___________________________

Please enroll me in: ☐Foreign Extemp ☐Domestic Extemp ☐Generic Extemp ☐Varsity LD
☐Champs LD ☐Scholars LD ☐Public Forum ☐Varsity CX ☐Champs CX
CDE DEBATE HANDBOOKS FOR
2006-2007: Compulsory/National Service

CASE SPECIFIC BLOCKS on:
Expand Corps
Senior Citizens go to work
Environmental work
Military
The Draft
Civic Service
Border Patrol, Drugs, and Anti-terrorism
Religious, Gender, and Racial Tolerance
Fight Poverty
Infrastructure & or Public Works
Literacy
Malnourishment/Hunger
Caring For The Elderly
Natural Disaster Relief

DISADVANTAGES
Federalism
Career Path Disruption
Serve the Corrupt-Authoritarianism
Crime
Violence
Cost/Deficit
Bush (Political) both ways
Charity Damage
Siphon off Military Recruits
Increase Arms Race or Hegemony
Opportunity Costs
Worker Shortages
Growth both ways
Conservative Backlash
Ecocentric Worldview
Oil Prices

TOPICALITY ATTACKS
National Service
Establish
Federal government
A Comprehensive
Comprehensive Program
Mandatory

COUNTERPLANS
Volunteerism
States & Local NGOs
Int'l Organizations
Study
Exclusion (Amish, 7th Day, Aboriginal)

KRITIKS
Ethical Egoism
Objectivism
Stalinism
Communitarianism
Native Americans

SOLVENCY ATTACKS
Bureaucracy
Infrastructure
Enforcement
National Guard Model
Open Checkbook
Education base
Not cost-effective
Unimportant labor
Mismatch: supply vs. demand

INHERENCY
Freedom Corps
Voluntary Service Initiatives
Community Service
Voluntary Service Action
VISTA
The NOAA Group
Corporation for National & Community Service
School requirement
Youth Service America
Sr. Corps
Project of America
Minor repairs theory
AmeriCorps
Peace Corps

Mail Today

TESTIMONIALS
"Unique evidence and arguments unavailable elsewhere." — J. Prager, California
"I wouldn't go a year without CDE." — V. Zabel, Deer Creek
"So much more complete than all the other handbooks that I don't see how they stay in business." — J. Diza, Texas
"These are the best handbooks I have ever seen." — Coach, Highland Park S.S.
"Of the 700 plus pages in your 3 books there wasn't one thing we didn't do and use; we discarded or gave our services most of the handbooks we bought from other companies." — Joe Johnson, Florida
"Your generic blocks are really good. I get bothered by how much duplication all the other handbooks have, its like they're all written by the same person." — John Demos-Hill

► NATIONAL CAMP SURVEY ranks CDE Handbooks "the best in the nation."
► Texas-based speech newsletter finds CDE Handbooks and Affirmative Cases Book the biggest, most complete, and best debate books available.
► The ROCKY MOUNTAIN EDUCATION Survey looked at CDE, Paradigm, DRG, Squirrel Killers, NTC, West Coast, Eastern, Michigan, Dale, Communican, and Harvard. They rank CDE best in every category except editing.

Handbook set $79
Kritik, 4th ed. $39
Affirmative Cases $47
Really Big Theory Block Book $45
Internet Research Book $47
Team Debate Package $215
Topic Debate Video $49

$30.00 each, set for $79.00

Mail to:
CDE, P.O. Box Z, Taos, (NM) 87571
Phone: (505) 751-0514
Email: bennett@cdedebate.com

Name ___________________________
Mailing Address ___________________________

The essence of leadership

Aimee Hugdahl,
Associate Regional Vice President,
Key Accounts, Lincoln Financial Group

It is fitting that Lincoln Financial Group is named in honor of a great American leader. Our company can directly attribute more than a century of success to leadership. From Arthur Hall at the founding in 1905 to Jon Boscia today, each person at the helm has decisively guided the organization's growth. All were inspired by the example of the man whose family granted us permission to call ourselves “Lincoln.”

When you think of great national leaders like Abraham Lincoln, what sets them apart? What qualities did they possess to so profoundly shape the nation’s destiny? Was it their intelligence, keen intuition or experience? It was all of these and something more: an extraordinary ability to inspire:

- Abraham Lincoln authored and delivered speeches that redefined the country's founding principles, most notably in the Gettysburg Address commemorating one of the most devastating battles of the Civil War. His Emancipation Proclamation declared an end to slavery.

- John F. Kennedy sounded a ringing call to action in his inaugural address with the famous phrase, “Ask not what your country can do for you; ask what you can do for your country.”

- Martin Luther King Jr. wrought positive change for generations to come with his soul-stirring “I Have a Dream” speech.

As these great American leaders have shown, a single, memorable speech can help secure a person's place in history. The ability to convey a message, to communicate effectively in a public forum, is the essence of leadership. To great leaders like Lincoln, Kennedy and King, it was an art form.

With this in mind, Lincoln Financial Group is proud to be the national corporate sponsor of the National Forensic League. First, our commitment to education is a tradition nearly as old as the company itself. Second, we realize that the development of public speaking and communication skills are vital as young people prepare meet the challenge of leadership in the years ahead.

Our affiliation with the NFL began in 1994 with the sponsorship of the Lincoln-Douglas debate, and we have been the national corporate sponsor since 1998. Today, we support the NFL through sponsorship of the annual speech and debate tournament, coach training, student gifts, and college scholarships for Lincoln-Douglas Debate winners. Our Lincoln Financial Group Refreshers at district tournaments allow students and coaches to grab a snack, beverage and gift between rounds. Our representatives also enjoy judging the competition, presenting awards and encouraging your efforts.

The benefits of your membership in the NFL can last a lifetime. Even as today's students are honing their skills and competing in tournaments, their predecessors are playing key roles in the social arena. NFL alumni include U.S. presidents and other political figures, CEOs and other business leaders, Supreme Court justices, media moguls, and a number of prominent actors, actresses and entertainers.

Their success shows that in almost every endeavor, speech is the basic tool of creation. Our company's namesake, Abraham Lincoln, knew words had the power to change the world, and made eloquence a lifelong pursuit. Whether your circumstances are humble, as his were, or graced by fortune, any aspiration to leadership begins with developing your gifts as a communicator. The NFL offers you that opportunity.
From the Editor

J. Scott Wunn

Dear NFL,

This month’s cover of Rostrum is highlighted by a single word...“Honor”.

This noble concept is defined in so many ways. Some consider honor to mean respect or esteem. Others believe that honor is a strong sense of right and keen moral judgment. In the National Forensic League, honor is most often referred to as special recognition given to a student or coach for superior scholarship or excellence in forensic activities.

No matter how it is defined, the term “honor” promotes an obligation to conduct ourselves in a manner which reflects the stability and nobility of our organization. The organization itself has an obligation to hold fast to its rules and traditions, yet possess a willingness to change for the benefit of the NFL mission. The coaches, students, and parents of the NFL have a duty to abide by the manners of conduct that our outlined in the NFL Constitution. The leaders of the organization are responsible for policy making that supports the tenets of this 80 year old educational society.

In understanding the tenets of the NFL, we need look no further than our emblem, the key. It symbolizes the unlocking of the powers of expression. The shape of the main part of the emblem is octagonal, which symbolizes the many angles from which a question must be studied. The eye symbolizes the light which study will throw upon all questions. The lamp designates knowledge.

Our organization is only as strong as the character of its members and the “honor” with which we experience it.

Sincerely,

J. Scott Wunn

---

Rostrum

Official Publication of the National Forensic League
P.O. Box 38
Ripon, Wisconsin 54971-0038
(920) 748-6206

J. Scott Wunn, Editor and Publisher

Sandy Krueger, Publications Director

Subscription Prices
Individuals: $10 for one year
$15 for two years
Member Schools:
$5 for each additional subscription

The Rostrum provides a forum for the forensic community. The opinions expressed by contributors are their own and not necessarily the opinions of the National Forensic League, its officers or members. The NFL does not guarantee advertised products and services unless sold directly by the NFL.
Announcements

Topics

March Public Forum Debate Topic:

Resolved: That big box retailers benefit the communities in which they are located.

March/April Lincoln Financial Group/ NFL L/D Debate Topic

Resolved: Juveniles charged with violent crimes should be tried and punished as adults.

2005-2006 Policy Debate Topic

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially decrease its authority either to detain without charge or to search without probable cause.

2006-07 NFL Policy Debate Topic

Resolved: The United States federal government should establish a policy substantially increasing the number of persons serving in one or more of the following national service programs: AmeriCorps, Citizen Corps, Senior Corps, Peace Corps, Learn and Serve America, Armed Forces.

Submit Articles for Publication

The NFL Office is always looking for well-written articles by both NFL coaches and students. Please consider contributing feature articles, editorials, pictorials, and special interest stories to the NFL. All articles should be sent to:

Sandy Krueger, NFL Publications Director
Email address is: nflrostrum@centurytel.net

The Cover Photo

"Honor"

Submit Your Public Forum Topic Ideas for Consideration

Go to www.nflonline.org to share your ideas for good Public Forum Debate Resolutions with the National Topic Selection Committee.
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Letter from the Editor
Whitman National Debate Institute
Policy and LD

July 23 - August 3, 2006 (2 week session)
July 23 - August 10, 2006 (3 week session)

Hosted by Whitman College, home of four 2005 CEDA Nats elim teams and the 2005 NPDA Finalists!

Why Whitman’s camp?

1. **Individual attention**: 4 to 1 staff to student ratio and the vast majority of your time will be spent in small labs with four to six people and a staff member, not in a lecture room with 100 people; not in a lab with 12 to 16 people with two staff members.

2. **Practice and drills**: You engage in 8 drills and 10 policy or 20 LD debates with clear feedback and re-dos in just the first two weeks. These begin on the second day of camp, so you improve day after day—not just at the very end when it is too late.

3. **Research**: You won’t go home with a few paltry pieces of evidence and you won’t spend endless hours as a research slave. Our unique staff jump-started research program gives you the tools to produce high quality evidence in large volumes. In 2005, we produced over 5800 policy and 1050 LD pages (on all ten NFL LD topics). Each debater receives prints of files they choose plus electronic versions of all of the files.

4. **Instruction diversity**: You won’t get stuck in one lab with one or two lab leaders you might not work with best. We rotate labs so you work with many if not all of our staff. And you’ll work with them one-on-one, not just listening to them lecture.

5. **Beautiful location**: Whitman College is located in the Walla Walla valley at the foothills of the Blue Mountains in southeast Washington. Easily accessed via two airports as well as Greyhound, the campus is the home of our nationally recognized liberal arts school with beautiful brick buildings, grass fields, trees, and rolling streams. Modern, comfortable classrooms feature fast wireless Internet access with multiple computers and an excellent library.

6. **Family feel**: People at our camp feel connected, not isolated. Whether you are shy, into sports, critical, outgoing, whatever, you’ll find your niche. We have a delicious picnic, movie night, ultimate Frisbee, a live concert, and more fun activities. We make an effort to reach out to students, to build up community, and to give people space to be who they are.

7. **Transportation to and from the airport**: Our safety certified driver will pick you up at and take you back to the Walla Walla airport free of charge or to the Pasco airport or bus station for a $20 fee each way. Check our web page for details.

Questions? Want a full brochure?
E-mail Jim Hanson at hansonjb@whitman.edu

ONLINE REGISTRATION FORMS AND MORE INFO AT:
www.whitman.edu/rhetoric/camp/

Register by May 1 to avoid higher fees.
One of the largest, branded frozen food companies in the world, to many The Schwan Food Company, is the yellow truck that has delivered delicious ice cream and other fine foods to their door for more than 50 years.

You can find Schwan food brands in your grocer's freezer or schools, hospitals, restaurants and cafeterias.

For more information on the brands of The Schwan Food Company visit

www.theschwanfoodcompany.com
Great Taste
Delivered Directly to the Home!

Featuring America’s Favorites!

- Delicious Pizza
- Classic Ice Cream
- Sweet Cookie Dough
- No Minimum Purchase
- Guaranteed Home Delivery
- 100% Quality Guarantee
- Nationwide Delivery*

*Contiguous United States

America’s First
Frozen Fundraising Program Delivered Directly to the Home!
1-888-413-0003  www.schwansfundraising.com
Coach Profile

Meet

James W. Rye III

By Liz Leach
NFL Staff

What was your first NFL experience?
My first encounter with the NFL was in 1985 when Betty Gunn, the longtime coach at Mountain Brook High School, approached me after a production of L'il Abner in which I played Evil Eye Feagel. She said she was looking for someone who wouldn't mind making a fool of themselves for the Forensics Team. Who could refuse such an offer? After my first tournament, I was hooked and have been making a fool of myself ever since.

When did you decide to be a teacher and/or coach?
I had always planned on becoming an attorney, and at the end of my undergraduate work at the University of Alabama, I decided to take a year off before going to law school. My plan was to tour Europe for a year and naturally my dad would pay for it. My dad had a different idea for my "year of self-discovery" — go to work. The very next day an administrator from The Montgomery Academy (who at the time happened to be the husband of NFL legend Gloria Robinson) called me wanting to start a team — I thought that sounded like a great thing to do for one year. Fifteen years later, I am still plugging away.

What is your team philosophy?
The development of life skills surfaces as our focus/philosophy. The truth is that winning a trophy pales in comparison to conquering the fear of making a presentation to a group of people.

How many hours do you spend with this activity a week?
Too many to count, but worth every second.

What is your vision for the future of the NFL?
The NFL has made tremendous strides towards expanding opportunities to multitudes of students throughout the country. However, these efforts will go nowhere fast without coaches. The biggest challenge facing the NFL revolves around finding adults willing to take on the responsibility of coaching. We face a massive shortage in the coming years as the "baby boom" coaches retire. I would like to see the NFL become more involved in identifying individuals who want to go into coaching and matching them with schools that want programs.

What is exciting about being an NFL coach in the State of Alabama
Exciting is a great word to use when describing forensics in Alabama. We have a lot of coaches new to NFL and they are thirsty for knowledge in their desire to help their students. We have a tremendous cooperative spirit — everyone is so nice! The best example of this involves a unique program in our state called SpeakFirst. This program was started two years ago by a tireless individual named Stephen Black who wanted to provide speech and debate to inner-city students in Birmingham. Coaches statewide have supported these students by waiving entry fees, providing educational materials, and free workshops for the students. Throughout their first year, not one of the 10 students won an award, but they kept working. At the first in-state tournament this year, several SpeakFirst students won awards. You could feel the entire coaching community applaud this huge achievement for the individuals as well as the program. Alabama is also perhaps the only state in which the State Legislature has money set aside to help fund our students who qualify for NFL Nationals. OH! One more thing! The Entire State Of Alabama Is Fired Up About Hosting The 2009 NFL National Tournament!

What's unique about The Montgomery Academy as an NFL chapter?
Despite having only 270 pupils in our entire high school, we have a team this year of over 90 students — and this is without a speech requirement for graduation.

What qualities do you look for when recruiting students for your program?
"If you can breathe, you can compete" is my motto. I am a firm believer that every student can benefit from being involved in forensics, regardless of their raw talent. In fact, the ones that lack a natural gift for gab are the ones that need this activity the most.

What is your favorite memory from a National Tournament?
My favorite memory has nothing to do with an award or honor — it is the 1999
Coach Profile

James W. Rye III

Nationals in Phoenix, Arizona for 2 reasons. One, in my opinion, it serves as the model of how a tournament should run – the facilities and the hospitality were amazing. Second, myself and the 3 students with me at nationals had a great time. From going to our first major league baseball game in a collapsible dome stadium to tubing down the Salt River, the four of us really bonded and remain close to this day. The relationships that are formed, whether with other coaches or with students, become the most important aspects of this activity.

What is the greatest challenge as a coach today?
Striking a balance between coaching and personal life. The fact that I am still single suggests that I am still in search of this balance. If there are any interested ladies, email me at howpitiful.com.

Are there any rituals/lucky traditions that you employ as a coach?
It’s all about the bow tie!

What’s your favorite weekend tournament food item?
Depends on the tournament. When in New Orleans, the lobster tail at G.W. Fins; when in Atlanta, the spring rolls at Phuket; when in Tuscaloosa, a slab of ribs at Dreamland. At most tournaments and in most cities, we are eating on the run at a place I call Chez Wendy’s. As for me personally, a 12 oz. Mountain Dew and a Goo-Goo Cluster and I am good to go – I call it the Dew & Goo diet. The Dew & Goo also cures all ailments.

ANNOUNCING
THE NFL COACH RING

NFL Logo
(Eye and lamp outlined in black)

Available in
10k Gold $250
&
Sterling Silver $125

Allow 4-6 weeks for delivery

Diamonds can be added
$18 per diamond

Available through the NFL Website www.nflonline.org

New!! NFL Graduate Pin

Recognize your Senior High School Forensic Graduates

Graduate
"Honor"
Pewter Plated
Size 7/8"
Stanford National Forensic Institute
2006 Accelerated Program

The Three Week Accelerated Program: The Accelerated Program provides the same high quality seminars and lectures of the Policy Debate Core Curriculum, but with an incredible student to staff ratio of 4:1. This allows the Accelerated Program to guarantee tremendous personal attention to students during argument construction and discussion while allowing the second half of camp to focus on in-round technique and strategy. Accelerated students will debate 20 rounds during the course of the program, allowing debaters to hone their technical and strategic capabilities. Accelerated students may also apply to the Swing Lab, a special program within the session, provided they have previously attended at least one debate institute during the summer of 2006. Accelerated students will receive all arguments produced at camp on disc, as well as an additional paper copy of all negative arguments and their own affirmative.

The Swing Lab: The Swing Lab curriculum focuses on Expertly Critiqued Debates. Swing Lab scholars will participate in a rigorous series of at least a dozen practice debates beginning on the second day of the camp, with an emphasis on stop-and-go and rebuttal rework debates. The Swing Lab program provides intensive instruction in Research, Argument Construction, and Advanced Technique. The kernels of arguments which are produced by other institutes will be used as a starting point. These arguments will be used by program participants to construct detailed positions which will include second and third level extension blocks, new cases, disadvantages, kritiks, counterplans, and in-depth case negatives. Scholars will be immersed in Advanced Theory through seminars that offer unique and rival views on a variety of issues including fiat, competition, intrinsicness, permutations, kritiks, presumption, extratopicality, the nature of policy topics, and many other issues from the cutting edge of current theoretical discourse. The Swing Lab will be directed by Jon Sharp. Admissions to the Swing Lab are selective and solely at the discretion of the program directors.

Faculty: Initially confirmed Accelerated Program staff for summer 2006 include:
Matt Fraser - SNFI Program Director; Program Director, Stanford Debate
Robert Thomas - SNFI Academic Director; Policy Coach, Stanford Debate
Jon Sharp - University of Kentucky
Toni Nielson - CSU-Fullerton
Judy Butler - Augusta Prep
Eli Anders - Harvard University
Sara Apel - Texas/Emory Law
Erik Holland - USC
More TBA
The Stanford National Forensic Institute offers a unique national-caliber program conducted by the Stanford Debate Society of Stanford University, a registered student organization of the Associated Students of Stanford University.

**The Three Week Core Program:** The Core curriculum focuses on preparing students for the upcoming topic and increasing overall debate knowledge through lectures and seminars on the topic and debate theory, directed library and online research, lab sessions, and expertly critiqued practice debates. This balanced approach is available to students of all levels of experience at a reasonable price, while maintaining the high quality of instruction that SNFI is known for. Special advanced and novice sessions are both available to Core participants. Students will work with each other and the faculty on argument construction to create a core set of evidence available to all SNFI students on paper and disk.

"I specifically recommend SNFI because you can't get a staff like this all at once anywhere else. The instructors have so much experience and they are willing to share it unconditionally."

Rebecca Stellato, 2004 and 2005 SNFI Participant

**The Four Week Program:** The Four Week Program is fully integrated with the Three Week programs, but adds an additional week which focuses on the skills needed to jumpstart your season. Students are guaranteed to get 10 fully critiqued practice rounds in the final week and to participate in very small group workshops to write sophisticated frontlines to a variety of positions. The 4:1 student to staff ratio guarantees that everyone debates at once and that seminars are truly personalized. Four Week students are welcome to apply to the Swing Lab or enroll in either the Accelerated or Core programs for the first three weeks of camp for the first three weeks of the camp. A one week Parliamentary Debate program is held concurrently with the Fourth Week of the session.

**Faculty:** Initially confirmed Core Program staff for summer 2006 include:
- Matt Fraser - SNFI Program Director; Program Director, Stanford Debate
- Robert Thomas - SNFI Academic Director; Policy Coach, Stanford Debate
- Cyrus Ghavi - Emory University
- Corey Turoff - The Head-Royce School
- Jessica Yeats - Idaho State University
- Bobby Lepore - Stanford Debate
- Shunta Jordan - Pace Academy
- Dan Veroff - Edgemont High School
- Bruce Jordan (Parliamentary Debate) - The Bentley School
- More TBA

---

Stanford National Forensic Institute
2006 Policy Debate

**Dates and Prices**

**Core Program**
July 22 - August 11, $1985

**Fourth Week**
August 11 - 18, $1210

**Parliamentary Debate**
August 11 - 18, $1000

Phone: 650-723-9086 • Web: www.snfi.org • Email: info@snfi.org
Introduce and Extend Communication Skills!

38 Basic Speech Experiences
11th Edition ©2005

New features for this classic public speaking text!

Students are up on their feet speaking from the first to the last chapter in this project-based text. Each chapter is structured around a speaking project that students prepare and present. Clear expectations, specific guidelines, and models throughout the chapter build students’ confidence and ensure success.

This introductory text keeps students actively involved in the most common public speaking experiences. Speech types include:

- informative
- impromptu
- persuasive
- business
- demonstration
- mass media
- special occasions
- entertaining
- and others!
- contest

NEW features in the 11th edition make this the most up-to-date and comprehensive public speaking text available:

- A beginning unit with the basics of building, delivering, and evaluating a speech
- A streamlined lesson structure based on the Preparing, Organizing, Presenting, and Evaluating principle
- Talking Points that focus on special elements of public speaking such as stage fright, visual aids, listening skills, and persuasive techniques
- Dynamic new design and updated images

A Teacher Resource Binder features chapter notes, quizzes and answer keys, reproducible activities, and more.

Applications. Communication
For Personal and Professional Contexts
©2001

Interpersonal, professional, and group communication skills for lifelong use

This comprehensive text uses a decision-based approach to help students learn about effective and ethical communication. A SPAM framework helps students plan communication based on Situation, Purpose, Audience, and Method.

The 25 chapters are organized into four units:

- communication basics
- interpersonal communication
- group communication
- public communication

Each chapter begins with student objectives, vocabulary, and a short workplace scenario. Chapters conclude with a summary and four levels of activities—remembering, reflecting, reaching, and real-life. The text also addresses communicating through e-mail, voice mail, and the Internet.

A Teacher Guide saves hours of preparation time with teaching suggestions for each chapter, grading forms, and quizzes with answer keys.

Perfection Learning®
Perfect for your Classroom

Call customer service or visit our Web site today for a FREE catalog and product samplers!

phone: (800) 831-4190 • fax: (800) 543-2745 • web: perfectionlearning.com
FFI
2005-2006
SUCCESS SPEAKS FOR ITSELF!

Wake Forest Finalists: HI, DI, OI, Congress & DUO
Yale Finalists: DI, HI, DUO, OI, EX & Congress
Yale Champions: DI, OO & Congress
Blue Key Champions: OI & Congress
Blue Key Finalists: DI, HI, DUO, OI, OO & Congress
The Glenbrooks: Congress & Extemp Champions
with Semi-Finalists & Finalists in all I.E.s
Villiger: Champion in Congress
MBA Round Robin Extemp Invites

We are just getting warmed up!

Reserve your place at FFI this summer and work with the best instructors in the Nation!

June 29th-July 13th
Extension from July 13th-July 16th

www.ffi4n6.com
What was your New Year’s Resolution? Why?

Justyna from Illinois
As the 2005 school year came to an end, I realized that in the midst of all my accomplishments, a plague of procrastination infected me. No matter the subject, no matter the project, I procrastinated. For this reason, my New Year’s Resolution is to be less of a procrastinator.

Rachael from South Carolina
My New Year’s Resolution is to get 42 points before school gets out. I would like to reach 90 or 100 points before the next New Year.

Adam from Nebraska
My New Year’s Resolution for speech is twofold. The first part is to have as much fun at practice and competition as possible. The second part is to buckle down and get ready for district competition. I am sure that I can accomplish my resolution!

Javon from Kansas
My New Year’s Resolution is to be better than I was last year in every thing I have done and everything I will do.

Jules from Indiana
To communicate better.

Jenifer from Texas
Get a full scholarship to University of Texas by winning UIL state in policy debate, because I really want to go to University of Texas, because of their great law program, and my family cannot afford it.

Billy from Florida
Look at the stars at night...because there is nothing more relaxing

Kammeron from Idaho
My New Year’s Resolution was to get a better job.

Amanda from Ohio
My New Year’s Resolution is to focus on my school work because I have been letting too many things get in the way and my grades are slipping.

Danielle from Missouri
My New Year’s Resolution was to prepare for Congress. I usually get too caught up in CX to care at all about it. I will break, I will place, and I will win.

Josie from Colorado
It want to get organized. My room/locker/backpack look like a tornado wiped through them...<cry/whimper,cry>so depressing.

Jennifer from Montana
My New Year’s Resolution was to be myself. Every other year I have tried to impress someone by changing who I am. Whether it was my teachers,
friends, or family members, I have never been my true-self. That is why I am going to be myself this year without worrying about impressing anyone.

Nick from California
My New Year’s Resolution this year is to try and become more open to other types of debate. Last year I just did Parliamentary Debate, but I think I need to become more open and try new things. I think that will help me more after high school. My goal is to eventually become a politician so I am now trying to focus on Congress Debate.

Lauren from Virginia
My New Year’s Resolution was to recruit more members to the Chantilly Forensic Team. I shamelessly plugged the Debate and Forensic Team until I recruited at least ten people! That makes me feel good to see more people enjoy my hobby: FORENSICS!

Braden from Nevada
My New Year’s Resolution was to prepare my hardest to win at my State Tournament this year. It has been a goal of mine for a long time and now that I am a junior, I am going for the gold!

Alexis from Oregon
1) Lose ten pounds so I can fit into my dress for our Sadie Hawkin’s dance.
2) Study for SAT’s and PSAT’s so I can get accepted to William & Mary.
3) Maintain a 4.0 GPA even with Advanced Placement United States History.
4) Work to be a better person
5) Listen to Trudi (debate coach)

Becky from Ohio
My New Year’s Resolution was to become a healthier eater. Originally I had wanted to just lose weight. Then I realized that losing weight would be only one benefit of eating healthier. I decided to focus on eating healthier in general so that I could only improve my self-esteem but also the status of my health.

Sara from Texas
Do each of my events with zest and enthusiasm.

Marie from Utah
My New Year’s Resolution was to do better in school and to become healthier than I have been.
The Championship Debate Group
On The Campus Of Northwestern University

Is Pleased to Announce Our 2006 Four Week Summer Programs
For Rising Sophomores and Juniors:
July 9 Through August 6, 2006

And Our 2006 Five Week Program For Rising Seniors:
July 2 Through August 6, 2006

The Innovative Northwestern Curriculum:

- Teamwork, Teamwork, Teamwork!!!
- Full Coordinated and Shared Research and Evidence Design
  - Small Group Topic Analysis
- Matching Faculty Expertise to Individual Student Needs
  - College Caliber Strategy and Research Skills
- Leading Innovators From Both College and High School Coaching Ranks
  - Learn Where The Topic Will Be in January –
     Not Where It Was Ten Years Ago!!!

For Further Information Contact:
The Championship Debate Group
540 North Lake Shore Drive, Suite 316
Chicago, IL 60611
(312)-527-4728
www.championshipdebategroup.com
E-Mail: scott@championshipdebategroup.com

"Come, Be a Part of America’s Most Successful College Debate Program"

Northwestern University
National Debate Tournament Champions

Cross Examination Debate Association National Champions
1997
The Championship Debate Group
On The Campus Of Northwestern University

Instructors for the Five Week Zarefsky Seniors Program:
• Scott Deatherage, Director of Debate, Northwestern University

• Josh Branson, Senior Debater, Northwestern University
  National Debate Tournament Champion, 2005

• Chris Lundberg, Assistant Director of Debate, Northwestern University
  Coach of Three National Debate Tournament Championship Teams

Instructors for the Four Week Zarefsky Juniors Programs:

• Kevin Hamrick, Associate Director of Debate, Northwestern University
  Curriculum Director, Northwestern University Summer Debate Programs

  • Michael Antonucci, Associate Director of Debate
    Lexington High School, Massachusetts

• Tristan Morales, Assistant Director of Debate, Northwestern University
  National Debate Tournament Champion, 2003, 2005

  • Jonathan Paul, Associate Director of Debate, Greenhill School
    National Debate Tournament Champion, 2002

• LaTonya Starks, Assistant Director of Debate, Northwestern University
  Former Executive Coordinator, Chicago Debate League

Instructors for the Four Week Zarefsky Sophomores:

Kenda Cunningham, Director of Debate, Georgetown University
Former Director of Debate, Carrollton Sacred Hart High School, Florida

Noah Chestnut, Assistant Director of Debate, Caddo Magnet High School
Senior Debater, Northwestern University

Half a Century of Champions and Counting!!!

Northwestern University
National Debate Tournament Top Speakers

Rex Copeland Memorial Award — Top First Round At-Large
NFL ANNOUNCES
SUMMER WORKSHOP
SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM
FOR COACHES AND TEACHERS

The NFL is happy to announce a new scholarship program that will provide free and reduced tuition to NFL coaches who would like to participate in a summer coach workshop program. Several summer workshops programs have graciously provided tuition discounts and full paid scholarships for the summer of 2006. These contributions along with a financial investment from the NFL will allow teachers and coaches to receive full scholarships and partial “tuition only” scholarships to many of the nation’s finest summer programs where they can learn from the nation’s top experts in speech and debate.

WHAT INSTITUTES ARE PARTICIPATING? The list of summer programs that are involved continues to grow. A complete list of participating programs will be updated daily at www.nflonline.org/CoachingResources.

WHO CAN APPLY? Any forensics teacher or coach of any level of experience may apply. We will try to match you with a workshop that meets your needs. With a limited number of scholarships for different types and locations of summer programs, scholarships will be based on educational and financial need.

WHAT COSTS DO THE SCHOLARSHIPS COVER? We will be granting full tuition plus room and board scholarships, tuition only scholarships, and partial tuition scholarships. All participants (no matter the level of scholarship) will be responsible for transportation costs and other incidentals.

WHEN DO I HAVE TO APPLY? Applications must be received by April 15, 2006.

WHERE DO I APPLY? To apply, go to the NFL website at www.nflonline.org under the “Coaching Resources” section and fill out a Coach’s Summer Workshop Scholarship Application Form and return it to the NFL National Office by April 15, 2006.

For more information, email nfl@centurytel.net or call 920-748-6206.

[ANY SUMMER PROGRAM IN DEBATE AND/OR SPEECH EDUCATION THAT WISHES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE NFL COACH SUMMER WORKSHOP PROGRAM SHOULD CONTACT SCOTT WUNN AT (920)748-6206.]
NFL
SUMMER WORKSHOP PROGRAM
FOR COACHES AND TEACHERS
Scholarship Application

Name: ___________________________ Phone: ___________________________

School: ___________________________ Phone: ___________________________

School Address: Street __________ City __________ State __________ Zip ______

Fax: ___________________________ Email: ___________________________

Please check all boxes that best describe your educational needs:
☐ Program Development (Fundraising/Lesson Planning/Team Management, etc.)
☐ Individual Events Education and Coaching
☐ Lincoln-Douglas Debate Education and Coaching
☐ Policy Debate Education and Coaching
☐ Student Congress Education and Coaching
☐ Public Forum Education and Coaching

Please feel free to expand on your educational needs below:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Please give a brief explanation of your financial needs:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Please List up to three summer programs you would like to attend in order of preference. Please only list the summer programs that you would be willing to attend if given a scholarship. Please indicate if you would consider attending if only a partial scholarship were available.

Please refer to the back of this application for the list of participating summer programs and their corresponding dates and locations.

Name of Program (In order of Preference) Dates Would you accept a Partial Scholarship?

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Please send this form to: National Forensic League
(SEND BY APRIL 15th) C/o NFL Coach Summer Workshop Program
125 Watson Street, Ripon, WI 54971
CURRENT PARTICIPATING SUMMER PROGRAMS

The following list will be updated as we confirm the participation of more summer programs. Please check this list periodically for updates before completing your application by April 15th.

University of Kentucky
Dates: June 23-July 9, 2006
Location: University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY
Scholarships Available: 3 Full Tuition/Room/Board Scholarships
Special Note: Must Assist with Dorm Supervision, LD and Policy
Website Address: www.uky.edu/studentaffairs/deanofstudents/debate

Miami Debate
Dates: June 25-July 15, 2006
Location: Miami University, Oxford, Ohio
Scholarships Available: 4 Full Tuition/Room/Board Scholarships
Special Note: Policy Debate
Website Address: miamidebate.blogspot.com

National Debate Forum
Dates: July 22-August 5, 2006
Location: Emerson College, Boston, MA
Scholarships Available: 1 Full Tuition/Room/Board and 2 Tuition Only Scholarships
Special Note: Lincoln Douglas Debate
Website Address: www.nationaldebateforum.com

National Symposium for Debate
Dates: June 26-July 10
Location: Grinnell College, Grinnell, IA
Scholarships Available: 2 Full Tuition/Room/Board and 2 Tuition Only Scholarships
Special Note: Focus on Lincoln Douglas Debate
Website Address: www.nsdebate.com

Baylor University
Dates: July 9-16, 2006
Location: Baylor University, Waco, TX
Scholarships Available: 1 Full Tuition/Room/Board and 2 Partial Scholarships
Special Note: All Events
Website Address: www.baylordebate.com

Florida Forensics Institute
Dates: June 29-July 13, 2006
Location: Ft. Lauderdale, FL
Scholarships Available: 2 Full Tuition/Meals with partial Lodging
Special Note: All Events
Website Address: www.ff4n6.com

Liberty University
Dates: June 25-July 1, 2006
Location: Liberty University, Lynchburg, VA
Scholarships Available: 1 Full Tuition/Room/Board and 2 Partial Tuition Only Scholarships
Special Note: Policy and LD Debate
Website Address: www.liberty.edu/debate

MORE ON NEXT PAGE
Forensic Forum
Dates: July 29-August 12, 2006
Location: University of San Diego, San Diego, CA
Scholarships Available: 10 Full Tuition and Full Meal Scholarships (Lodging not Covered, but discounted)
Special Note: All Events

CDE
Dates: July 15-31, 2006
Location: University of New Mexico, Flagstaff, AZ
Scholarships Available: 1 Full Tuition/Room/Board and 3 Tuition Only Scholarships
Special Note: Extimp, Policy, Public Forum, and LD

The Championship Group
Dates: July 23-July 28, 2006
Location: Austin, TX
Scholarships Available: 2 Tuition Only Scholarships
Special Note: Policy and LD Debate
Website Address: www.thechampionshipgroup.com

Midwest Debate
Dates: July 10-21, 2006
Location: Park University, Kansas City, MO
Scholarships Available: 1 Full Tuition/Room/Board Scholarship
Special Note: Policy, LD, and Public Forum
Website Address: www.midwestdebate.us

Victory Briefs Institute 2006
Dates: July 2-15, 2006
Location: University of California, Los Angeles, CA
Scholarships Available: 1 Full Tuition/Room/Board Scholarships & 1 Tuition Only Scholarship
Special Note: Lincoln Douglas Debate
Website Address: www.victorybriefs.com

University of North Texas
Dates: June 25-July 15, 2006
Location: University of North Texas, Denton, TX
Scholarships Available: 3 Full Tuition/Room/Board Scholarships and multiple partial scholarships
Special Notes: Policy, LD, Student Congress, and Public Forum
Website Address: www.meangreenworkshops.com

Dartmouth Debate Institute
Dates: July 16-August 6, 2006
Location: Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH
Scholarships Available: 1 Full Tuition/Room/Board Scholarship
Special Note: Policy Debate
Website Address:

George Mason University
Dates: August 2-August 6, 2006
Location: George Mason University, Fairfax, Virginia
Scholarships Available: 1 Full Tuition/Room/Board and 1 Tuition Only Commuter Scholarship
Special Note: Individual Events
Website Address: www.gmuforensics.org/gmif
COUNCIL ELECTION

SIX SEEK COUNCIL SEATS

The biennial election which will choose four directors to the NFL Executive Council, elect a council alternate, and establish an order for other alternates, will take place in April of this year. The four elected directors will each serve a four year term. The alternate's term is two years.

All seats are not up for election. Councilors William Woods Tate, Jr., Kandi King, Pam Cady Wycoff and Tommie Lindsey, Jr. were elected in 2004 to four year terms and their seats will require election in 2008.

Ballots will be mailed to chapters on April 3. Chapters not receiving a ballot by April 10 should contact the national office. The deadline for returning ballots is May 1st (postmark). The number of votes a chapter may cast is based upon total members and degrees on record as of May 1. The count will be done by Dr. James Hecht of Credentialing Services; all ballots will be mailed directly to him. No ballots should be sent to the national office nor will national office personnel or candidates see any ballots.

The order that candidates appear in this March Rostrum and the order that candidates appear on the ballot were determined in separate drawings conducted by NFL Comptroller Carol Zanto. Statements and pictures were furnished by the candidates and not edited.

For more information consult the NFL Constitution [Article VII B] and the NFL Chapter Manual [XI: NFL Elections]

Results will be posted at www.nflonline.org on May 15, 2006
Ted W. Belch

I have been honored to serve the forensics community as a member of the NFL Executive Council for 16 years; I am asking to be returned one last time. I have the time, energy, and expertise to represent the League to sponsors and potential supporters, research and act on the issues you find most important, listen to your concerns and criticisms, and devote myself to the organization that has been part of my life for the last 36 years. I want to host one last NFL Nationals in Las Vegas in 2008 and leave this organization in 2010 a little better than I found it.

Over the years I have championed or supported duo interp, storytelling, and public forum debate. I have written and implemented rule changes for Policy, Lincoln/Douglas, and Interpretation and clarified online research. I was a supporter from the beginning of the NFL’s presence on the “information superhighway”, online credit card usage, and electronic point entry. As chair of the Future Nationals Committee, I visit and inspect all future sites for the National Finals. I continue to be committed to financial security for the NFL and recognize the necessity for varied monetary resources. I support the sponsorship of our League, yet don’t believe that we should “sell out” to anyone.

I believe we have accomplished a great deal in the 16 years that I’ve served, but I think there’s still room for improvement. Even though our website is excellent, we need to address problems in point recording and server capacity. This summer we will meet in Ripon to scrutinize all our events, their rules, and their relevance to our stated goals; if changes need to be made, I’m prepared to support them. We must examine our Districts structure, how and how many we qualify to the National Tournament, and the costs and length of our June convention. We must stop offering lip service to coach education and retention and develop programs that will address this problem. We must concentrate on seeing the “forest” and not just the “trees” within it.

Those who know me know that I’m willing to listen; I may not always agree with you or what you want me to do, but I think long and hard before every decision. My first priority is to this League and how it can flourish in the 21st century. My phone number and e-mail address are on the first page of this magazine; if you want my honest opinions and beliefs, contact me at any time. I appreciate your consideration and would be grateful for your support.

Glenda Ferguson

This is the last time I will run for NFL office. Consequently, I would love to be re-elected! I have one overwhelming reason for wanting to serve one more time – Coach Education.

For years we have been “talking the talk” about helping our coaches receive the training that they need, but very little has been done about it. Now, that is beginning to change and I want to make sure that the program is not only entrenched but that it expands. The NFL office has been great about putting lesson plans online, but that is not enough. We are developing the scholarship program through the universities and colleges beginning this summer. That is a great beginning, but it is not enough. I have other ideas which I believe will be implemented when the funds become available. Obviously I can’t publicize those now, but they involve one-on-one sharing and regional programs. We also need to develop coach advocacy programs. We need to hear your ideas about how the NFL can help you with your coaching duties and then GET SOLUTIONS FOR THOSE PROBLEMS.

We do a lot for our kids as we should. However, without confident, competent coaches (in all areas) who aren’t worked nearly to death, there aren’t going to be a lot of kids to compete. I believe that every successful program begins with the coach. I am helping, and want to continue to help, in that area.

I think that the NFL office as well as the Council as a whole is more responsive and more cognizant of coach requests. We have all told you that we will listen, and I believe that happens. I am confident that the current attitude will continue.

We have a good beginning in this area. Please help me to continue to help you by giving me one of your four votes this spring. I would appreciate it a great deal.
COUNCIL CANDIDATES

Tim Sheaff

This year, my eldest son became a member of the NFL, twenty-three years after his father did. When I became an NFL member as a student at Dowling Catholic High School, I could hardly foresee that I would be a candidate for the Executive Council. In asking for your support this year, I wanted to give you an idea of why I am running and share with you my vision for the future.

Not unlike all of the other candidates for the Council, I have served my district, state, and the NFL in many capacities. Throughout my tenure as the West Iowa district chair, member of the Iowa High School Speech Association Debate Committee, and the Iowa High School Forensic League Executive Council, I have discovered that through positions of leadership, I can help the most students and coaches. In the same way, the NFL provides leadership in numerous areas to promote the values of growth, diversity, and community.

I know that we all share a commitment to service. I'm sure many of you know me as the Master of Ceremonies at the NFL National Tournament, an honor that I enjoy with all of my heart because I am able to meet many of the thousands of students and coaches that make the NFL so incredible. I believe that all of us decided to become coaches for many of the same reasons. We believe in giving back, we believe in this community and this activity, and we believe in our students and their potential. My commitment is personal not only because of my son but because I understand that each NFL member is a son or daughter that deserves the very best we can offer.

I believe that, as a member of the Council, I can help make life a little bit easier for us as coaches by anchoring the NFL in the same strong core principles that we believe in and giving the membership the tools they need to succeed. I believe that strong leadership can encourage greater participation, diversity, and unity. I believe that personal commitment means that we need to understand and appreciate different perspectives. If you believe in these values and this vision, I respectfully ask for your support for the Council.

Together, I believe we can bring about positive change in our classrooms, in our communities, and in all our children.

Don Crabtree

Respectfully, I ask for your continued support as a member of the National Forensic League Executive Council.

I have coached all individual events and all types of debate for thirty-five years. I have taught at a small private school and for the last thirty years at a large suburban public school.

I have had the honor to receive the Schwan’s “Sixth” Diamond coach award and nine “Distinguished Service” awards. I was also honored to be elected to the NFL Hall of Fame in 1998. In 1993 and 1994, I served as your host for the two Kansas City Nationals. I share these accomplishments not to impress you, because many of you have received far more prestigious awards. I share them to let you know my deep and loving commitment to this organization! Those of you, who know me, know that I am a hard worker. There is no job too small or too large that I won’t attempt if it helps our students and the National Forensic League.

I worked very hard in 1995 to help establish Duo Interp as a national event; I started the National Interp Cutting List five years ago to benefit our schools and our students. It was my hope that by publishing this information, we would all be able to access the same materials if we so desired. Each year I try to present at least two articles worthy of publication for the Rostrum, I am involved!

I am very concerned about involving new coaches in this wonderful activity. Likewise, the council must be proactive in retaining the great coaches we now have. We must do more for coach recruitment, retention and education!

I firmly believe and practice open communication. Each coach has the right to be heard in a courteous, professional manner. Since 1996, my work in the ombudsman’s room has given me the opportunity and insight to put that theory into practice. I practice open-communication, informed decision-making and due process at all levels. Our membership must have better opportunities to be informed and be involved in the council’s decision-making process.

I ask for your continued support and promise to represent you and your students in the highest professional standards possible. Please allow me to continue to work hard and tirelessly for NFL, for you and most importantly, your students!
Pamela McComas

As a five-diamond coach of both debate and speech, I have been coaching since 1978. I teach at an inner city high school of 2100 students at Topeka High. I currently serve as district chair of the Flint Hills NFL District for eleven terms. At the National Tournament, I actively serve as a Tournament Official. For the past twenty-seven years, I have coached over 170 students to nationals with five national champions. Other outstanding accomplishments include earning the Leading Chapter Award three times, Sweepstakes Trophy award five times, Distinguished Service Key (1985) and Distinguished Service Plaque (1987, 1992, and 1995). My peers have recognized me as an outstanding coach by receiving the District Chair Bronze Award in 1993, the District Chair Gold Award in 1994, 1998, and 2000.

For the past two years, you elected me to serve as the Alternate to the Council. During this time, the NFL Executive Council undertook some huge projects—establishing a current mission statement, launching an Alumni initiative, hosting a national district chair conference, developing and implementing short and long-term goals for the league, as well as, for the Councilors. Having been an active participant in these projects, I would like to continue to have the opportunity to serve all coaches in completing what has been begun. I know what coaches are concerned about limited budgets, inner-city schools, fighting to keep their programs afloat, etc. I am committed to listening to all concerns from all coaches. That is how change can happen!

I am excited and ecstatic with how progressive the NFL is becoming in being proactive to coaches’ concerns and issues. I want to continue in the ability to be responsive and proactive. I look forward to serving you in the future.

Harold C. Keller

Even though I am a retired classroom teacher with 39 years of teaching and related NFL learning experience, many have asked that I again place my name in nomination for a position on the NFL Council. There is a philosophical part of me that believes these positions ought to be held by active classroom NFL Coaches, but many have suggested that I, Harold Keller, can bring a voice of experience, of integrity, and of reason and tradition to the table of deliberations. They have also suggested that since I have no personal agenda, only seeking that which is in the best interest of the League, I can be even more objective in my decisions. I appreciate their voices of confidence and encouragement. Consequently, I leave the decision to you.

I have encouraged other Coaches to seek a Council position. You have several superior candidates to choose from. My credentials are typical, including having coached students to the National Tournament, having served as a District Chair for many years, and having served on the National Council. My peers elected me to the NFL Hall of Fame and my many students earned me the Fifth Diamond. I have been honored and recognized with other honors presented in our organization. Many refer to me as "Mr. Congress," an honorary title given to me for my role in the development of and the advancement of Student Congress.

As more time has become available in my retirement, I have been able to visit many states and Districts. I have had the opportunity to advance forensics in several foreign countries. I am appreciative of the opportunities offered for "giving back" to the forensic community and I would hope that as long as the good Lord and the NFL constituents will it, I might be allowed to continue to make a contribution. My ultimate goal for the League is to help make it a most valuable educational organization for students and teachers.

All candidates offer unique qualifications. My record is documented in the NFL Council minutes and in the contributions I have offered. My motto of hopefully being important in the life of a child and "Student's first" is foremost in my code of conduct.

If you feel that my experience has a significant value and a place on the Council, I would appreciate one of your several votes.
## OUR GUIDING PRINCIPLES

VB staff and students ought to be:

- Fundamentally sound and strategically brilliant
- Rhetorically persuasive and adaptable
- Humble and teachable
- Respected and contributing member of the community
- Believers in providing access to and empower those who wish to compete at any level

## The Victory Briefs Daily

**NEW:** www.victorybriefsdaily.com

The Victory Briefs Daily is our community’s most reliable and vibrant source of news, information, results, photos and discussions relevant to issues that face debate competition today. With special features, including a coaches column, a theory column and interviews, VBD has become the must go debate stop for debaters, parents and coaches.

We are always looking to expand our offerings to include results for all forensics activities. For months people have been clamoring for inclusion beyond LD. If you are interested, please email Mike Bietz at bietz@victorybriefs.com.

## Saturday6AM

**NEW:** www.Saturday6AM.com

Saturday6AM is the latest in the Victory Briefs Network of websites. The site, named to honor the dedication of all the forensics coaches who forego sleeping in on the weekend to bring their students to tournaments, provides free resources for coaches, teachers, administrators and parents.

We are looking for people to contribute on a wide-variety of issues. We have some funds to provide a stipend for regular contributors. We need people from with a wide variety of approaches and experiences. Email bietz@victorybriefs.com.

Sponsored by the Victory Briefs Coaches Institute!

## The Victory Briefs DVD Series

The Victory Briefs DVD series started three years ago when a number of coaches and parents asked us for more LD teaching tools. Our series features debaters who have been successful on the local, state and national level. Each DVD features a full round on one of the NFL resolutions. This year we are also happy to offer an entire set of all 10 demonstration debates (from the list of 10 potential NFL resolutions for 2006) in a two DVD package. Purchasing this makes a great classroom tool as well as a way to teach skills on many different topic areas.

## The Victory Briefs Handbook Series

The Victory Briefs handbook has always been our most popular product. Keeping in mind that it is more important for students to understand resolutions as opposed to simply finding cards, the Victory Briefs handbooks are organized in a way that give more topic overviews than any other brief-book available. Additionally, we have a wide-range of general knowledge debate and extemp books for you. If you are a teacher, student or parent just trying to learn about the activity, these are great guides for the beginning of each resolution or a debate career.

Victory Briefs is committed to providing the activity with affordable and outstanding products and services without compromise. For over a decade Victory Briefs has been the trusted source for handbooks and debate instructional material for a number of the country’s top NFL schools. For more information about any of our offerings, please visit: [www.victorybriefs.com](http://www.victorybriefs.com)

2811 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 580 | Santa Monica, CA 90403 | 310.453.1681 | info@victorybriefs.com
The Victory Briefs Institute 2006
on the campus of the University of California, Los Angeles
vbi@ucla: july 2-15, 2006     session ii: august 7-20, 2006
***just announced: COACHES INSTITUTE: august 7-13, 2006***
www.victorybriefs institute.com

The Victory Briefs Institute at UCLA began in 2001. Since then, the institute has
grown in both popularity and experience. We were built on the vision of dedicated
debate educators desiring to bring fresh ideas and an innovative curriculum. Today,
with the addition of a number of the top debate teachers in
the country, over 700 students have been through our
program. What is more, over 200 students have returned to VBI for a second, third, or even fourth
time.

With programs specifically designed for both top-
caliber debaters, first-time novices, and everything in
between, we have become the trusted institute for
a number of the top NFL programs in the country –
many of whom sent more than 10 students to
VBI@UCLA & Session II.

We believe our success lies in our staff of more than
60 instructors, each bringing unique perspectives and
teaching styles to give our institute, without compare, the most diverse staff available next summer. This
diversity means that we can give students the tools
necessary to win in front of any judge at any
tournament on any resolution.

Some institutes do a fine job teaching students to win in front of national circuit judges
exclusively. VBI has built its reputation on believing that the art of successful debating
requires the ability to adapt to judges of all experiences and preferences. This belief has
paid-off for our alumni. Since 2002, VBI alumni have won not only nearly every major
national circuit Invitational, but also:

- The TOC in 2003, 2004 & 2005
- The NFL National Tournament in 2004 and 2005
- The NCFL Tournament in 2004 & 2005
- Over 20 state tournament finalists
- Dozens upon dozens of local and regional tournaments

Perhaps, though, we are most proud of our alumni who are now clearing or achieving better records than they had in previous
years. We take great pride in the improvement of our entire student body, not simply the excellence of a few who were already
successful.

Congratulations to our 2005 VBI Alumni!
So far this year (as of 1/25/2006), VBI Alumni have won the following tournaments:

- Alief Elsik (TX), Grapevine
  Invitational (TX), Greenhill
  Classic (TX), Wake Forest
  Earlybird (NC), The Meadows
  Invitational (NV), The Mid
  America Cup (IA), The Vassar
  Round Robin (NY), Cal-State
  St. Mark’s Heart of Texas
  Invitational (TX), Long Beach
  (CA), Liberty Bell @ UPenn
  (PA), Cal-State Northridge
  (CA), Hockaday (TX), Houston
  Memorial (TX), Big Bronx (NY),
  Bronx Round Robin (NY), Blake
  (MN), The Skyway Round
  Robin (MN), USC (CA),
  Lexington (MA), The Crestian
  Classic (FL), Churchill (TX)

Tentative Staff for Summer 2006:
Vikrum Alyer, Josh Anderson, Stephen Babb, Aracelis Biel, Mike Bietz, Tim Case, Chris Castillo, Tommy Clancy, Neil
Conrad, Wesley Craven, Jon Cruz, Nathan Foell, Andrew Garvin, Petey Gil, Leah Halvorson, Ryan Hamilton, Justin
Hinojoza, Jessica Huynh, Hirsh Jain, Victor Jih, Nermim Kamel, Ryan Lawrence, David Lebowitz, Amanda Liverzani,
Michelin Massey, Larry McGrath, Adam Nelson, Adwait Parker, Daniel Sheehan, Joey Seiler, Max Stevens, Min Zhang
Framing the Debate

Creating a More Appealing Possibility

By Dixie Waldo

As participants in the debate community, coaches, judges, and competitors experience shifts in trends that may lead to innovations in style and sophistication of argument, but that also give rise to gamesmanship and deception in rounds. A primary concern of this coach and debate critic centers on the apparent movement in debate away from arguing the specifics of the resolution to instead attempting to find an argument to which one’s opponent will be unable to respond. This results in a win on the technical grounds that one’s opponent did not adequately respond to outlandish arguments, rather than a win on the merits of a particular side of the resolution. Frustration results when a debate ballot must be decided based on the debaters’ discussion of tangential arguments on the flow rather than on arguments that seem more relevant to the resolution.

Of late in LD rounds, the focus of argumentation has moved from the actual resolution to what has been deemed “The Framework.” This term seems to mean something between a filter through which one should hear the arguments and an effort to debate the issues at a higher level through the use of a particular perspective, such as Rawlsian justice or Lockean social contract. More recently these theoretical perspectives are those of avant-garde philosophers such as Zizek and other favorites. Such “framework debates” seem to distance the debate from the resolution into a theoretical discussion of differing philosophical perspectives, an idea which has merit in a different forum; however, it seems that the debaters advocating these frameworks rarely have a complete understanding of the theory and are merely using the framework as a strategy to either drag their opponent off course (the dreaded “time-suck”) or to intimidate their opponent who may not be as well-read (or well-cared).

Theory and philosophy inherently inform our perspectives on issues, and a debate which exposes these implicit ideas is warranted. However, when the activity of debate becomes more about the manipulation of technical skills (such as rate of delivery, uniqueness of arguments, and complexity of thought) as opposed to a frank discussion of the resolution, it seems that debate is no longer as much a conversation as it is a game. Coaches are left to decide whether to teach students to stick to the fundamentals or to play this new game. Debates between competitors from each camp are not pleasant to watch, nor does it seem these debates are satisfying for either debater.

Debate is about more than winning rounds. And so, I propose that debaters take a step back and join me in a radical notion from the world of literary performance. In forensic performance events (dramatic, humorous, duo, etc.), “framing” a performance sets the boundaries through which the audience will perceive the performance. The performer and the character(s) whom s/he embodies are seen through this frame, which is communicated through the teaser, the introduction, the attitude and posture of the performer, and the skill with which the world of the text is created for the audience. The rhetorical value of the performance is as important as the textual source from which it is created. And performers must suspend their judgment of the characters in the text in order to sell their performances. In debate rounds, debaters also function as performers, advocating given sides of the resolution regardless of their personal views.

Skilled critics in the performance world can evaluate and compare performances regardless of their personal views on the material being performed. Frequently, performance competitors receive comments concerning whether or not they as actors meet the demands of the literature. Critics in debate, however, may critique the performance aspects of debate through speaker points while evaluating arguments independently of their presentation. However, students rarely value the high-point-loss in debate, and the low-point-win results in frustration if speaker points are crucial to breaking into elimination rounds.

And this is why the framework debate is important. The seamless blend of rhetorical appeal with substantive argument is what debaters ought to pursue. There are some arguments that for some critics are more appealing than others because they just “make sense.” Arguments that don’t make sense to some critics make sense to others. The interesting thing is that reading someone’s paradigm on the NDCN website or attempting to discern the matrix of argument preference in a paradigm book will rarely help debaters determine which arguments will make sense to which critics. As a result, debaters resort to relying on stereotypes when encountering critics: lay judges, college judges, coach judges. Further, judges on the local and national circuits become preferred not due to quality, but rather due to predictability or familiarity. Judges willing to listen to the spread are preferred by speed demons. Traditionalists will prefer judges who want to hear the value-standard debate. This negatively impacts the activity by discouraging students from pursuing the essential skills necessary for persuasion, as students are drawn into the politics and status that have overtaken the national circuit, as opposed (continued to page 34)
For 16 years, SNFI's students have outperformed their competition and set the gold standard in speech and debate.

The selection of a summer debate workshop is an important and often difficult decision for parents, coaches and students. Over the last few years, new institutes have arisen from coast to coast, and it is more important than ever to carefully evaluate your alternatives.

SNFI is unique among many. Built upon a long history of education and competitive success, SNFI teaches students to excel in forensics by thinking critically and arguing persuasively under the steady hands of our renowned, experienced instructors. You are encouraged to join this tradition.

SNFI relies upon 3 core pillars that have proven successful year after year:

- A precision-guided academic curriculum led by seasoned experts.
  - SNFI's one-of-a-kind program emphasizes learning, practice and execution to teach students how to debate, not merely about debate
  - SNFI's flagship instructional tool is a program of 10 guaranteed, expertly critiqued practice debates that offers students real-time feedback and one-on-one interaction with the entire world-class SNFI faculty
  - SNFI offers a unique Historical Colloquium lecture series that treats the key philosophers and their work in the appropriate historical contexts to consider the story of philosophy and, more importantly, why it matters for LD

- The most experienced and successful faculty in the activity. Period.
  - While other camps advertise the "celebrity status" of their instructors, at SNFI we know that there is a difference between being a good debater in high school and being a good teacher at camp
  - That's why SNFI has developed the unique Regents Program to ensure that lab leaders are not only former champions and standout coaches, but are also trained professionals
  - SNFI's administration is led by Dr. Michael Major, a 20 year LD veteran, directing a team that includes the champions of the MBA Round Robin, The Berkley Forum, St. Marks, The National Tournament of Champions, NFL Nationals, and countless other state and national competitions
  - SNFI's nationally recognized staff includes many of the most successful instructors on the planet, like Cherian Koshi (Apple Valley), Seth Halvorson (Columbia), Dan Meyers (Meadows), Allison Pickett (UNC), Jason Fernandez (MBA), Colin Goodson (Apple Valley), and former competitors who know the ins and outs of the modern debate landscape, including Kelsey Olson (Loyola), Josh Fulwiler (Tulane), Ranjeet Sidhu (UCLA), Bryan Cory (UT Austin), Lamy McGrath (Gal), and Petey Gil (U of Chicago)
  - With a student/faculty ratio of about 6:1, SNFI ensures that students receive considerable faculty attention

- An educational and above all fun summer at Stanford, one of the world's top universities

SNFI. The way debate camp ought to be.

LD/IE 2-Week Session: July 29 - August 11
LD Third Week Session: August 11 - August 18
For more information, please visit us on the web @ www.snfild.org
Discover Midwest!

Affordable Tuition
Experienced Faculty
History of Success
Commuters Welcomed
Individualized Attention
Tournament Competition
Convenient Location
Exclusive Evidence CD
Limited Enrollment
Air-conditioned Facilities

When: Policy Debate: July 10 - 21, 2006
Lincoln-Douglas: July 17 - 21, 2006
Public Forum Debate: July 10 - 14, 2006

Where: Park University, Kansas City, Mo.

www.midwestdebate.us
In the Heart of the Nation
Experience Success!

Public Forum Debate - July 10 - 14
Learn what wins at Nats from the coaches who win at Nats!
Topic approach & selection
Research and case writing
Real-world communication
Practice debates
Tournament competition
Faculty / Lab Leaders include:
David Watkins - Neosho HS - 1st Pl. 2005 NFL Nats
Linda Box - Horton-Watkins HS - 2nd Pl. 2005 NFL Nats
Nancy Wedgeworth - Parkview HS - 1st Pl. 2004 NFL Nats
Randy Pierce - Pattonville HS - 3rd Pl. 2004 NFL Nats

Policy Debate - July 10 - 21
Learn the art of debate & skills to communicate!
Only camp-generated research!
Lab-generated cases
Variety of topic lectures
Practice debates
Tournament competition

Lincoln-Douglas Debate - July 17 - 21
Learn to evaluate principles of conduct in the real world!
Strategies of value debate theory
Specific Philosophers / Philosophies
Research and case writing
Practice debates
Tournament competition

The MDI Difference:
• Only professionally trained teachers
• All assignments reviewed by lab leaders
• Student mastery required for camp evidence
• All research student - generated
• No outside sale of student materials

Questions? Visit us on line for:
Scholarships Complete Faculty
Application Process Maps / Directions
Fees / Deposits Partner / Team Discounts
Sample Schedules Park University tour

www.midwestdebate.us
At the Center of Debate
to debating in an effort to understand the merits of a given position and to persuade a reasonable audience to a given point of view. It seems to me that students ought to advocate the resolution in a way that reflects their own viewpoints, and that is grounded in sound logic. Debate judges would prefer to vote for a particular side of the resolution because the arguments make sense, not because they were confused by the debate but don’t want to appear ignorant or inept.

Given that the most recent LD topics have been about US politics, it is only natural that the arguments of late have focused on whether or not the affirmative or negative positions have any real-world impacts or any potential to solve the problems of the status quo. In debates concerning US immigration policy and judicial activism, the framework debates that I have heard rely on obscure philosophical theorists or classic political traditions regarding the role of government and its institutions with regard to rights protection. Framing the arguments around the essential conceptions of “who deserves the protection of government” is an excellent way to derive arguments to support a particular side; the use of obscure, difficult to understand, or overly complex theories is where debaters err. I am not saying that all LD debates should revolve around social contract theory or the philosophical underpinnings of the traditional philosophers. But, I don’t believe that it is reasonable for someone to explain Nietzsche in 15 seconds and convince me that because nihilism is alive and well, there is a link to denying immigrants rights. This is a ridiculous example, but it illustrates the problem debaters can create for themselves by making outlandish arguments that don’t seem to make sense. They may confuse their opponent and the judge in the process.

My new advocacy for the framework debate is the byproduct of my annoyance with the obscure, the overly complex, and the flavor-of-the-month-philosopher combined with extensive reading of George Lakoff’s works on metaphorical understanding and a background in the rhetoric of performance. It seems to me that in order to frame a debate, you need to understand how people “see.” Metaphor is the key to understanding. Lakoff argues that we have clear metaphors by which we live, and that our notions of the world are built on two clearly understood family metaphors: the Strict Father model and the Nurturant Parent model. In his book Moral Politics: How Liberals and Conservatives Think, Lakoff reveals the most deep-seated differences between progressive and conservative thought as they extend from these metaphors.

Since these metaphors implicitly form our views of politics, and are grounded in notions of what is moral or immoral, debaters wishing to successfully frame a debate need to articulate positions expressly in these terms. Judges do not separate themselves from their politics or their real-world experiences as they listen to argumentation. Rather, judges listen to see whether or not the argument makes sense, which in turn is rooted in metaphors. For example, in Moral Politics, Lakoff discusses how liberals conceptualize governmental regulation as the protection of those who cannot protect themselves, while conservatives see governmental regulation as interference with the pursuit of self-interest by people trying to make a living. Depending on the judge’s moral viewpoint, then, one of these arguments will be more appealing than the other in the course of the debate.

The interesting twist is that, according to Lakoff, most individuals understand and appreciate both the nurturant parent and the strict father, and these two metaphors will compete for primacy, depending on the issue and the way in which the debate is framed. So the debater’s job is to articulate the argument in such a way that it makes sense to the judge, in spite of her politics.

I believe that creating arguments rooted in metaphorical thinking enables students to consider their own points of view on issues. Part of debate is developing your own moral compass so that you can challenge your own ideas and morals and come away with a more solid understanding of why you believe what you believe. Novices who balk at topic positions because their basic beliefs are challenged are experiencing this at an intuitive level. Novices must still be taught to step away from their personal responses in order to argue both sides of a resolution; however, more mature debaters may wish to consider their own metaphorical conceptions of the world and government as they decide which arguments to make with regard to resolutions. By framing different arguments with different compelling metaphors, debaters may experience less cognitive dissonance (that disquieting sense of schizophrenia) as they debate each side of a resolution.

Which brings me to the application of the tools of metaphor and morality in the debate. Let’s consider the January-February 2006 topic: Resolved: The use of the state’s power of eminent domain to promote private enterprise is unjust. No doubt our conceptions of justice are rooted in the notion of what is fair. But fair to whom? I am sure that conceptions from Nozick and Rawls, as well as the opinions of Scalia and Ginsberg, are already written into current cases on the topic. But the deeper question is, why are we drawn to the logic of Nozick or Rawls, Scalia or Ginsburg? Why does the central notion of economic fairness mean for some that the government should do what is necessary to strengthen the economy, while for others it means that the government should protect the interests of all citizens regardless of economic status? If one believes that poverty is a blight that can be eradicated, the issues related to poverty eradication become centered on whether the individuals living in poverty are responsible for their own circumstances, and whether the solutions offered will solve for the cycle of poverty, or solve the blight by moving those individuals elsewhere and, in effect, conceal the issue. The topic is difficult because traditionally liberal viewpoints become seemingly conservative on this topic. The answer lies in going to the deeper level concerning individual rights versus state power, and in the notions of what would be best for society as opposed to individual property holders.

Framing the debate on the state’s power of eminent domain is ultimately rooted in one’s conception of the role of government in the market, and in the no-
Public Forum Debate is still a young event, and only a handful of summer institutes offer students an opportunity to explore it. The Show Me Forensics Institute was proud to be one of the first, beginning in 2004. Now in its third year, SMFI is continuing to break new ground in teaching by offering training that prepares students for an evolving event while still respecting the philosophy that gave birth to it.

At SMFI, our two-week Public Forum Debate Workshop anticipates change rather than reacts to it. Students learn to anticipate arguments on a range of possible topic areas through a current-events-grounded format. Students practice debating and researching common topics of national importance while studying fundamental rules of argumentation and effective presentation. Careful attention is given to helping students understand the evolutionary nature of a new event and teaching debaters to react to the unexpected.

The Public Forum Debate Workshop is directed by Jacob Stutzman, who has been with SMFI since its inception. Stutzman, a Ph.D. student at the University of Kansas, was the 2000 NPDA (College Parliamentary Debate) National Champion and coached national champions at Truman State University in 2004. He, along with Truman’s Director of Forensics, Dr. Kevin Minch, bring over twenty years of combined experience in public argumentation to the program.

Not sure two weeks is right for you? Then consider the one-week Debate Kick-Starter designed specifically for novice debaters—regardless of debate format—who are interested in developing better fundamental skills.

For more information and registration visit: http://forensics.truman.edu/SMFI
660.785.5677 or kminch@truman.edu
Debate

If you frame the debate in terms of the "nurturing parent," it becomes a responsibility to solve the problem of poverty through the use of eminent domain as a tool to promote private enterprise in mixed-use development. The government, then, has a responsibility to regulate the private enterprises so that the individuals displaced, and not just the private enterprises, benefit from the taking of their property. And the creation of private enterprises to increase the tax base will generate revenue for the government to meet the needs of its citizens, provide more and better services, and improve the economic well-being for all. However, the use of eminent domain displaces the socio-economically disadvantaged who may be unable to remain in the redeveloped area due to a lack of resources. If those individuals are priced out of the market, it defeats the claim that the government is using its authority to provide benefits for all citizens, including the poor.

If you frame the debate in terms of the "strict father" mentality, it becomes an abuse of governmental power to take an individual's property for redistribution to a private enterprise. Redistribution of wealth is a violation of the conservative viewpoint that the taking of property is a financial harm, and therefore, punishment. However, the same conservatism supports the notion that if model citizens were working hard enough, they wouldn't be in poverty, and if government is a business, it shouldn't be taking a loss. Therefore, it is a justifiable governmental action to appropriate property for development in an effort to turn a profit. The challenge for Lincoln-Douglas debaters is that the topic explicitly forces the debate into the moral realm by requiring a discussion not of what is more or less profitable, but of what is more or less just. Justice is not void of morality.

Therefore, developing an "overview" that "burdens" your opponent may be a way to drag your opponent into a debate over irrelevant issues; however, it skirts the true purpose of any debate—to discuss the relevant issues. Rather than an effort to confuse an opponent (and probably the judge) with a philosophical diatribe about some arcane theoretical position that is impossible to understand in literally a minute of explication, an honest attempt to address the philosophical underpinnings that lead to pragmatic decisions will make debates more interesting, and ultimately might generate an agreement about what is or is not just in the exercise of state power.

Regardless of the topic, framing debate is about more than setting a trap for the opposition. It is about understanding how the opposition sees the world and either working within that conception to point out the flaws, or reframing to create a more appealing possibility. If you believe that debate is about education and facilitating learning, you operate from a nurturing parent perspective. If you believe that debate is about winning at all costs and rewarding students who do so, the strict father model informs your perspective. Your rejection or acceptance of my arguments is completely grounded in the way you see the world of debate. However, in the final analysis, rounds come down to what makes sense to the listeners. And our thinking is rooted in our metaphorical understanding of debate, culture, education, politics, government, and everything else in our world.

(Dixie Waldo coaches forensics at John H. Guyer High School in Denton, Texas. President of the Texas Forensic Association, Waldo holds a Master's Degree in Communication Studies, Radio/TV/Film, and Political Science from the University of North Texas. She also teaches AP Psychology, Government, Economics, and Theatre.)

Works Consulted

COME LEARN IN THE NATION’S CAPITAL!

Coaches’ Clinic Directed by Debbie Simon with Tony Figuolol and Tom Durkin

Student Individual Events (NFL, CFL)
- Extemp - will have the chance to attend:
  - The Capitol and the Senate
  - Guest Lectures by Frank Sesno and Chuck Todd
- Oratory - will have the chance to attend:
  - National Monuments
  - Guest Lectures by various scholars
- Interp - will have the chance to attend:
  - Live performances at the Kennedy Center
  - Workshop in Movement/Rhythm/Sound by 2 Poetry Slam National Champions

George Mason Forensics
With Dr. Peter Pober
July 20-August 3, 2006
Extended Session Aug. 3-6, 2006
Coaches’ Clinic Aug. 2-6, 2006

- Debbie Simon, Milton Academy
- Tony Figuolol, Holy Ghost Prep
- Brandon Casey, Evansville Reitz
- Casey Garcia, George Mason
- Stacy Endman, Ben Davis H.S.
- Meg Howell, Albuquerque Academy
- Jimmy Ficaro, George Mason
- Michael Chen, George Mason
- Ashley Mack, Arizona State
- Paul Davis, Arizona State
- Chris Koth, George Mason
- Rosyn Crowder Wintener
- Paige McLemore, Hastings
- Kris Barnett, Star Charter
- Josh Berrier, George Mason
- B.A. Gregg, Randolph-Macon
- Jeff Moscatiolo, Matt Friedman,
  Duval Bodden, Elliott Kashner and the
  GMU Forensics Team
  ...And numerous others.
2006 International Summer Speech and Debate Institute

LOCATION:
The institute will be held at the United World College of the Adriatic campus, which is located on cliffs overlooking the beautiful Adriatic, next door to the historic Duino Castle (home to a still-living Prince, and a favorite haunt of the poet Rilke). In addition to the formal sessions, the campus offers opportunities for swimming, hiking, and other outdoor activities. Site seeing excursions to nearby cities such as Venice and Trieste will also be offered. Northern Italy is safe, sunny, and beautiful, and ideal for a uniquely educational experience.

DATE: June 30 – July 14, 2006

Lincoln-Douglas Debate & Speech Track
The L-D workshop will be for students wishing to work on 2006-2007 NFL debate topics. The Speech workshop will offer instruction in Humorous and Dramatic interpretation, Original Oratory, and Extemporaneous Speaking (including in-depth topic analysis). Students can cross-register in speech and debate. Students in the LD track also attend morning lectures which give a historical introduction to the philosophical topics of debate, and which place the activity of debate in a meaningful historic context.

Parliamentary Debate Intensive Workshop
Designed and led by Sharon Porter, former Dean of the School of Communication and the Director of Forensics at Northern Arizona State University, and former President of the National Parliamentary Debate Association, the Parliamentary Debate track aims to provide intensive instruction to both beginning debaters and those experienced in the Parliamentary format. Students at Duino acquire extensive parliamentary skills in an intimate setting. Parliamentary may also be taken as an elective.

PRICE: $1,500 USD
Institute Director: Eric Di Michele:
Tel: (212) 288-1100, ext. 101 - Email: edimiche@regis-nyc.org

Travel to and from Italy is not included. IDEA will be arranging a group travel discount for students departing from and returning to JFK International Airport in New York City.

What Makes Our Institute Unique:
Our camp provides the opportunity for intensive debate and speech preparation with the caring guidance of nationally recognized veteran coaches within an international community of students. Past participants included students from the United States as well as Uzbekistan, Macedonia, Slovenia, Azerbaijan, Estonia, Albania, Croatia, Romania, Slovakia, Lithuania and the Czech Republic.
Duino, Italy

STAFF:

Eric Di Michele (Program Director) - has been the speech and debate coach at Regis High School in New York City for twenty-three years. His teams have won the New York State Forensic Championship fifteen times. He has coached NFL national champions in Lincoln-Douglas Debate and International Extemporaneous Speaking. Eight of his students have been national finalists in Extemp; his Public Forum teams have 'closed out' the Princeton and Lexington Invitational Tournaments and finished 2nd at the Emory University tournament. Eric was co-chair of the NFL Lincoln-Douglas Debate Wording Committee for five years. As a consultant with the Open Society Institute, he has taught speech and debate seminars in over sixteen countries – from Egypt to Uzbekistan. A social studies teacher, he specializes in Middle Eastern Studies and Contemporary Social and Political Issues.

Sharon Porter served as Dean of the School of Communication and as the Director of Forensics Northern Arizona University, where she worked for over twenty years. Sharon ran a nationally competitive debate team that advanced to elimination rounds at national tournaments in policy, cross-examination, Lincoln-Douglas and Parliamentary debate. Sharon coached American Forensic Association-National Individual Events national champions in both platform speaking and interpretation events. Sharon has served as a member of the Board of Trustees and a member of the National Council of the National Individual Events Tournament, chair of the Council of Forensic Organizations, Vice President of the American Forensic Association, President of Delta Sigma Rho-Tau Kappa Alpha and President of the National Parliamentary Debate Association; she has received numerous honors.

Lydia Esslinger, is a NFL 5-diamond coach at Syosset High School on Long Island (NY), and has coached over twenty-five New York State champions. Her students have advanced to semis and finals in every event at CFL nationals and have won many prestigious invitational tournaments. NFL achievements include semifinalists and finalists in every speech event at nationals, a 1st place in Congress and Dramatic Interpretation. Mrs. Esslinger is a veteran of summer institutes at University of Kentucky and the NFL at American University. Lydia has been a long-time IDEA teacher.

Noel Selegzi (Guest Lecturer) has coached debate at Hunter College High School in New York City for fifteen years. His teams have won numerous tournament championships. In addition, he is the Executive Director of the International Debate Education Association. A student of social and political philosophy, he specializes in the history of political thought.

Marcin Zaleski obtained his International Baccalaureate at the United World College in Duino, Italy. In 1995 he became the coordinator of the Polish debate program, and also wrote a book about debate. As a consultant for the Open Society Institute, he conducted trainings throughout Central and Eastern Europe. In 1999 Marcin was elected the President of the Board of Directors of the International Debate Education Association, and continues to work as a debate trainer, curriculum developer and a fundraiser for the program. Marcin speaks Polish, English, Italian and Russian.

For further information contact:
Eric Di Michele (212) 288-1100, ext. 101, edimiche@regis-nyc.org
Nina Watkins, IDEA (212) 548-0185, nwatkins@idebate.org

Additional Staff will be added in the spring and will be posted on our website: www.idebate.org
Willamette University
Mock Trial
Summer Institute

Developing Next Year’s Champions

Willamette University
Salem, Oregon USA /
July 9-23, 2006

Learn to excel in Mock Trial from the best!

Contact:
Robert Trapp, Director of Forensics, Willamette University
(trapp@willamette.edu)

Aaron Fishbone
(afishbone@gmail.com)

.... More details soon!

Beginner - Advanced - Residential - Commuter - Scholarships
NSD Summer Institute
June 26-July 10, 2006
NEW 3rd week option: July 10-July 17, 2006
Grinnell College, Iowa
Cost: $1,750 for 2 weeks, $2,625 for 3 weeks

4:1 student to teacher ratio
Students all have their own computer; free printing
We have added one extra day to the two-week program at no additional cost
Our students so far have won these TOC tournaments: College Prep, Dowling Catholic, Ohio Valley, Glenbrooks, Homewood, Apple Valley, Blue Key, Iowa Caucus, Greenhill Round Robin and many more local and regional competitions.
NCFL National LD Champion Coach on staff
NFL National LD Champion Coach on staff

NSD
National Symposium for Debate

Learn from the best—and become a champion!

NSD Summer Institute

www.nsdebate.com
nationalsymposium@gmail.com

Dedicated exclusively to Lincoln-Douglas Debate
Aiming to enhance students' educational experiences
SUMMER FORENSICS INSTITUTE

The only way to stand out from the crowd...

is to be a part of it.

WHY CHOOSE BRADLEY?

- Bradley’s summer camp creates winners. Take a look at last year’s numbers:
  95% of campers were breaking during the regular season
  65% were in regional finals
  45% competed in their state tournament(s)
  20% were in national outrounds

- Bradley’s forensics team is the most successful team in the nation’s history. Since 1980, Bradley’s team has
  won over 40 national team championships at the National Forensics Association, American Forensics
  Association, and Interstate Oratorical Association national tournaments. In its almost 30-year history, Bradley
  speech team members have won over 115 individual national championships.

- We focus on process over product. While most camps send students home with a single polished
  product, we send students home with a process they can use to make all their products polished.

- Compare our price. We are eminently affordable, and there are NO hidden charges or add-ons.

- Our coaches travel, judge, and coach on a national circuit. They know what other judges are looking for
  and can help you create it!

--- Want more info? ---

Lee Ann Kriegshauser: Continuing Education
(309) 677-2377; leeann@bradley.edu

Dan Smith: Institute Director
(309) 677-2439; dan@bradley.edu

Or visit www.bradley.edu/continue
National Debate Forum

YOUR TOP CHOICE
IN SUMMER LD INSTITUTES!

- Top Instructors from Across the Nation
- Affordable Tuition
- Individual Attention
- Superior Research Facilities
- Streamlined Administrative Process
- Faculty to Student Ratio 1:5
- Practice Rounds
- A National Nominal
- Localized Practice for Each Student
- College ldb Championship Curriculum
- Personalized Standards of Certification

Office Hours: Meet ALL FACULTY
- A Decade of Champions, State Elimination Rounds, and State Championships
- 2004 Alumni Had Over 55 TOC Bids
- 2005 Alumni Have Over 50 TOC Bids
- A Debate Family Atmosphere

July 22nd-August 5th
Emerson College
Boston, Massachusetts

Faculty, Application and Program Information
www.nationaldebateforum.com
Show Me Forensics Institute
at Truman State University

SMFI
speech & debate

Public Forum Debate
July 9-23, 2006

Lincoln-Douglas Debate
July 9-23, 2006

Debate Kick- Starter Workshop
July 9-16, 2006

Individual Events
July 9-16, 2006
July 9-23, 2006 (extended option)

For more information and registration visit:
http://forensics.truman.edu/SMFI
660.785.5677 or kminch@truman.edu
The 2006-07 season doesn’t begin with the fall tournaments. Next season begins at Western Kentucky University. At WKU, we realize that becoming a great forensics competitor takes more than flash and style. It takes heart, substance and hard work to make national final rounds and master the activity. Held June 25-30, 2006, on the WKU campus in Bowling Green, Kentucky, the WKUSFI is an excellent choice, both for students who are only beginning their forensics careers and others who have already performed in national final rounds. At the WKUSFI, we take a hands-on approach to camp by combining structure with a relaxed, comfortable atmosphere that strikes a balance between educational and competitive interests while allowing students to learn at their own pace.

Costs for the camp are kept to a minimum: $300 for in-state students and $600 for out-of-state students. Our intensive, one-week program features some of the nation’s best college and high school forensics coaches along with members of the 2005 International Forensics Association World Champion team, 2005 National Forensic Association-LD championship team, and the 2004 American Forensics Association and National Forensics Association-IE national champion WKU Forensics Team.

The WKU Institute offers personalized, intensive study in the four forensics areas:
Debate: Lincoln-Douglas
Public Address: Original Oratory
Interp: Prose, Poetry, HI, DI, Duo and Solo Acting
Limited Prep: Exttemp and Impromptu

The deadline for application is June 1, 2006.
For more information, please contact:
Judy Woodring, Director of Forensics
judy.woodring@wkusu.edu
or phone (270) 745-6340.

http://www.wku.edu/forensics/sfi
Ready for NFL?

You can’t do it alone!
Give yourself some help!

Studies have shown:

• 30% of coaching is retained by seeing only
• 11% of coaching is retained by hearing only
• 50% of coaching is retained by seeing and hearing
• 70% of coaching is retained by seeing, hearing and doing
  -- but --
• 90% of coaching is retained by seeing, hearing, verbalizing and doing!

For 23 years Dale has delivered the winners of NFL National Tournaments into classrooms across the country. Use these videos as the basis of your coaching strategies!

Watch the winning contestants from the 2005 NFL National Tournament:

• Collect extemp intros
• Analyze oratory structures
• Discuss expository topics
• Study body language
• Understand “crossfire”
• Explore “value criteria”
• Watch commentary delivery
• Practice flowing

Dale offers the following events in VHS or DVD Formats:

• Policy Debate
• Public Forum Debate
• International Extemp
• Awards Ceremony
• Lincoln-Douglas Debate
• Original Oratory
• United States Extemp
• Public Speaking Supplementals

• A variety of price options available for a variety of budgets.
• Order online with your credit card today.
• Fax your Purchase Order today for immediate shipment.
• Mail your check today and we prepay the postage.
• Check our online sale DVDs! -- While supplies last!

www.dalepublishing.us

Only Dale delivers the winners!

Call 816-350-9277 or Fax 816-350-9377
Superior Faculty: Our instructors represent a cross-section of the finest collegiate and high school coaches. With multiple NDL, TOC, NFL, and state championships, our faculty is among the most accomplished that you'll find anywhere. Additions this year include Dr. Scott Harris of the University of Kansas and Jon Paul Lupo of Emory University.

Comprehensive Curriculum: The Spartan Debate Institute is known for its all-inclusive curriculum and personal skills growth. Students receive more high-quality evidence and practice debates than anywhere else. Tournaments conclude each session, and SDI students receive exclusive access to our Evidence CD.

Instruction Levels for All Students: The SDI is committed to providing instruction for students at all levels of the activity. Our drill groups range from pure novices all the way up to national circuit champions. Students are placed with faculty who match their teaching for the unique age and experience level of their group.

5th Week Strategy Forum: Entering its second summer, the SDI Strategy Forum is a one-of-a-kind experience in debate instruction. A select group of students will work with senior institute staff and MSU's NDT-winning coaches preparing specific negative strategies against the top cases from other institutes. Admission will be limited.

FOUR WEEK INSTITUTE: JULY 9 - AUGUST 4
FIFTH WEEK STRATEGY FORUM: AUGUST 4 - AUGUST 13
THREE WEEK INSTITUTE: JULY 9 - JULY 28
TWO WEEK INSTITUTE: JULY 9 - JULY 21

HTTP://DEBATE.MSU.EDU
MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY DEBATE - A TRADITION OF EXCELLENCE
The Experienced Seminar

This curriculum is designed for more competitive debaters desiring a more rigorous orientation. The "Experienced Seminar" program is modeled after teaching methods employed by our own college programs. Students who are accepted for the program will work as a team researching both sides of the topic, sharpening both their knowledge of the topic and debate in a cooperative and interactive seminar-style environment. Group seminars will be held regularly on recent advancements in critical theory, the philosophical underpinnings of the topic, and in-depth explorations of the public-policy slice of the resolution. With our well-balanced staff, we have one of the best policy curriculums in the country. Sherry Hall, Sarah Holbrook, David Breshears, and Jonah Feldman will lead the students to scour the topic for the most successful policy strategies.

**THE EXPERIENCED SEMINAR Lab One:**

David BRE Shears,
University of Texas, 3 time NDT first round recipient, Jesse Jones outstanding scholar
Sarah HOL BROOK,
2000 and 2001 CEDA National Champion, previously at the Stanford and Berkeley camps, the word "outstanding" does not do her enough justice
Jonah FELDMAN,
NDT Elimination Round debater from Michigan U, Former Harvard Debate Coach & now UT Debate Coach

**THE EXPERIENCED SEMINAR Lab Two:**

Sherry HALL,
Harvard Debate Coach, NDT Champion Coach, 2005 National Debate Coach of the Year
Brian McBRI DE,
University of Southern California, 3 time first round recipient, coach 2003, 2002, 1999 NDT champions
Jairus GROVE,
International Relations Fellow, Johns Hopkins University, NDT semifinalist, 2nd speaker 2000 NDT, former Director Chicago Debate Commission
Dan LUXENBERG,
Harvard University; NDT Semi-Finalist and has qualified to the NDT as one of the sixteen top ranked teams in the country TWICE; a former UTNIF alum.

The Marathon

We offer full on debates, with complete affirmatives and well-researched negative strategies. Imagine **five different affirmatives to choose from**, all of them researched by a staff of college debaters and coaches who have written some of the most successful arguments ever. Now imagine receiving all five affirmatives as you check in on day one. Students will receive introductory theory discussions to make sure everyone has a grasp of the basics. These theory discussions we call "Pods." Each student will receive practiceums on debating Topicality, Kritiks, Counters, and Counter-plans 1 and Counter-Plans 2. Once students have rotated through these initial four theoretical seminars they will have the ability to sign up for electives where they choose topics which best fit their needs and interests. After the first week, we'll have a tournament, then we'll break into research groups and you students will test out these new skills in the library producing their own arguments and filling holes, and then we'll end with a rematch. That's right, a **SECOND TOURNAMENT!** If you want to learn debate by debating the topic, this institute is for you.

The Super Session

SUPER SESSIONers get to experience the INTERSESSION where some of the most critically minded work occurs. We treat the Intersection like we treat our own college courses on debate. Students will be exposed to some of debate's more recent critical innovations, we'll have practice debates galore, refine our blocks, and even witness a lab leader grudge match or two. The INTERSESSION is a time to hear from the ENTIRETY of the institute staff about their own special knowledge about the topic and debate practices. For those who want the extended EXPERIENCE SEMINAR we offer the "EXPERIENCED SEMINAR SUMMER SURVIVORS FELLOWSHIP" which allows students to spend six weeks with the best, most advanced debate staff in the Nation.

The Debate Staff

Teddy ALBINIAK,
San Francisco State University via Redlands. NDT (National Debate Tournament) quarterfinalist
Paul FLAIG,
Northwestern University, NDT first round recipient, coaches at Bronx Science Academy, NY
Ricky GARNER,
Former NYU debater, 2003 CEDA National Champion, PhD student at SUNY Buffalo
Martin GLENDINNING,
Director Edmond North High School, NDT elimination round qualifier
Nate GORELICK,
Harvard via NYU, 2003 CEDA National Champion, PhD student at SUNY Buffalo
Claire MCKINNEY,
Director Brooklyn Urban Debate League, assistant coach for the Kinkaid School, Plan II honors
Laura NATHAN,
Editor-in-chief INTHETRAY magazine, NDT qualifier for UT, former coach of the Kinkaid School
Brian PETERSON,
University of Chicago, NDT qualifier, coaches for Galveston-Ball HS, Plan II honors
Joel ROLLINS,
PhD, Director University of Texas, 2001 National Debate Coach of the Year
The UTNF would like to welcome Harvard Debate Coach SHERRY HALL & announce the return of the University of West Georgia's SARA HOLBROOK!

**WHY SHOULD YOU SPEND YOUR SUMMER AT THE UTNF?**

* No other camp can offer you the balance between policy debate and critical innovations. JUST CHECK OUT OUR STAFF.

* 6,240 pages of top quality, PROVEN tournament-winning evidence.

* **Personal Training Vs. Long Lectures.** Unlike many camps you will not have to sleep through hours of lectures nor will you be left in the library to fend for yourself. As the FOCUS of your lab leaders and the entire staff of the UTNF, you will be immersed in small groups and practicum-based skills work.

* **We believe in testing your skills.** We will give you the opportunity to implement everything you learn through intense debates judged by highly qualified lab leaders.

* **When you come to the UTNF you will be part of defining the future of debate.** No cookie-cutter arguments here. You will master agent counterplans but you will win your first tournament on arguments developed here and NOWHERE else.

* **One of the Top Research Libraries in The Country.** There is not a topic which you can exhaust in one summer at the University of Texas Library System.

* **We have the MOST AFFORDABLE six-week program in the country!** Don’t take our word on it. Check it out for yourself.

* **The ability to take home ALL OF THE EVIDENCE** produced in BOTH sessions of the camp in a digital format that costs less than checking a tub.

**PLAN 1**

Marathon  
June 25th - July 13th  
Experienced Seminar  
June 25th - July 16th  
Novice  
June 28th - July 8th

**PLAN 2**

Marathon  
July 17th - August 8th  
Experienced Seminar  
July 13th - August 8th  
Novice  
July 21st - August 2nd  
**SUPERSESSION**  
June 25th - August 8th
Entering our 27th year, MUDI has a tradition of providing our students with excellent opportunities for both topic research and skill advancement. The competitive achievement of students who attend MUDI, along with the wide national draw of the institute, speaks to our ongoing success.

Through proven theory and skill development techniques, MUDI equips students with the tools they need to be successful at all levels of policy debate. Our research facilities are first rate, featuring a new state of the art library on campus. With top notch faculty, who bring both competitive and coaching success, the Marquette University Debate Institute offers one of the best values you will find among all summer debate institutes. In addition, all students receive the full MUDI evidence set regardless of the program they attend.

**Regent Program**
*July 22-August 5, 2006 - Only $1050*
Over two weeks students will receive a series of topic lectures, engage in intense research on the resolution, learn strategies, develop debate skills, and participate in a practice tournament at the end of the second week.

**Scholastic Policy Program**
*July 22-29, 2006 - Only $750*
The one-week version of our program. The same topic lectures and strategy development as the Regent program, without the skill work and practice tournament at the end of the second week.

**NEW! Novice Scholars Program**
*July 22-August 5, 2006 - Only $1050*
The Novice Scholars program offers a topical lecture series and evidence work designed to meet the needs of students going into their first or second years of debate. Students in this program will gain knowledge from topical lectures designed for the entire institute, from lectures specifically designed for beginning debaters, and from guided research on the year's topic. See website for more details.

*Commuter options available. See website for details.*

For more information go to: www.mudebateinstitute.com
University of Missouri Kansas City
Summer Debate Institute

Apply Online Today!
http://www.umkc.edu/debate/summerinstitute.htm

Features

Hundreds of pages of evidence before the Institute even begins!

DVD including all group lectures and evidence.

Diversity of Curriculum: Our team of instructors have experience in a variety of high school debate styles including Missouri/Kansas style and national circuit style.

Low student to instructor ratio: Our team taught labs guarantee that the student/teacher ratio will be 8:1 or better.

Focus on Performance: Because we provide pre-camp evidence, we focus on improving your delivery style and execution. By combining research and presentation exercises, you leave the camp ready to compete and win.

Cost

Residential
$875

Commuter
$475

Winning Tradition

Winning Commitment

New for 2006 Missouri - Kansas Scholars 2006

UMKC is teaming with Kansas University and Missouri State University to offer a new and exciting camp option. By creating a lab that has continuity between the camps, we have created a four and seven week option for a greatly reduced price compared to other long-term camps. A limited number of people will be admitted to the Scholars Lab. The students will have top lab leaders that will be able to focus on research and skills development.

Check out the website for more information:
http://umkc.edu/debate/summerinstitute-mokanscholars.htm

http://www.umkc.edu/debate/summerinstitute.htm
Debaters, are you looking for a challenging summer debate workshop, one with an awesome faculty and a very innovative curriculum – a place where you can truly reach your potential?

Coaches, are you tired of sending your students to summer workshop and having them come home worse or no better than before, with a nearly useless set of evidence?

If so, then you should be considering the Miami University Debate Institute – it’s the ideal summer experience for you and your students.

We’re located in one of America’s coolest mid-Western college towns - Oxford, Ohio. We are sponsored by one of the nation’s “Public Ivy” colleges, Miami University, and its Debate Team.

**THE MIAMI DEBATE INSTITUTE**

*Oxford, Ohio*

**Begun** in the summer of 2005, designed and directed by long-time debate coach and institute director, Steve Mancuso, the **Miami Institute offers you two highly-acclaimed debate programs.**

**The Red Hawk** is a 3-week workshop designed for debaters of all experience levels, ranging from top varsity to novice. The program features skills and topic analysis developed by individualized instruction. Admission is on a first-apply basis.

**The Miami Scholars** is a five-week institute for seniors, juniors and sophomores with a selective-admissions process. Priority will be given to early applicants. NEW, a new 5-week program for sophomores: **The Miami Sophomore Scholars.**

**Faculty.** The strength of our workshop is the talented, diverse and enthusiastic teaching staff. Our faculty has coached college and high school national champions and top speakers. They have taught dozens of TOC, NFL and NCFL national champions at past summer institutes. Our faculty members include TOC and NDT Top Speakers.

**So why choose Miami this summer?**

Sure, we offer you the outstanding faculty, the state-of-the-art instructional methods, with the enormous resources of Miami’s fully wireless campus, and a proven track record of success.

**Most importantly,** we integrate these academic virtues into an exceptional quality-of-life for you: comfortable air-conditioned dormitories and classrooms; an award-winning food service; convenient, large athletic fields; plus a very cooperative, humane learning environment.

Please visit our web site and blog – give serious thought about where you want to be this summer. Be a part of the **2006 Miami Debate Institute.**

**miamidebate.blogspot.com**
Miami institute participants are each provided a customized flash drive pre-loaded with topic analysis, primary sources and articles, a "How to Debate" textbook, theory articles, an index of the workshop electronic reserve, and more.

Each participant receives an easy-to-use pdf-formatted comprehensive evidence CD that compiles all the files from every lab group at the Miami Debate Institute, at no extra charge.

Ideas are shared across labs, as is the evidence production, emphasizing the strengths of each lab leader and reducing redundant and overlapping assignments. This creates a more educational, stress-free and productive environment. We want you to have a good time in Miami.

CURRICULUM AND FACULTY
Miami Debate Institute

The 2006 Miami Faculty

Alan Coverstone – Montgomery Bell Academy
Coached five teams to the 2005 TOC, including the First and Third Speakers. Emory Gold Key.

Dan Fitzmier – Augustana College, IL
Coached four NDT Champion teams. Was the TOC Top Speaker & CEDA National Champion.

Todd Lantz – University of Iowa
Rare 4-time qualifier for the NDT. Iowa State HS Champion, and 2-time TOC Qualifier.

Steve Mancuso – Miami University, OH
Coached 25 teams to NDT Elimination Rounds. NDT Top Speaker, Copeland Award.

Michael Risen – Montgomery Bell Academy
Coached two teams that closed out 2005 NFL Nationals. Qualified 5 teams to 2005 TOC.

Nicole Serrano – Lexington HS, MA
Coached late elim round teams at Greenhill, Glenbrooks, St. Marks. Debated at Dartmouth.

Sarah Spring – Wake Forest University, NC
3-time National Debate Tournament qualifier, two times reaching the elimination rounds.

Paul Stalt – George Mason University
Two-time NDT qualifier. ADA National Champion. Coached several teams to the NDT.

Tara Tate – Glenbrook South High School
Coached the 2005 NCFL National Champions. Coached GDS, Glenbrooks, MBA Champs.

Brett Wallace – George Washington University
TOC semi-finalist. Won Northwestern tournament. NDT octa-finalist as soph. Twice ADA Finalist.

2006 Miami Guest Lecturers

Sherry Hall – Harvard University
Coached numerous NDT Champions, finalists tournament winners, and Top Speakers.

Chris Lundberg – Northwestern University
Coached NDT and CEDA national champions at Northwestern and Emory.

Dallas Perkins – Harvard University
Coached numerous NDT Champions and Top Speakers, including 2005 NDT Top Speaker

miamidebate.blogspot.com

"The main thing that made Miami really good was the staff. They were always available and eager to answer my questions.

After lab I would spend hours with my lab leaders working on blocks and theory arguments."

– Lee Reed, IL
"Miami put together a fantastic institute. My experience working with the staff was better then I could have imagined. The lectures, mini-workshops and practice rounds make me feel very prepared to succeed. I look forward to returning to Miami next summer."
- Eli Jacobs, Centerville High School

Finalist – The Glenbrooks
First Place – Michigan Round Robin
Finalist – Greenhill Round Robin
First Place – Miami Round Robin

Graduates from the first-ever 2005 Miami Debate Institute have achieved tremendous competitive success. Centerville (OH) debaters Alec Wright and Eli Jacobs have reached the finals of The Glenbrooks tournament and the Greenhill Round Robin, and they won First Place at both the Miami and Michigan Round Robins.

Abe Corrigan of Glenbrook South (IL) reached the octa-finals of the Greenhill tournament, semi-finals of Georgetown Day and Michigan and won the New Trier tournament. Will Sears and Sam Caporal, of Lexington (MA), each reached the late elimination rounds at Greenhill, and Sam was fifth speaker and semi-finalist at The Glenbrooks.

Several other 2005 Miami grads from Ohio, Kansas, Georgia and Florida reached the elim rounds of the Wake Forest Early Bird, St. Marks, Greenhill and The Glenbrooks tournament.

UDL students may receive scholarships and discounts to attend the Miami Debate Institute. Please see our website and the NAUDL for complete information.

Contact: e-mail us at debate@muohiu.edu -- our ground mailing address is:

Steve Mancuso, Director
Miami Debate Institute
Bachelor Hall
Oxford, OH 45056

miamidebate.blogspot.com
NEW! From Economic Thinking: Five John Stossel ABC News Specials on public policy issues. This Economic Thinking offer for speech and debate teachers includes a two-DVD set of five John Stossel ABC News Specials, plus a free DVD player! (Shipping: $15.)

→ Web pages link Stossel DVDs to Extemp topics.
Visit www.EconomicThinking.org/speech to order.

In Is America #One?
John Stossel travels around the globe and compares the quality of life in the U.S. with the rest of the world. Using New York City, Hong Kong and Calcutta as examples, Stossel considers the reasons for the wide disparity in wealth. Valuable economic analysis for students in speech and debate (esp. Extemporaneous Speaking).

Fredloaders questions how some people and businesses in America get something for nothing. John Stossel takes a critical look at both corporate and personal dependency, and examines the consequences for the economy. When benefits are concentrated and costs disbursed, special interests lobby for transfers. Excellent background and overview for wide range of Extemp topics.

John Stossel openly questions Greed as a motivation. Are we all greedy? How much is enough? In search for answers, Stossel discovers that in order to get money from people, you generally have to provide value in return. He examines how the profit motive has spurred accomplishments. A provocative introduction to the role of self-interest in the economy.

Are We Scaring Ourselves to Death? reports both that we are exposed daily to dangerous-sounding chemicals and technologies. And that we now live longer than ever! John Stossel takes an honest look at many widely-reported dangers—including concerns Stossel himself aired as a consumer reporter. He considers the issue of actual and perceived risk with a studio audience. Valuable introduction to environmental risks.

John Stossel Goes to Washington and turns the idea of "consumer reporting" on government itself. We are all consumers of government services, so why not ask if things can be done better? Stossel starts by taking a look at taxes and learns Americans pay more in taxes than in food, clothing and shelter combined. Stossel's investigation finds some federal programs have trouble even keeping track of their funds, much less spending them wisely. Explores how we can make sense of government spending and the powerful influence of special interests on federal policies and priorities.

→ Contact Greg Rehmke: GRehmke@aol.com.
Or visit www.EconomicThinking.org/speech

Free DVD Player with Stossel ABC News Specials

GO VIDEO

Slimline Design fits easily in classroom. Standard 17" wide chassis matches VCR. Lighted front panel display — Easy to check on disc status (play, pause, etc...). Full-function remote — Access to all key functions from across the room. Progressive Scan DVD Player — Enjoy high quality video. Component, S-Video, and composite video outputs.

Economic Thinking is a program of E Pluribus Unum Films, a 501(c)3 non-profit organization.
2247 Fifteenth Avenue West, Seattle, Washington 98119 — (206) 576-3276 — E-mail: GRehmke@aol.com
InterProd

"The Ripple Effect"

2005 Yale DI Champion
2005 Glenbrooks DI Champion
2005 Blue Key DI Champion
2005 Harvard DI Champion
Numerous Finalists and Semifinalists in DI, HI, OI and DUO Interpretation in 2005!

Join 2006
"Interpretive Productions"
Directed by David Kraft
July 22-August 5th

New Location
Suffolk University in Boston

Application and Program Information located at
www.nationaldebateforum.com
The International Debate Education Association and Willamette University are pleased to announce the first annual International Tournament of Champions for High School Parliamentary Debate.

Willamette University - Salem, Oregon / May 26-28, 2006

72 teams will be invited to participate in this tournament. The tournament will feature 6 preliminary rounds. All teams with records of 4-2 are guaranteed to clear into elimination rounds.

Rounds will begin at 1:00pm on May 26th and run through the early afternoon of the 28th.

Teams arriving on the morning of the 26th are welcome to attend a parliamentary debate workshop at Willamette University hosted by the university's forensics program.

Costs:
Registration fee for this tournament is $50 per team and will include dinner on the 26th and the 27th, lunch on the 27th and an awards brunch on the 28th. Registration fees will be waived for participants hailing from outside North America.

Housing:
Housing for this tournament is available in Willamette dormitories (singles and double rooms are available) for a modest fee.

In addition, blocks of rooms will be reserved at nearby hotels.

Further details and application information are available at www.idebate.org
Applications will be accepted through April 1, 2006 or until all 72 spots have been filled.

For more information please contact:
Robert Trapp (trapp@willamette.edu),
Noel Selegzi (nselegzi@idebate.org), or
Patrick Blanchfield (pblanchfield@idebate.org).
The National Debate Institute - D.C. offers an exciting opportunity for students to attend a national caliber debate institute at a cost competitive with the fees of most regional camps. The NDI-DC has a hand-picked staff of the best instructors in the nation, and the program curricula have been carefully developed and successfully implemented over the last 10 years. NDI - DC is directed by Robert Thomas, head policy debate coach at Stanford University. Mr. Thomas has more than a decade of experience directing some of the top debate institutes in the nation.

30-Round Policy Debate Program: No other program in the country offers students the opportunity to improve as quickly and extensively: each student is guaranteed the opportunity for 30 full-length debates with extensive post-round critiques. Such concentrated and directed practice allows students to make improvements in argumentative sophistication and technical proficiency that normally take a semester or longer. The 4:1 student:staff ratio ensures that each student will receive individualized feedback from every instructor one of NDI’s world-class instructors. The 30-Round Program lead instructors are Judy Butler, Augusta Prep; and Hays Watson, Liberty University.

Policy Debate Novice Program: The curriculum of the Novice Program is designed to help introduce students with little to no experience to policy debate. Through lectures, small group discussions and classroom activities students will master the critical thinking and public speaking skills necessary to succeed. Students will learn to apply their knowledge in debate rounds through multiple critiqued practice debates and argument drills and will graduate prepared to compete during the 2006-2007 debate season. Lead instructor for the Novice Program is Corey Turoff, Head-Royce School.

Lincoln-Douglas Program: The LD program focuses on the teaching of theory and technique in combination with a balanced emphasis on seminars and critiqued practice rounds. The program is designed to accommodate students of all levels of experience, with separate labs and primary instructors for advanced and beginning students as appropriate. Initially confirmed as the lead instructor is John Lynch, College Preparatory School.

Contact Us:
Phone: 510-548-4800
Web: www.educationunlimited.com
Email: debate@educationunlimited.com
The Math Behind Breaking at Tournaments

By Greg Malis

In the years that I have coached and judged, I do not have many instances in which my experience as a math teacher comes directly into play—maybe the logic of an argument or the use of statistics in a debate round or a public address event, but certainly not regular applications of algebra, geometry, or calculus. Where math comes more into play is in tournament administration, primarily in pre-tournament work, such as tournament finances or managing judge requirements and room usage.

This article is about a specific application of math that can be used during a tournament by every competitor and coach. What will it take to break? What does my debate record or my cumulative total of ranks need to be to reach the elims?

Debate Application

Debaters often construct the “record triangle.” It is probably more common at larger tournaments, but it can be universally applied to almost any tournament. To demonstrate, assume that a tournament has 80 entries and there are 5 prelims. The “record triangle” for this tournament looks like:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Round</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round 1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round 2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round 3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round 4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round 5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From this chart, one concludes that at the end of five rounds, there are expected to be about 2 or 3 contestants with a 5-0 record, 12 or 13 contestants with a 4-1 record, 25 with a 3-2 record, 25 with a 2-3 record, 12 or 13 with a 1-4 record, and 2 or 3 at 0-5. Hence, if a tournament will break to octo-finals, then all of the 4-1’s or better are expected to clear, but among the 3-2’s, only the highest seeded will reach the elims. Each row is constructed by assuming that half of the teams in each bracket will win and half will lose. For example, at the end of Round 1, there will be 40 teams that are 1-0 and 40 teams that are 0-1. So, at the end of Round 2, half of the 1-0’s will be 2-0 and half of the 0-1’s will be 0-2. This explains the “20” that appears as the first number and the last number in the Round 2 row. The middle number (40) is calculated by combining half of the 1-0’s that are expected to lose, making them 1-1, with half of the 0-1’s that are expected to win, making them 1-1 as well. Hence, at the end of Round 2, the triangle predicts that there will be 20 2-0’s, 40 1-1’s and 20 0-2’s. Repeat this process to construct every row. These are only estimates, but over the course of the entire history of debate, it proves to be reliable according to probability theory’s law of large numbers.

Here is how it applies to debate. If there are five prelims, read the numbers in the Round 5 row. These numbers are numerators for the proportion of competitors with a specific record. The denominator of the proportions is all the same. In this case, it will be 32 (found by calculating 2 to the fifth power–because there are five prelims). So, the proportions and their corresponding records from the Round 5 row are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Round 1</th>
<th>1/32</th>
<th>5/32</th>
<th>10/32</th>
<th>10/32</th>
<th>5/32</th>
<th>1/32</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round 2</td>
<td>5-0</td>
<td>4-1</td>
<td>3-2</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>0-5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To find the expected number of teams with a specific record, simply multiply the
(continued to page 52)
2006 Staff

Jason Baldwin, M.A., (pending) winningest debater in LD history, accomplished debate coach and author of numerous articles on LD, Philosophy Ph.D. candidate at Notre Dame.

Kate Hamm, M.A., experienced workshop instructor and LD coach with a long record of success, currently coaching at Millard West High School (NE).

Jenn Larson, 2002 TOC Champion, currently assists Fremont H.S. (NE), senior Math and Political Science major at Creigh-ion University.

Chase Martyn, TOC debater from Suncoast H.S. (FL), lddebate.com webmaster, junior Philosophy student at Grinnell College.

Jim Miller, M.A., 2006 LD Coordinator, former debater, LD coach with an established record of success at Battle Ground Academy (TN).

Cyndy Woodhouse, B.A. teacher and LD coach at Iowa City West H.S., former champion debater and former University of Iowa LD staff.

Three-week Institute, June 23-July 16
Two-week Institute, June 23-July 9

Benefits of the Kentucky LD Workshop

- KNDI maintains a very low student-to-faculty ratio, ensuring high quality instruction tailored to each debater’s needs.
- KNDI prioritizes improvement of communication skills for each debater. From outlining cases to organizing the 2AR, we emphasize the importance of how the speaker transmits the message.
- KNDI stands behind the notion that LD is an event with a strong educational benefit. We discourage the notion that debate is only about the trophy and encourage healthy self-improvement.
- KNDI has a well-developed academic curriculum that includes both top-notch classical and contemporary philosophy instruction in lectures, seminars, and small group discussions and strategic technical training in small lab groups, individual office hours, and extensive stop-and-go practice debates.
- KNDI believes that the academic integrity of each debater depends on sound research skills. As such, debaters will receive extensive staff-supervised, hands-on research experience in UK’s outstanding libraries to develop well-informed, well-prepared argumentation.
- KNDI is an official UK program. We are committed to providing excellent role models in a safe, supervised learning environment.

Application Deadline
May 15, 2006

Tuition/Room/Board
Two-week Institute: $1350
Three-week Institute: $1550

Information/Application
www.kndi.org
or
www.uky.edu/Provost/ChellgrenCenter/Debate/

Questions
questions@kndi.org
or
jwpatt00@uky.edu
859-257-6523
# 2006 Policy Institutes

## Three-Week
- **June 23 - July 16, 2006**
- Tuition: $640
- Housing/Meals: $810
- Total: $1,450

## Two-Week
- **June 23 - July 9, 2006**
- Tuition: $555
- Housing/Meals: $585
- Total: $1,140

## One-Week
- **June 23 - July 2, 2006**
- Tuition: $445
- Housing/Meals: $355
- Total: $800

## 2006 Institute Fellows

### Matt Fisher
- Glenbrook North

### Kathy Bowen
- Gulliver Prep

### Robert Giglio
- Caddo Magnet

### Jamie Berk
- MBA

### Bon Koo
- College Prep

### Kyle Davis
- MBA

### Jonathan Warsh
- Groves

### Karina Piser
- College Prep

### Ovais Inamullah
- Chattahoochee

### Bill Gerath
- Bishop Guertin

### NOT PICTURED
- Matt Andrews
  - Greenhill School

---

## 2006 Institute Staff

### Excellent Staff
(others to be added; see April Rostrum)

### Josh Branson
- Champion debater, Northwestern University and St. Mark's High School; Kentucky Fellow 2001; TOC runner-up 2002; Institute Staff, Northwestern University, 2005; Kentucky Institute Staff, 2005.

### Michael Burshteyn
- (pending) Champion debater, University of California, both first and second place TOC Champion; Kentucky Fellow 2003; Kentucky Institute Staff, 2004.

### Spencer Diamond
- Champion debater, Homewood, Alabama and University of Georgia; Kentucky Institute Staff, 2004 & 2005.

### Cyrus Ghabi
- Champion debater, Emory University; Kentucky Fellow 2001; Kentucky Institute Staff, 2005.

### Geoff Lundeen
- (pending) Former champion debater, Grand Rapids, Michigan & Michigan State University; former coach, various Michigan high schools & Highland Park in Texas; Instructor three summers at the Michigan State University Institute; currently debater at Georgia State University.

### Jason Murray
- Champion debater, Colleyville High School & Harvard University; TOC first speaker 2004; Kentucky Fellow 2003.

### Reuben Schy
- Champion debater, Glenbrook North; TOC first speaker, 2001; Kentucky Fellow 2001; Kentucky Institute Staff, 2003-05.

### Jon Sharp
- Champion debater; Emory University; Assistant Coach, University of Kentucky; seven years Debate Institute Instructor at Emory, USC, Bates, Stanford & Kentucky.

### Mike Wascher

---

*For Institute Information and scholarship application, write to:

Dr. J. W. Patterson, Director of Debate
205 Frazee Hall
University of Kentucky
Lexington, Kentucky 40506-0031

Web Site: http://www.uky.edu/Provost/ChellgrenCenter/Debate/
Email: jwpatt00@uky.edu
appropriate proportion by the number of teams in the tournament. Hence, if there are 80 teams, then the expected numbers of teams in a particular bracket are:

5-0: \( \frac{1}{32} \times 80 = 2.5 \)
4-1: \( \frac{5}{32} \times 80 = 12.5 \)
3-2: \( \frac{10}{32} \times 80 = 25 \)
2-3: \( \frac{10}{32} \times 80 = 25 \)
1-4: \( \frac{5}{32} \times 80 = 12.5 \)
0-5: \( \frac{1}{32} \times 80 = 2.5 \)

Note that these numbers match exactly the expected count of teams in each bracket when the first “record triangle” was constructed. The “elegance” of using Pascal’s triangle is that the proportions remain the same in every tournament. At the end of the fourth round at every tournament, it is reasonable to expect \( \frac{1}{16} \) of the entries to be undefeated, \( \frac{4}{16} \) of the field to be 3-1, \( \frac{6}{16} \) of the field to be 2-2, etc. Observe that the numberators come directly from the fourth row of Pascal’s triangle and the denominators are all 16, which is 2 to the fourth power. At the end of the fifth round at every tournament, it is reasonable to expect \( \frac{1}{32} \) of the entries to be undefeated, \( \frac{4}{32} \) of the field to be down 1, etc. Hence, the only triangle that ever needs to be constructed is Pascal’s triangle.

The only “challenge” is to remember how to construct Pascal’s triangle (or you can just copy it in this article and keep it with you). To calculate a row in Pascal’s triangle, you only need the preceding row. The top row (with no number to the left of it) is always “1”. The next row (corresponding to Round 1) contains two 1’s. Every row starts and ends with a 1. The middle numbers are found by adding the two numbers “above” them in the preceding row. For example, to construct the row for Round 2, start with a 1 and end with a 1. The middle number is 2, which you get when adding the two 1’s in the row above it. To construct the Round 3 row, start with a 1 and end with a 1. The middle numbers of 3 and 3 are found by adding the 1 and 2 in the first and second positions of the Round 2 row and then adding the 2 and 1 in the second and third positions of the Round 2 row. Repeat this process to construct the entire triangle.

This process makes some assumptions that need to be considered. First, the primary assumption is that every debater or team has an equal chance of winning. Since not all teams are, in fact, equally good, not all judges view a debate the same way, and not all topics are unbiased, then the assumption of having an equal chance of winning is flawed. Again, 1 count on the law of large numbers to conclude that, over the course of time, the effect of any one of these variables on the predicted proportions will be neutralized by the effects of the others. Further, the process assumes that power-matching opponents of equal records against each other begins in Round 2. I doubt any tournament ever powers as early as Round 2. Most either never power or start powering in Round 3 (maybe Round 4 for larger tournaments that offer 7 or 8 prelims). However, my unscientific compilation of tournament results (essentially my personal recollection of roughly 300 debate tournaments I have attended) suggests that a tournament that has fewer than expected teams that are “down 1” in one year could just as easily have too many the next. Hence, the law of large numbers saves the day again. The only variable that seems to consistently have an effect on the distribution is in the larger tournaments that have three or more preset rounds and start powering in Round 4 or later. In that case, Pascal’s triangle produces a good guess but less reliable. The margin of error in this last scenario is still rather small. One would still predict that all of the undefeateds and all of the “down 1’s” are going to break. It is just a matter of how many “down 2’s” will break. Pascal’s triangle will come fairly close to predicting the correct number almost every time. Use it and I hope it helps.

Speech Application

The math in this process is based on concepts from “combinatorics,” otherwise known as “counting principles” as well as the statistical ideas of means, standard deviations, and use of the bell curve. The charts and explanations to follow will outline predicted proportions of the competition that have a particular rank total at the end of the prelims.

If there are two prelims, the expected proportions of the field with a specific rank total are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1/36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2/36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3/36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4/36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5/36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6/36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5/36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>5/36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3/36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2/36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1/36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes on Table A:
1. Only ranks 1-6 are assumed. However, if there are only a few sections with 7 competitors in a room, it is still fairly valid.
2. Numerators in the proportions are from the number of ways to get a total. For example, there are four ways to have a total of 5 (1+4, 2+3, 3+2, or 4+1). Denominators are all 36 (6 top the 2nd power). Use 6 because it is the assumed poorest rank and use 2nd power because there are 2 rounds.

Add a third prelim, the expected proportions become:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>15/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>21/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>25/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>27/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>27/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>25/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>21/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>15/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>10/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>6/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>3/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1/216</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes on Table B:
1. Again, only ranks 1-6 are assumed, and again, a few sections of 7 will not affect the numbers significantly.
2. Numerators from number of ways to get a particular total. For example, there are 10 ways to get a total of 6 (1+1+4, 1+4+1, 4+1+1, 2+1+3, 2+3+1, 1+2+3, 1+3+2, 3+1+2, 3+2+1, or 2+2+2).
3. Denominators are all 216 (6 to the 3rd power). Use 6 because it is the assumed poorest rank and use 3rd power because there are 3 rounds.
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So, if there are 60 contestants in a category, then you can find the expected number of contestants with a particular total by multiplying the proportion by 60. Using Table B, we expect to find maybe 1 contestant with a total of 3, maybe 1 with a total of 4, maybe 2 with a total of 5, about 3 with a total of 6, about 4 with a total of 7, and about 6 with a total of 8. Although these are very rough estimates, the real issue is how many are expected to have a certain total or less, since all of those with low totals are expected to be break. Essentially, the proportion of the field in a range of totals is more important than those with a specific total. Additionally, it is statistically more reliable to predict the number that is expected to earn a total of 8 or less. In this case, just add the numerators of the corresponding proportions for rank totals 3 through 8. The sum is 56, so expect 56/216 of the 60 competitors to get an 8 or less. The result is about 16. Therefore, if the tournament will break to semis and will use two sections of 8 contestants, then a total of 8 should be good enough. However, if semis will involve only about 12-14 contestants (sections of 6 or 7 in the semis), then an 8 would not likely be good enough. Table B predicts that about 10 contestants (35/216 multiplied by 60) will have a total of 7 or less. If 10 have a total of 7 or less and 16 have a total of 8 or less, then either a tie breaking procedure (such as speaker points or reciprocal fractions) will be needed to get the number that break as the desired 12 or 14. Otherwise, the director will break fewer than ideal or more than ideal. With this knowledge, contestants and coaches who do not administer their own tournaments may have a better understanding as to why the number of contestants that break in one event may vary considerably from the number of contestants that break in another event.

Again, this process makes some assumptions, some of which were noted to the right of the two tables. First, similar to the debate application, the procedure assumes that all competitors are as likely to earn a 1 from a judge as a 6. Clearly, this is flawed since some speakers or performers are just better than others. Second, many tournaments put as many as 7 or 8 contestants in the majority of rooms. Third, my experience suggests that judge variability is less of a factor in speech than debate, but it is a factor nonetheless and impacts the assumption I made. Fourth, sectioning procedures also need to be considered. The randomness of room assignment, speaker position, and, ultimately, judge’s ranking may also be affected by factoring in double entered contestants, opposition in previous rounds, and speaker position in previous rounds when sectioning a prelim. There are also tournaments that also try to factor in regional balance (larger tournaments), selection title (if the name of the piece is known in advance), and subtotal of ranks (“power matching” by distributing the powers into different rooms). Lastly, some tournaments cap the worst rank a contestant can earn from a judge. For example, if there are 6 contestants in a room, judges may be permitted only to rank contestants 1-5, so two contestants will earn a 5. Also common are the tournaments that cap the worst rank at 6 and convert all 7’s or 8’s to 6’s. Again, from my experience, the law of large numbers suggests that very few of these factors will matter in the long run. The only ones that should make a significant difference statistically are the “longer sections” and “converted ranks” employed by various tournaments.

Just to complete the process by addressing some of the flaws in my assumptions, a few more tables follow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1/343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3/343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6/343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10/343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>15/343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>21/343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>28/343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>33/343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>36/343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>37/343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>36/343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>33/343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>28/343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>21/343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>15/343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>10/343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>6/343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3/343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1/343</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1/1296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4/1296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10/1296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>20/1296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>35/1296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>56/1296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>80/1296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>104/1296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>125/1296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>140/1296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>160/1296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>140/1296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>125/1296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>116/1296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>104/1296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>56/1296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>35/1296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>20/1296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>10/1296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>4/1296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1/1296</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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National Summer Institute In Forensics

2 week program
June 26—July 9, 2006
$1,700.00

3 week program
June 26—July 16, 2006
$2,100.00

Iowa offers an innovative curriculum. Iowa recognizes and teaches a diversity of styles, including the more traditional Lincoln-Douglas debate as well as more contemporary approaches such as policy debate arguments, alternative philosophies, and kritiks. We expose students to a diverse set of argument discourses, making possible a better understanding of all of the practices in LD. Our students can better address and successfully compete against alternative styles as well as traditional argumentation in round creating a well-rounded debater. Unlike many institutes that teach a single style of debate, Iowa offers a marketplace of Ideas. Iowa has a lab that's right for you!

Save $150

Enroll by March 31, 2006 and save $150 on tuition costs.

THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA

National Summer Institute in Forensics

c/o Paul Baltes, Director

112 International Center

Iowa City, Iowa 52242

Phone: 319-335-5621
Fax: 319-335-2111

E-mail: paul.baltes@uiowa.edu

Make this summer an Iowa summer!
A Staff of Champions Training Champions!

EXPERIENCE
Always at the cutting edge of Lincoln Douglas debate, Iowa offers a revolutionary new approach to the summer learning experience: the advantages of a large institution combined with the advantages of a small institute. The Iowa experience includes the opportunity to know and work with students and teachers from across America, while providing the individual attention of a smaller workshop.

EXPERTISE
Instructors with years of championship coaching experience teach Iowa’s championship curriculum. In fact, many of Iowa’s staff lead some of the “top labs” at second session institutes. Iowa offers the unique opportunity to access instructors who have coached national champions in high school and collegiate debate. We believe in a partnership between student, parent, coach, and instructor to meet the student’s goals.

EMPHASIS
Iowa recognizes the benefits of diversity and teaches a diversity of styles. Iowa prepares students through one-on-one student instruction, classroom discussion, divisional meetings, lectures on theory and practices of arguments, skills practicum, and multiple critiqued debates.

Iowa emphasizes the needs of students based on students’ desire to compete locally, regionally, and/or nationally. Our belief that students benefit from a variety of approaches and from different viewpoints makes Iowa unique and the best option for an LD debater.

STAFF
General Institute staff: Jeffery Doss, Spencer Patton, R.J. Pellicciotta. Returnee staff: Steph Bell, Tim Hogan, Cherian Koshy, JJ Rodriguez, Ronni Toledo. Kritik Lab staff: Paul Bellus, Brian Severson, Matt Shields. Senior Philosopher’s staff: Seth Halvorson, Daniel Yaverbaum. 3rd Week Option staff: Steph Bell, Paul Bellus, Tim Hogan, Cherian Koshy, Brian Severson. Additions to staff are anticipated.

For program information and online registration visit our web site at:

www.iowadebate.com

Iowa, leading LD for over 20 years.
Tournament Applications

Table E (4 prelims, ranks 1-7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>20/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>35/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>56/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>84/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>116/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>149/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>180/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>206/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>224/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>231/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>224/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>206/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>180/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>149/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>116/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>84/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>56/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>35/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>20/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>10/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>4/2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>1/2401</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table F (3 prelims, 6 speakers, convert 6's to 5's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>18/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>24/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>28/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>33/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>25/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>18/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>12/216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>8/216</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that if you compare Table F to Table B, you see some significant changes in the totals of 7-15. Since only 9.3% of the field is expected to have a total of 6 or less (and tournaments normally more than 10% of the field break to elimins), the increased proportions in totals of 7 or 8 become important.

In the end, there is no easy formula to calculate what it will take to break at a speech tournament. There are too many variables to consider. However, these tables provide a good basis for a reasonable estimate as to what it will take to clear. Test them with tournaments you attend and hopefully they will prove to be helpful to you.

The only way I have found to generalize all tournaments with a single process (similarly to the debate application) is to take advantage of some more advanced statistics concepts, involving means, standard deviations, and a bell-shaped curve (known as the “normal curve” in more formal statistics vernacular). Rather than go into great detail, a procedure is outlined below (you will need a calculator, ideally a TI-83 or TI-84, which students of yours probably have):

1. Calculate the mean:
   A. Average the numbers starting with 1 and ending with the assumed poorest rank. For example, if most rooms have 6 competitors, then the average of all integers from 1 to 6 is 3.5. If most rooms have 7 competitors, the average is 4. If most have 8 competitors, the average is 4.5.

2. Calculate the standard deviation:
   A. Multiply the number of prelims by 2.9166666, if the poorest rank is 6.
   Multiply the number of prelims by 4.6666666, if the poorest rank is 7.
   Multiply the number of prelims by 6, if the poorest rank is 8.
   B. Calculate the square root of the number from Step A. This is the standard deviation. Estimate to at least 4 decimal places accuracy.

3. Use the following keystrokes on the calculator:
   A. 2nd button, followed by VARS button

B. Scroll down to find “normed()”. Hit “enter”
C. After the left parenthesis, type “1”, followed by a comma (above the “7” key), followed by another comma, followed by the mean from Step 1B, followed by another comma, followed by the standard deviation from Step 2B, followed by a right parenthesis (above the “9” key). Hit “enter”

For example, if you want to find the expected proportion of contestants with a total of 7 or less in a tournament with 3 prelims where there are typically 7 contestants per room, calculate:

mean = 4 x 3 = 12
std dev = sqrt(3 x 4.6666666) = sqrt(14) = 3.7417
So, normed(norm(1,7,12,3.7417)) = 0.089085

This last number represents the proportion of the field that is expected to have total ranks of 7 or less.

(continued to page 69)
THE PROGRAM — The Policy, LD and Public Forum programs offer an interactive learning environment for students of all levels (beginning, intermediate, advanced). Learning is targeted to both national circuit debaters and regional competitors. The instructional staff includes accomplished collegiate and high school coaches as well as current collegiate debaters who are former NFL, Catholic and TOC National qualifiers.

OPPORTUNITY — Choose either Policy Debate or Lincoln-Douglas Debate or Public Forum and receive instruction and practice in individual events for no additional cost.

EXPERIENCED STAFF — Stan Banks (Bingham High, UT); Corol Barella (Bingham High, UT); Amy Bullock (U of Oregon); Bria Coyle (Whitman U, WA); Marilee Eyre (Beaver High, UT); Cody Henrichsen (Riverton High, UT); Ryon Hoglund (Rowland Hall, UT); LeAnn Hyer (Davis High, UT); Richard Jaramillo (Bingham High, UT); Kami Kirk (Lake Peak High, UT); Kirk Krouton (She Meadows, NV); Scott Mansfield (Lehi High, UT); Dave Marquardt (U of Richmond, VA); Mary McConnell (Juan Diego High, UT); Rob Roake (Idaho St.); Leslie Robinson (Hillcrest High, UT); Mike Stackelford (Pepperdine, CA); Tricy Taylor (Weber St., UT)

CURRICULUM
POLICY — Lectures focus on the topic, debate theory, unique and rival views of positions, and “cutting edge” argumentation. Labs focus on research, position briefing, refutation, rebuttal rewrites, delivery, and practice.

LD — Lectures focus on philosophy, values, criteria development, and several relevant topics. Labs focus on affirmative and negative case construction, delivery, research, and practice.

PUBLIC FORUM — Lectures and labs focus on current events, crossfire cross examination skills, argumentation, clash, refutation, persuasion, and practice.

IF — Lectures and practice for all NFL events.

12 CRITIQUED ROUNDS

RESEARCH FACILITIES
Dixie State College features a “state of the art” computer lab.
• Each student will have full time internet access including LEXIS-NEXIS and EBSCO.
• The institute library will contain over 300 books from the University of Utah Library.
• All evidence is shared.

COLLEGE CREDIT — Each student will receive three (3) hours of transferable college credit (COMM 2020).

ATMOSPHERE — SCFI provides a safe environment where students will feel connected to the staff and other students.

COST
$585 includes room (apartments, air conditioned, pool) and board (lunch and dinner)
Fly in/out of Las Vegas, NV
$335 for commuters (no room and board)
Lab Fees (maximum): Policy $65 / LD $35 / Forum $25

COACHES WORKSHOP
July 16-22, 2006
Coaches will receive lesson plans and training for Policy debate, LD debate, Public Forum and all NFL Individual events.

COST
$345 includes room and board • $215 for commuters
Check us out on the web: www.ripon.edu/academics/commlplus/rfi or call us at (920) 748-8152

Hosted by
Ripon College
Ripon, WI

Choose from 1 of 3 tracks:
- Public Address: Develop a topic, argument, and presentation from start to finish
- Limited Prep: Focus on developing your extemporaneous and impromptu speaking skills
- Interp: Learn strategies for selecting pieces, cutting to time, blocking, and performing

Students:
Get a jump on your competition year!

CHECK OUT THE 2006 RED HAWK
FORENSICS INSTITUTE

Calling all Coaches…

Are you ready for a coaches’ clinic that fits both your needs and your busy schedule?

Basic Track
The Basic Track includes coaching essentials, scheduling priorities, team management, and all of the advanced track events.

Advanced Track
The Advanced Track is an intensive experience to help experienced coaches expand their abilities beyond the basics.

Thursday July 20, 2006

-to-

Sunday July 23, 2006
4. Multiply the proportion found in Step 3 by the number of contestants to get the expected number of contestants with a specified total ranking or less.

In the above example, if there were 50 contestants in the field, then about 8.91% of 50 or 4.5 contestants are expected to have a total ranking of 7 or less. Therefore, expect about 4 or 5 contestants. If you were to use Table C, you would add the proportions corresponding to totals 1 through 7 to get 35/343. If you were to multiply 35/343 by 50, you would get 5.1, so you would expect 5 contestants to have a total of 7 or less.

Although this last series of steps to perform on a calculator may not appear simpler than using various tables, it is probably easier than re-constructions the appropriate table for a tournament or carrying the tables with you.

Final notes on the last procedure: the use of the normal distribution to estimate the proportion of contestants in a range of values is a bad estimate if there are two prelims. It works fairly well for three or more. Additionally, the normal distribution approach also does a poor job if there are “converted ranks.” In these two cases, a table would be more reliable.

Conclusion

Just remember that all of these formulas and tables merely estimate what it will take to break at a particular tournament. It is based on well-established mathematical theories in the areas of probability and statistics, but, when a theory is applied in practice, unexpected results may occur. However, in a typical tournament, the estimates will be fairly reliable.

(Greg Malis is a double diamond coach with 14 years teaching and coaching experience. Currently, he is an assistant coach for both the debate team and speech team at Glenbrook North HHS, IL.)

THE JULIA BURKE FOUNDATION IS SEEKING NOMINATIONS FOR THE 2006 JULIA BURKE AWARD

Policy debaters, coaches and judges are invited to nominate one individual no later than MARCH 15. Any policy debater who is eligible, or expected to be eligible to compete in the Tournament of Champions may be nominated for the award. Nominations should include the name and school of the nominee, the reasons for the nomination preferably including examples and anecdotes, and the identity of the person submitting the nomination. Nominations should be submitted to The Julia Burke Award

Committee by e-mail to: Marilyn_Burke@JuliaBurkeFoundation.com or CallmeHuls@aol.com
The Scholars Program at the Emory National Debate Institute
June 11 – June 24, 2006 • Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia

The Emory National Debate Institute, which has contributed to the education of high school debaters for a quarter of a century, now offers a specialized workshop-within-a-workshop catering to experienced high school debaters with advanced skills. The Scholars Program, which was conceived and designed by some of the nation’s most competitively successful college coaches, gives accomplished debaters the opportunity to receive the kind of instruction, research opportunities, and feedback they will need in order to meet their competitive goals for the coming year.

The Scholars Program will take place alongside the established Emory National Debate Institute, under the Direction of Melissa Maxcy Wade. Those who enter the Program will have access to the entire faculty of the ENDI. However, the Scholars Program contains a number of additional features designed specifically to benefit the advanced debater.

Special Features of the Scholars Program

**Advanced curriculum:** Every aspect of the Scholars Program has been redesigned by our staff of accomplished coaches, from the lecture schedule to the structure and pace of lab groups. Members of the Program will receive advanced library instruction, including guided research in the Woodruff library system and targeted use of Internet resources. Our curriculum helps students understand and utilize the most advanced modern debate positions, but without sacrificing their ability to win rounds with traditional skills and strategies.

**Emphasis on evidence accumulation:** Rather than forcing experienced students to endure redundant basic lectures, we let Scholars get on with the business of researching the topic and practicing advanced techniques.

**Amazing staff-to-student ratio:** We maintain a 1:4 staff-student ratio in lab groups, and each student will interact with nearly every member of our large Scholars Program faculty.

**Unique, separate lectures:** Outside their lab groups, members of the Program will receive direct instruction from top-rated college coaches. Even in lecture settings, our staff-student ratio is unusual, with no more than 20 students listening to one instructor. Furthermore, we offer a small group theory seminar menu targeted to students' needs and interests.

**Numerous debate rounds:** Our curriculum includes a minimum of 12 rounds, with extended time for critiques from our staff.

**Select faculty:** The Program will be directed by a select group of the nation's best debate minds. Past Directors of the Scholars Program have included award-winning college coaches, multiple NDT winners, and some of the country's most prominent high school coaches. In the last few years alone, Joe Zompelti (Director of Forensics at Illinois State University), David Heidt (winner of the 1996 NDT), Jon Paul Lupo (winner of the 2000 NDT), and Kacey Wolmer (NDT first-round debater and multiple participant in the finals of CEDA Nationals) have all been a part of the Program's administrative team. The rest of the Scholars faculty will be selected from among the ENDI's staff of accomplished college debaters and coaches.

**Great value:** Scholars will pay the same price as other students at the Emory National Debate Institute. We are a nationally competitive institute at a discount price!

You must apply for the Scholars Program at the ENDI. Those seeking admission should call or write:

Emory National Debate Institute
Barkley Forum
P.O. Drawer U, Emory University
Atlanta, GA 30322

Phone: (404) 727-6189 • email: christy.bradley@emory.edu • www.emory.edu/EF • FAX: (404) 727-5367
The Emory National Debate Institute has been contributing to the education of high school debaters for twenty-nine years. The curriculum is steeped in the most fundamental aspects of debate: presentation, research, and critical thinking. An excellent combination of traditional argument and debate theory and an emphasis on current debate practice makes the Emory National Debate Institute one of the most successful year after year. Novice, mid-level, and varsity competitors have found the Institute a worthwhile learning experience because the staff has the expertise to teach all levels of students and the experience to adjust to a variety of student needs.

Features of the Lincoln-Douglas Division
Under the Direction of Jim Wade & Stephanie Jenkins

Experienced staff: Mr. Wade has been in the activity for over twenty years, and has served in his current position for eleven years. Ms. Jenkins is a former LD champion and is currently an Ivy League graduate student in philosophy. Other staff members include an array of the finest college coaches, as well as some of the top college debaters in the nation.

Flexible curriculum: The Institute has always provided students a wide variety of instruction suitable to their levels of experience. Our classes deal both with general philosophical issues and practical technique. There is a strong emphasis in lab groups on building speaking experience and providing constructive critique. A typical day involves three classes dealing with philosophy or technique and theory, followed by five hours of practical lab sessions.

Features of the Policy Division
Under the Direction of Bill Newnam

Experienced staff: Our senior level staff has worked at this Institute and many others, including: American University, Bates College, Baylor University, Berkeley, Dartmouth College, Georgetown University, University of Iowa, University of Kentucky, Northwestern University, University of Michigan, Wake Forest University, Samford University, and Stanford University.

Flexible curriculum: The Institute has always provided students a wide variety of instruction suitable to their levels of experience. Each laboratory group has explicit objectives and a field tested curriculum for the two week period, dependent upon their level of experience.

Features of the Public Forum Division
Under the Direction of Alysia Davis & Mike Davis

Experienced Staff: Mike Davis has over a decade of argumentation and debate experience teaching students for the highest level of debate practice and competition. He has received numerous teaching awards for his ability to teach and convey complex communication theories to students of all ages and backgrounds. Mike has received his PhD in Communications at the University of Georgia and is currently a visiting instructor for Georgia State University's Communications Department. Alysia Davis is currently a PhD candidate at Emory University and has extensive background both as a debater and a coach. In her spare time, Alysia has also been an integral part of Emory’s debate team's coaching staff on numerous debate tournaments.

Flexible curriculum: Students will have extensive training in critical thinking, public speaking, and advanced research skills. Students will learn how to dissect topics, structure arguments effectively and persuasively, and be able to adapt to various types of critics. Students will have the opportunity to participate in numerous practice debates as well as a practice tournament during the institute.

Coaches Workshop

An in-depth coaches workshop is conducted. Topics will include administration, organization, and coaching strategies. A full set of lectures appropriate for the classroom will be developed.

For an application, write or call:

Emory National Debate Institute
Barkley Forum
P.O. Drawer U, Emory University
Atlanta, GA 30322

Phone: (404) 727-6189 • email: christy.bradley@emory.edu • www.emory.edu/BF • FAX: (404) 727-5367
Howdy!

Cindi Timmons  
Colleyville Heritage HS  
Co-Host

Jane Boyd  
Grapevine HS  
Co-Host

On behalf of the Grapevine-Colleyville ISD and the Lone Star and Longhorn NFL Districts we are pleased to welcome you to the 2006 Bluebonnet Nationals. Texas last hosted this event in 1984 at Trinity University in San Antonio; it hasn’t been in the metroplex in over thirty years when it was held at Southern Methodist University in 1974. Since the first national tournament in 1931, Texas has produced over 115 National Champions, over 30 from this area alone. For each competitor, the 2006 Bluebonnet Nationals represents the pinnacle of years of hard work and preparation. We are eager to make this week memorable for all competitors and their coaches and to showcase our proud state.

Everything is bigger in Texas. Joining the Lone Star and Longhorn NFL Districts are schools from the other eight districts in Texas. Forensics has a long history in our state. As a matter of fact, our state league was a debate organization before sports, the performing arts, and academics were added. Schools and coaches actively involved in creating a memorable week have formed the Bluebonnet Club. We are proud to have them join us.

The history of Texas explains its reputation; we’ve been part of an empire and then formed our own republic. Six different flags have flown over the state. The largest state in the continental U.S., Texas measures over 800 miles from north to south and over 770 miles from east to west. It offers every type of landscape and urban setting you can imagine. But while we’re big, we’re known for our hospitality and our ability to have fun - you’re in for a great week.

Our state is big, but the tournament venues are easy to manage and close together. Hotels are within 5-15 minutes of DFW Airport. The tournament sites are 10 minutes from the hotels and are either next door to each other or just a few miles away. The opening ceremony is at Six Flags, about a 20 minute drive from the hotels and the finals on Thursday and Friday are conveniently located at the Hyatt Regency DFW Airport Hotel. Parking is plentiful and free. The six schools being used provide enough competitive spaces for a leisurely schedule and plenty of time to look around and enjoy your visit.

You can even bring the family. Different childcare options are available so that your spouse and kids can come too. There are fun and affordable activities for all ages. Join us at the opening ceremony at the original Six Flags amusement park on Sunday, enjoy our student lounge Monday and Wednesday evening at the DFW Hyatt, explore historic Main Street in Grapevine or head over to Dallas or Ft. Worth for even more adventure. All of our hotels are in the mid-cities area and you have easy access to shopping, restaurants and attractions in Arlington and Irving as well. There is plenty to do!

We look forward to seeing you in June!
Host Schools

Information will be available on the NFL Website
www.nflonline.org

- National Registration Forms
- Hotel Lists
- Tentative Schedules
- Travel and Lodging Recommendations
- Direction Venues
- Restaurants and Sites
- Tournament Photo Archive
## 2006 National Tournament Hotels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hotel Name</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Special Notes</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Amenities</th>
<th>Website</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyatt DFW Airport</td>
<td>$92</td>
<td>800-233-1234</td>
<td></td>
<td>International Parkway @ DFW Airport</td>
<td>OP,F,S</td>
<td><a href="http://www.dallas.hyatt.com">www.dallas.hyatt.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AmeriSuites Grapevine</td>
<td>$89</td>
<td>972-691-1199</td>
<td></td>
<td>2220 Grapevine Mills Circle West, Grapevine</td>
<td>CB, OP,S,F</td>
<td><a href="http://www.amerisuites.com">www.amerisuites.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheraton Grand DFW Airport</td>
<td>$89</td>
<td>800-345-5251</td>
<td></td>
<td>4440 West John Carpenter Freeway, Irving</td>
<td>OP,F,S,R</td>
<td><a href="http://www.starwoodhotels.com">www.starwoodhotels.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowne Plaza Suites - Arlington</td>
<td>$89</td>
<td>817-394-5000</td>
<td></td>
<td>700 Avenue H, East, Arlington</td>
<td>F</td>
<td><a href="http://www.crowneplaza.com/">www.crowneplaza.com/</a> Arlingtonsuite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvey Hotel DFW Airport</td>
<td>$72</td>
<td>972-929-4500</td>
<td>Ask for in-house department</td>
<td>4545 West John Carpenter Freeway, Irving</td>
<td>F,S,L,R,IP</td>
<td><a href="http://www.dfwairport">www.dfwairport</a> harveyhotels.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best Western</td>
<td>$70</td>
<td>972-870-0530</td>
<td>Ask for Lee or Maria</td>
<td>5030 W John Carpenter Freeway, Irving</td>
<td>OP,CB,F,S,L,IP</td>
<td><a href="http://www.dfwinnandsuites.com">www.dfwinnandsuites.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfield Inn DFW</td>
<td>$64</td>
<td>972-929-7257</td>
<td></td>
<td>4800 John Carpenter Freeway, Irving</td>
<td>CD,L</td>
<td><a href="http://www.marriott.com">www.marriott.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep Inn-DFW Airport</td>
<td>$52</td>
<td>972-929-8888</td>
<td></td>
<td>4770 Plaza Drive, Irving</td>
<td>CB, F, W, L, IP</td>
<td><a href="http://www.choicehotels.com">www.choicehotels.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Advanced Booking**

Reminder: When you book, it is NFL policy that you provide a **two night non refundable deposit** for each room or suite booked. This means **non refundable**. You will be asked to send cash, check, or money order immediately to hold your rooms. If the money does not arrive in a timely fashion your rooms will be canceled and sold to others. Should you choose to use a credit card, the hotel will enforce NFL policy and **bill your card immediately** for the two night non refundable deposit. If you book rooms, you will see charges on your credit card statement prior to nationals.

NFL wishes to eliminate "Speculative" booking ("I will reserve rooms now in case we qualify"); and double booking ("I always book two places and when I arrive I choose the one I like and cancel the other"). If a coach chooses to book excess rooms on several properties, **she will pay a two night non refundable deposit for each room they book**, even if canceled later.
IMPORTANT!! Considerations When Selecting and Reserving Hotels at the Bluebonnet Nationals

By 2006 Nationals’ Committee

1. All schools should stay at one of the NFL recommended hotels. The NFL has negotiated the lowest rates available at these properties for our members and has chosen them for their convenience in tournament preparation.

2. When calling hotels, all coaches must mention the NFL National Tournament Block to receive the posted rate. All room reservations are subject to an automatic two-night non-refundable deposit per room to avoid double-booking.

3. All hotel properties are easily accessible and are within 10 minutes of every Monday-Friday competition venue. Also, the opening ceremony held at Six Flags Over Texas in Arlington (see page 84) is less than 20 minutes from all hotel properties.

4. The Congress Headquarters Hotel is the Hyatt Regency DFW. This hotel is located on the airport property. All Congress competition will take place in this hotel. All NFL schools and guests will receive free in and out toll privileges throughout the week.

5. National Tournament Registration, as well as all Thursday and Friday final round competition, and the National Awards Ceremony will take place at the Hyatt Regency DFW Airport.

6. It is recommended that coaches go to the website links provided on the hotel spreadsheet to determine which hotel fits the needs of their program. All hotels on the list are convenient to the tournament venues.

7. Key Travel Times to Note:
Hyatt Regency DFW to Grapevine High School Venue (5-7 min)

Hyatt Regency DFW to Colleyville-Heritage High School Venue (10 min)
Colleyville-Heritage HS Venue to Grapevine HS Venue (5-7 min)
Most Hotels to High Schools (10 min)
Most Hotels to Six Flags Over Texas (approx. 20 min)

8. PLEASE LOOK AT A MAP! Before reserving rooms, all coaches should look at a road atlas and an enlargement of the Grapevine/Colleyville/Irving/Arlington area to get a better perspective on the logistics of travel. The key to a less stressful week is to seriously consider following the above lodging suggestions provided by the National Office.

Additional Tournament Information (Logistics, Complete Driving Directions, Maps, Individual Event Schedules, etc) are available on the NFL website at www.nflonline.org.

~~Register Your Qualifiers for Nationals~~

Reminder: All national tournament registration forms are found at www.nflonline.org, under 'National Tournament', 'Forms'.
The “Lone Star State” will be an excellent location for the 2006 Lincoln Financial Group/NFL National Speech Tournament. To make planning a little easier, the National Office is happy to provide a preliminary overview of the tournament. Please keep in mind that all logistics are tentative and subject to slight changes.

**Sunday (Registration and Opening Ceremony)**

This year, the tournament registration and NFL vending EXPO will take place on Sunday, June 18th from 8:30am to 3pm at the Hyatt Regency DFW Airport. At 3:30pm, the local host committee has planned an incredible opening ceremony at Six Flags over Texas in Arlington. Students will enjoy music entertainment and lots of games, rides, and fun. Teams that are interested in attending the opening event should refer to the registration materials provided to order the discounted admission tickets.

**Monday and Tuesday (Preliminary Rounds/Schwan Party)**

There will be three venues used for the preliminary competition. The Colleyville-Heritage High School complex will host the preliminary rounds of all six main event speech events (HI, DI, Duo, OO, IX, and USX). The Grapevine High School complex will host the preliminary rounds of all three debate events (LD, Policy, and Public Forum). The Hyatt Regency DFW Airport will host the National Student Congress.

All main event preliminary competition on Monday and Tuesday will occur between 8am and 6pm on Monday and Tuesday.

The Schwan Party will take place near the two high schools (TBA) in the early evening on Tuesday. Students will re-register for the Wednesday supplemental events at the Schwan Party.

**Wednesday (Elimination Rounds/Supplemental Events)**

All debaters (Policy, LD, and Public Forum) who qualify for elimination Round 7 will compete at Grapevine High School on Wednesday. All main event speech competitors (HI, DI, DUO, OO, USX, IX) and those students re-registered for supplemental events (Expository, Commentary, Prose, and Poetry) will compete at Colleyville-Heritage High School on Wednesday. The Hyatt Regency DFW Airport will host the semi-finals of the National Student Congress.

All competition will occur between 8am and 7pm on Wednesday.

**Thursday (Elim Rounds/Supp/Cons Events/Interp Finals/Diamond Awards)**

On Thursday morning, debate elimination rounds will continue at the Grapevine High School complex. Main event speech elimination rounds as well as all supplemental and consolation rounds will occur at the Colleyville-Heritage High School complex. The National Student Congress will hold its final round sessions at the Hyatt Regency DFW Airport.

On Thursday evening, attendees will enjoy the national final rounds of Humorous Interp., Dramatic Interp., and Duo Interp., as well as the Schwan Coaches’ Diamond Ceremony at the Hyatt Regency DFW Airport Ballroom.

**Friday (Supp, Cons, and Main Event Finals and National Awards Assembly)**

The remaining Main Event final rounds (Original Oratory, U.S. Extemp, International Extemp, Lincoln-Douglas, Policy, and Public Forum) will be held throughout the day on Friday at the Hyatt Regency DFW Airport. All Supplemental Event and Consolation Event final rounds will also be held at the Hyatt Regency DFW Airport.

On Friday evening, the National Awards Assembly will be held at the Hyatt Regency DFW Airport.

Coaches that have any major questions about the logistics of the Bluebonnet Nationals should feel free to contact the National Office at 920-748-6206 or at nflu@centurytel.net.
## Contest Venues
Grapevine High School Complex, Colleyville-Heritage High School Complex, Hyatt Regency DFW Airport

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date/Event</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sunday, June 18th</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tournament Staff Reg.</td>
<td>8:30am-9am</td>
<td>Hyatt Regency DFW Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tournament Reg.</td>
<td>9am-4pm</td>
<td>Hyatt Regency DFW Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab Room Meetings</td>
<td>9am-2pm</td>
<td>Hyatt Regency DFW Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Coaches and Schools</td>
<td>10am-11am</td>
<td>Hyatt Regency DFW Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dist Chair Reception and Meeting</td>
<td>Noon-2:30pm</td>
<td>Hyatt Regency DFW Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening Ceremony</td>
<td>3:30pm</td>
<td>Six Flags Over Texas, Arlington, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Registration (not recommended)</td>
<td>4pm-6pm</td>
<td>Hyatt Regency DFW Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monday, June 19th</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Main Event Speech</td>
<td>9am-6pm</td>
<td>Colleyville-Heritage HS Complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Debate (PF, Pol, LD)</td>
<td>9am-6pm</td>
<td>Grapevine HS Complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Congress</td>
<td>9am-6pm</td>
<td>Hyatt Regency DFW Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tuesday, June 20th</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Main Event Speech</td>
<td>9am-6pm</td>
<td>Colleyville-Heritage HS Complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Debate (PF, Pol, LD)</td>
<td>9am-6pm</td>
<td>Grapevine HS Complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Congress</td>
<td>8am-6pm</td>
<td>Hyatt Regency DFW Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwan's Party</td>
<td>6pm to 9pm</td>
<td>Location TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supp. Re-Reg.</td>
<td>7pm</td>
<td>Schwan's Party (Location TBA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wednesday, June 21st</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Main Events Speech</td>
<td>8am-5pm</td>
<td>Colleyville-Heritage HS Complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy, LD, Public Forum</td>
<td>8am-6pm</td>
<td>Grapevine HS Complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supp. Events</td>
<td>8am-6pm</td>
<td>Colleyville-Heritage HS Complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Rd 7/8 Qualifiers Supp. Re-Reg.</td>
<td>Noon-1:30pm</td>
<td>Colleyville-Heritage and Grapevine HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-reg. Cons. Events</td>
<td>4pm-7pm</td>
<td>Colleyville-Heritage Cafeteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thursday, June 22nd</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-reg. Cons. Events</td>
<td>7am-8am</td>
<td>Colleyville-Heritage Cafeteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Main Events Speech</td>
<td>9am-Noon</td>
<td>Colleyville-Heritage HS Complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy, Public Forum, and LD</td>
<td>9am-8pm</td>
<td>Grapevine HS Complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supp. and Cons. Events</td>
<td>9am-7pm</td>
<td>Colleyville-Heritage HS Complex</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Duo, Dramatic, and Humorous Finals and Schwan Coach Diamond Ceremony**

**Friday, June 23rd**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date/Event</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Main Event, Supp, and Cons Finals</td>
<td>8am-5pm</td>
<td>Hyatt Regency DFW Airport Ballroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Awards Ceremony</td>
<td>6pm</td>
<td>Hyatt Regency DFW Airport Ballroom</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This year, those students that qualify for Rounds 7 and 8 of main event speech or main event debate that do not qualify for Round 9, will be allowed to enter Supplemental Events (beginning with Round 3) if pre-registered on the original tournament registration.
Why YOU should be in Denton for the Mean Green Workshops

- Unbelievable staff! Period.
- Incredible faculty-student ratio: **3.7 to 1** with **170** students in 2005!
- Library system designated a major research library by the U.S.
  Department of Education (5.5 million cataloged holdings)!
- Computer lab access at one of *US News & World Report*'s "Most Wired"
  universities, including wireless access in every building on campus!
- New housing facilities for 2006 with Residence Director **Kandi King**!
- Stay in the area two days after NFL Nationals and join us in Denton!

**Policy Debate Director:** Dr. Brian Lain

Calum Matheson, Dan Lingel, Tracy McFarland, Tara Tate, Kenda Cunningham, Jonathan Paul, Asher Haig, Jason Murray, Nicole Richter, Julian Gagnon, Kuntal Cholera, Kavita Kannan, Michael Antonucci, Ernie Querido, Sam Iola, and more!

- **Scholars Sessions (Sophomores, Juniors, Seniors):** June 25-July 15, $2400
- **Three-Week Session:** June 25-July 15, $1900 (With a NEW Kritik Lab!)
- **Two-Week Session:** June 25-July 8, $1300
- **Skills Session:** July 15-July 22, $700 (1 on 1 coaching, 18+ debates. For all levels!)

**Lincoln-Douglas Debate Director:** Aaron Timmons

Dr. Scott Robinson, Steffany Oravetz, Perry Beard, Sam Duby, Jonathan Alston, Tyler Bexley, David Wolfish, Beena Koshy, Karis Gong, Matt Kinskey, Gary Johnson, & Laura De la Cruz!

- **Two-Week Session:** July 2-July 15, $1400
- **Three-Week Session:** July 2-July 22, $2100

**Student Congress Director:** Dixie Waldo

With champions **Mark Knowles & Amber Ahmed**!

- **Two-Week Session:** July 2-July 15, $1200

**Public Forum Debate Director:** Cheryl Potts

- **One-Week Session:** July 2-July 8, $500

**Teachers' Institute: Directing Forensics**

A 2-week graduate class (June 27-July 12) taught by **Dr. John Gossett**

---

Visit our new website!

**www.meangreenworkshops.com**

For more information write Institute Director Jason Sykes at:
director@meangreenworkshops.com
2006 Bluebonnet Nationals
Dallas/Ft Worth Area
June 18, 2006 to June 23, 2006
Rates effective from June 1, 2006 through June 30, 2006

"Blu" Corporate/Source Code for online reservations
"B" Rate Code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Rates</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Daily</th>
<th>Weekly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grand Am / Malibu</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>$29.95</td>
<td>$169.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Prix / Impala</td>
<td>GP</td>
<td>$39.95</td>
<td>$199.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban w/DVD</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>$99.95</td>
<td>$599.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trailblazer w/DVD</td>
<td>BL</td>
<td>$69.95</td>
<td>$379.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cargo</td>
<td>XV</td>
<td>$59.95</td>
<td>$299.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/12 Pass Van</td>
<td>XP</td>
<td>$89.95</td>
<td>$499.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Pass Van</td>
<td>FV</td>
<td>$99.95</td>
<td>$599.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini Van w/DVD</td>
<td>MV</td>
<td>$69.95</td>
<td>$349.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sales tax is 10%, Airport Fee is 8%, and RPT is $3.00 per day. Tax-exempt customers must advise renting agent at the time of rental to remove tax. Shuttle service is available from DFW and Lovefield Airport. All participants must call rental office for shuttle instructions and location.

Make your reservations today!
Howdy!!! For a preview of your visit to Texas, please visit our website:

www.2006bluebonnetnationals.org

Find information on: Attractions, Facilities, Sponsors, Childcare, Hotels, Activities, Restaurants, Transportation, Schedule, Committees, and the Bluebonnet Club. The site is updated on a regular basis.

Site sponsored by Brent Hinkle and the Joy of Tournaments.
(www.joyoftournaments.com)
Summer of Champions 2006!

Located in
Austin, Texas

"Developing
Champions
One Round
at a
Time."

**LD Staff:**
Tommy Clancy, *Westlake HS, TX*
Shelley Livaudais, *Westwood HS, TX*
Sarah Smith, *The Kinkaid School, TX*
Ryan Cooper, *Westlake HS, TX*

**Policy Staff:**
Seth Gannon, *Wake Forest University, NC*
Aimee Parsons, *A&M Consolidated HS, TX*
Alex Pritchard, *Westwood HS, TX*
Brian Severson, *University of Iowa, IA*
Aliya Bhatia, *Woodward Academy, GA*

More Teaching and RA Staff to Be Announced Soon!

- Curriculum centered on Argumentation Skills, Theory Seminars, and Winning Strategies, including Low Student-Teacher Ratios and In-Depth, Topic-Specific Analysis
- Outstanding Research Availability, including Free Access to Lexis-Nexis and *Wireless* Connections
- Individualized Attention and Fully Critiqued Practice Debates
- Luxurious dorms: all single rooms with suite facilities
- Free shuttle to and from Austin International Airport
- Great Commuter Rates

**Institute Dates:**
Policy Debate: 7/16 - 8/4
Lincoln Douglas Debate: 7/23—8/4
Teacher Institute: 7/23—7/28

APPLY TODAY at [www.thechampionshipgroup.com](http://www.thechampionshipgroup.com)
Bluebonnet Nationals
Childcare Options

We are proud to invite your entire family to nationals! You can coach/judge during the day while your children are in quality childcare facilities and then join your family in the evening for food and fun.

You may contact any of the following to contract child care for your little ones (infants-PK) during the week of the tournament (Monday-Friday). All facilities are used by GCISD teachers and are in close proximity to the schools. While we are happy to provide you with the contact information, neither the National Forensic League, GCISD, nor the Bluebonnet Nationals Executive Committee assume any liability for their use. Limited spaces exist.

La Petite Academy, 2301 Hall Johnson, Grapevine, 817-540-4157
Shanna Fuentes, Director
www.lapetite.com

Primrose School, 2300 Hall Johnson, Grapevine, 817-416-0404
Molly Crego, Director
www.myprimroseschool.com/halljohnson

The S.S. Noah Playcare Center, 1900 South Main St., Suite 103, 817-410-2866
Hourly and daily flat rates available (ages six weeks – 12 years).

Our school district will provide (for a fee) activities and fun for your older children at KidzU – our summer school program. The program will involve field trips and professional care.

Contact Cindi Timmons (Cynthia.timmons@gcsd.net) for more information. Cindi has a 14 year old and a 3 year old and knows what it's like to juggle family and forensics.
YOU ARE INVITED TO THE
BLUEBONNET NATIONAL TOURNAMENT
OPENING CEREMONIES
AT

Six Flags
OVER TEXAS
It's playtime!

SUNDAY, JUNE 18, 3:00 P.M.

FEATURING NFL AND TEXAS DIGNITARIES AND A SPECIAL
MUSICAL TRIBUTE TO THE LONE STAR STATE

TWO GREAT PLANS FROM WHICH YOU CAN CHOOSE

PLAN #1 (SUNDAY ONLY)
*ADMISSION TO SIX FLAGS
OVER TEXAS
*FREE PARKING
*OPENING CEREMONIES
*EVENING MEAL

PLAN #2 (SUNDAY PLUS)
*ADMISSION TO SIX FLAGS
OVER TEXAS
*FREE PARKING
*OPENING CEREMONIES
*EVENING MEAL
PLUS! PLUS! PLUS!
*ADDITIONAL DAY AT
EITHER SIX FLAGS OR
HURRICANE HARBOR

PLAN #1 COST—ONLY $35 PER TICKET (A $60 VALUE IF YOU GO ON YOUR OWN)

PLAN #2 COST—ONLY $50 PER TICKET (A $100 VALUE IF YOU GO ON YOUR OWN)

COMPLETE THE FORM AND RETURN IT TODAY! DON'T MISS OUT
ON ALL THE FUN. EVERYTHING'S BIGGER IN TEXAS AND THIS
EVENT PROMISES TO BE THE SAME!
ORDER FORM
OPENING CEREMONIES
BLUEBONNET NATIONAL TOURNAMENT
JUNE 18, 2006

NAME OF SCHOOL

SCHOOL ADDRESS

CITY STATE ZIP

COACH NAME

COACH E-MAIL COACH PHONE

NUMBER OF $35 TICKETS _____@ $35 EACH = _______ TOTAL
(HOLDER IS ENTITLED TO ADMISSION TO SIX FLAGS OVER TEXAS AMUSEMENT PARK, EVENING MEAL, AND PARKING ON SUNDAY, JUNE 18, 2006)

NUMBER OF $50 TICKETS _____@ $50 EACH = _______ TOTAL
(HOLDER IS ENTITLED TO ADMISSION TO SIX FLAGS OVER TEXAS AMUSEMENT PARK, EVENING MEAL, AND PARKING ON SUNDAY, JUNE 18, 2006 AND AN ADDITIONAL DAY’S ADMISSION TO EITHER SIX FLAGS OVER TEXAS OR HURRICANE HARBOR)

PLEASE FIND ENCLOSED
_____ SCHOOL CHECK TO COVER THE COST OF ALL TICKETS ORDERED
_____ PERSONAL CHECK TO COVER THE COST OF ALL TICKETS ORDERED
_____ MONEY ORDER TO COVER THE COST OF ALL TICKETS ORDERED

PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM BY MAY 15TH, 2006 TO:

DAVID HUSTON, TREASURER
BLUEBONNET NATIONAL TOURNAMENT
COLLEYVILLE HERITAGE HIGH SCHOOL
5401 HERITAGE AVE
COLLEYVILLE, TX 76034

(TICKETS REQUESTED/PURCHASED AFTER MAY 15TH WILL BE SOLD AT THE FULL PRICE--$60 FOR THE ONE DAY TICKET; $100 FOR THE TWO DAY TICKET)
Need Help With Debate?

Discovering Debate

Perfect introduction to competitive debate

3 complete past NFL National Tournament Final Rounds
  Policy Debate - Privacy Topic
  Public Forum Debate - National Service Topic
  Lincoln-Douglas Debate - Due Process vs. Truth

Available in 2 VHS Tapes or 2 DVDs

Shows both male and female debate:
  Policy Round: 3 Girls and 1 Boy
  LD Round: 2 Girls
  PFD Round: 3 Boys and 1 Girl

Solid, "middle-of-the-road" style of debate

Great value for the price of $74.95
  Order on line now.
  Fax your purchase order today.
  Mail your check to:

Dale Publishing - P. O. Box 347 - Independence, Mo. 64057
Phone 816-350-9277 - Fax 816-350-9377

www.dalepublishing.us
Why go to a “camp” when you can attend an institute?

FORUM Institute
JULY 29th – AUGUST 12th 2006
UNIVERSITY OF SAN DIEGO
All Events- $500 commuter
$1500 resident

Summer’s End
AUGUST 5th – AUGUST 12th 2006
UNIVERSITY OF SAN DIEGO
Policy Debate Only- $350 commuter
$875 resident

Institutes “To Go”
We come to you...any time of year!
All Events

REGISTER TODAY!

www.comforum.org
The FORUM is the only stand-alone non-profit national institute
call 858.689.8665
sponsored by the communication FORUM
Bluebonnet Club

Schools across the state of Texas have joined in partnership to help host the 2006 Bluebonnet Nationals. The following schools are current members:

Allen HS  
Arlington HS  
Arlington Heights HS  
Athens HS  
Centennial HS  
Clark HS (Plano)  
Colleyville Heritage HS  
Coppell HS  
Creekview HS  
Crowley HS  
Denton HS  
Denton Ryan HS  
Duncanville HS  
Edward S. Marcus HS  
El Paso ISD  
Episcopal School of Dallas  
Flower Mound HS  
Frisco HS  
Fossil Ridge HS  
Garland HS  
Granbury HS  
Grand Prairie HS  
Grapevine HS  
Greenhill School  
Hebron HS  
Highland Park HS  
Hillcrest HS  
Hockaday School  
James Martin HS  
Jasper HS  
John H. Guyer HS  
John Jay HS  
Joshua HS  
Keller HS  
LD Bell HS  
Lewisville HS  
Mansfield HS  
MB Lamar HS  
McKinney HS  
Mesquite HS  
 Mexia HS  
Naaman Forest HS  
Newman Smith HS  
Nimitz HS  
Nolan Catholic HS  
Northwest HS  
Pasadena HS  
Pearce HS  
Pflugerville HS  
Plano East Senior HS  
Plano Senior HS  
Plano West Senior HS  
Red Oak HS  
Richardson HS  
R.L. Turner HS  
Rowlett HS  
Shepton HS  
South Garland HS  
South Grand Prairie HS  
Southlake Carroll HS  
Spring HS  
St. Mark's School of Texas  
Timber Creek HS  
Trinity HS  
Vines HS  
Westlake HS  
Williams HS  
Yavneh Academy of Dallas  
Harold Keller

For more information on how to become a Bluebonnet Club member please visit our website at www.2006bluebonnetnationals.org or contact Cindi Timmons at cynthia.timmons@gcisd.net or 817-305-4673.
We would like to thank the following for their initial support and contributions toward making the 2006 Texas Bluebonnet Nationals an event to remember.

*Longhorn Sponsor (Corporate level - $10,000)*
Bickel & Brewer, sponsor of the National Public Policy Forum
www.bickelbrewer.com/index.php?id=debate

*University/Institute Sponsors*
Baylor University
www.baylor.edu
www.baylordebate.com

Planet Debate, A project of the Harvard University Debate Council
www.planetdebate.com
www.harvard-debate.org

University of North Texas
www.debate.unt.edu
www.meangreenworkshops.com

University of Texas
www.utdebate.com

University of Texas at Dallas
www.utdallas.edu

Victory Briefs
www.victorybriefs.com

*Texas Communication Organization Sponsors*
Lone Star NFL District
Longhorn NFL District
Texas Speech Communication Association
Texas Forensic Association

*State of Texas*
Texas Economic Development Fund
2006 Texas Bluebonnet Nationals Patrons

**Oil Baron ($1000 and above)**
Aaron Suder

**Cattle Baron ($501-$999)**
James Middleton
Stephanie Wade

**Trail Boss ($100-$249)**
Stephen Paul Sanders
Stephen Lehotsky and Caitlin Talmadge

**Cowboy ($1-$99)**
Bridget Adell

For more information on how you can become a sponsor of the 2006 Texas Bluebonnet Nationals please contact Cindi Timmons at cynthia.timmons@gcisd.net, 817-305-4763.

---

**NFL "HONOR" AWARDS**

**Honor Cords (Twined/Untwined)**
Where allowed, these silver and ruby cords may be worn with cap and gown at graduation ceremonies to signify the graduate has earned NFL membership. Silver is the color of the student key and Ruby the color of NFL’s highest degrees. New silver and ruby colors will not conflict with the cord colors of the National Honor Society.

**Chenille Letters**
Letter sweaters and jackets will never be the same! New silver and ruby NFL "letters" available in varsity (6") and J.V. (3") sizes. Show the jovcs in your school that NFL scores!

**Order form**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Graduation Honor Cords</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Twined</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Twined</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shipping/Handling (entire order)</td>
<td>+ 8.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NFL Chenille &quot;Letters&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Varsity (6&quot;)</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J.V. (3&quot;)</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shipping/Handling (entire order)</td>
<td>+ 8.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*send form to:*
National Forensic League
125 Watson St
PO Box 38
Ripon, WI 54971-0038
Phone: 920-748-6206
Fax: 920-748-9478
nfisales@centurytel.net
NFB Sponsors

Grand National Sponsor - Lincoln Financial Group

Lincoln
Financial Group®

The Schwan Food Company

ConocoPhillips

Stennis Center for Public Service

ConocoPhillips

United Nations Foundation

Public Employee Roundtable

Patrick Henry National Memorial Foundation Auxiliary

PiKappa Delta

American Society for Public Administration

Western Kentucky University

Karl E. Mundt Historical and Educational Foundation

International Debate Education Association

Colorado College

NATIONAL FOUNDATION FOR ADVANCEMENT IN THE ARTS (NFAA)
The National High School Debate Institute At

Northwestern University

Is Pleased to Announce Dates for Our Three Week

Coon-Hardy Debate Scholars

July 16 through August 6, 2006

Foundations of the Innovative Coon-Hardy Curriculum:

- **An Unparalleled Record of Success – A Century of Champions**
- Faculty that Lead Argument, Strategy, and Skill Development
- Arguments and Skills that Last into the Future, not Start in the Past
  - Small Group Instruction and Interactive Learning
  - Coordinated Argument and Strategy Development

Curriculum Coordinators:

- Scott Deatherage, Seven Time N.D.T. Championship Coach
- Kevin Hamrick, Associate Director of Debate, Northwestern University

For Further Information Contact:
The National High School Institute
617 Noyes Street, Evanston, IL 60208
(800)-662-NHSI
www.northwestern.edu/nhsi
E-Mail: nudebate@northwestern.edu

"Come, Be a Part of America’s Most Successful College Debate Program"

Northwestern University
National Debate Tournament Champions

Cross Examination Debate Association National Champions
1997
The National High School Debate Institute At
Northwestern University

What the Students Say about the Northwestern Experience:

"I had the greatest experience of my life. The staff was exceptional."

"This institute gives you an opportunity to debate at a high level and learn different areas to enrich your experience. I'll never be around such a talented teaching and coaching and staff again."

"I am a much better debater thanks to the skills I learned at Northwestern."

Recent Northwestern Debate High School Alumi Include:

“Our teaching methods for the summer scholars are modeled on the same teaching strategies that have made our college program so successful. We rely upon precisely the same fourteen pillars of success that shape our college curriculum. In the twenty years of my coaching career, the fourteen pillars – a centerpiece of Northwestern debate – have never let us down, and they will not let you down if you learn to immerse yourself in them fully. If you want to learn how to win consistently, spend your summer at Northwestern.” Scott Deatherage, Director of Debate, Northwestern University

"Go to College before you Finish High School"

Northwestern University
National Debate Tournament Top Speakers

Rex Copeland Memorial Award -- Top First Round At-Large
## NFL District Standings

(as of February 1, 2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Average No. of Degrees</th>
<th>Leading Chapter</th>
<th>No. of Degrees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Three Trails (KS)</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>Blue Valley North HS</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>East Kansas</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>Shawnee Mission East HS</td>
<td>546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>Calif. Coast (CA)</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>Leland HS</td>
<td>694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>Heart Of America (MO)</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>Liberty Sr HS</td>
<td>518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>Northern South Dakota</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>Watertown HS</td>
<td>399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Sunflower (KS)</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>Wichita East HS</td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Show Me (MO)</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>Belton HS</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>San Fran Bay (CA)</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>James Logan HS</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>West Kansas</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>McPherson HS</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Kansas Flint-Hills</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>Washburn Rural HS</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>+15</td>
<td>Northern Ohio</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>Howland HS</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>+8</td>
<td>New York City</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>Regis HS</td>
<td>438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Ozark (MO)</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>Central HS - Springfield</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>Millard North HS</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>Central Minnesota</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>Eastview HS</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>Flathead Co HS</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>Northwest Indiana</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>Plymouth HS</td>
<td>409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>Rushmore (SD)</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>Sioux Falls Lincoln HS</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>Florida Manatee</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>Nova HS</td>
<td>546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>Northern Illinois</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>New Trier Township HS</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>Eastern Ohio</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>Perry HS</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Southern Minnesota</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>Edina HS</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>South Texas</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Belaire HS</td>
<td>827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>+7</td>
<td>Eastern Missouri</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>Pattonville HS</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>New England (MA &amp; NH)</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>Manchester Essex Regional HS</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Nebraska South</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Lincoln East HS</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>Great Salt Lake (UT)</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>Skyline HS</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>Utah-Wasatch</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>Sky View HS</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>+7</td>
<td>Inland Empire (WA)</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>University HS</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>North East Indiana</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>Chesterton HS</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>Southern California</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>Claremont HS</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>Florida Panther</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Lake Highland Preparatory</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>South Kansas</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>El Dorado HS</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>Rocky Mountain-South (CO)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Lakewood HS</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Hillcrest HS</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>Carver-Truman (MO)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Neosho HS</td>
<td>357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pittsburgh (PA)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>North Allegheny Sr HS</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>Hole In The Wall (WY)</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>Cheyenne East HS</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Sundance (UT)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>Bingham HS</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>+43</td>
<td>Deep South (AL)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>The Montgomery Academy</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>Golden Desert (NV)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>The Meadows School</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>+6</td>
<td>West Iowa</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>West Des Moines Valley</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Sierra (CA)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>Sanger HS</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Desert Vista HS</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Ridge HS</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Cherry Creek HS</td>
<td>376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>East Texas</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Duilles HS</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>Big Valley (CA)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Lodi HS</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>+6</td>
<td>North Coast (OH)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Gilmour Academy</td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>North Dakota Roughrider</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Fargo South HS</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Change</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>No. Degrees</td>
<td>Leading Chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>Rocky Mountain-North (CO)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Rocky Mountain HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>Central Texas</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>Winston Churchill HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Mauldin HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>West Oklahoma</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Norman North HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>+15</td>
<td>Western Ohio</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Notre Dame Academy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>Greater Illinois</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Pekin Comm HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>+9</td>
<td>Valley Forge (PA)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Truman HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Hoosier Heartland (IN)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Logansport HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>Northern Wisconsin</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Appleton East HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>North Texas Longhorns</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Colleyville Heritage HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Northern Lights (MN)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Moorhead Senior HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>Wind River (WY)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Worland HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Lone Star (TX)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Plano Sr HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>+5</td>
<td>Western Washington</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Gig Harbor HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>Florida Sunshine</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Fort Walton Beach HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>Space City (TX)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Alief Taylor HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>West Los Angeles (CA)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Loyola HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>Hoosier Crossroads (IN)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Brebeuf Jesuit Preparatory School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Albuquerque Academy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>North Texas</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Westview HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>Colorado Grande</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Canon City HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>Georgia Southern Peach</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Starr’s Mill HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>East Oklahoma</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Jenks HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>+6</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Portage Northern HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>New York State</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Scarsdale HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>Southern Wisconsin</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Marquette Univ. HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>Tarheel East (NC)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Cary Academy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>Heart Of Texas</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Carroll HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>Sagebrush (NV)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>Reno HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Carolina West (NC)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>Myers Park HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>South Oregon</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>Ashland HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>West Texas</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Cathedral HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>East Iowa</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>West HS - Iowa City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>+9</td>
<td>South Florida</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Michael Krop HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Teurlings Catholic HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>Puget Sound (WA)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Tacoma Senior HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>Georgia Northern Mountain</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Henry W Grady HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>+5</td>
<td>UIL (TX)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Princeton HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Rowan County Sr HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>Oak Grove HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>Greensburg Salem HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Gulf Coast (TX)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>Harlingen HS South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>Mid-Atlantic (MD &amp; VA)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Randolph Macon Academy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>Tall Cotton (TX)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Robert E Lee HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Poland Regional HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>Chesapeake (MD)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>Baltimore City College HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>Kamehameha Schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>Capitol Valley (CA)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>Granite Bay HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Iroquois (NY)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>The Family Foundation School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Wheeling Park HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pacific Islands</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>Harvest Christian Academy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Middle School Debate

Public Forum Debate

By Anson Shuman

For those who read my article in the January edition, here is the second one that I promised on the nuts and bolts of Public Forum Debate. This is aimed at the middle school level. I have students that are from eleven years of age to fourteen years of age. Just mention debate and these students will start for the door.

In the first article, I tried to persuade you to try it. Now comes the real problem—how to get middle level students to try it. It is hard in a state that does not include it in the events that are done at contests. I have, however, found a way to at least introduce it to my students. We have a limited number of slots allowed as to who will be attending the national tournament.

If you are planning on going with my team, you must do some form of debate. The LD slots are taken up by the ones who have done excellent at that event for the past year or over a period of years. The CX spots are also gone. That leaves two types of debate left, Parli and Public Forum. I know that is bribery, but whatever it takes. I have now started picking the ones I feel will do a good job with this type of event. We have already started teaching both types of the debates currently not available to us. I also have the blessing of having high school students who debated Public Forum at nationals. The high school students love to come and help the young kids. Both the internet and the educational resource tapes available from the NFL office can be great help in teaching Public Forum.

I talk about the topic that is being offered each month as it comes out and get the kids thinking that this would be a cool thing to debate. Since my LDers and CXers cannot all debate at nationals, it was easy last year to fill the slots. This year I have 6 CX teams and 12 LDers and usually only three can enter. Now, for those of you who do not have that and would like to, consider Public Forum. Most middle schoolers do not like to do individual events. They like to have a partner with them. Since Public Forum is a mixture of LD and CX it creates the perfect situation. What I mean is that for the student that likes to have a partner to help him or her but does not like to stay on the same subject, Public Forum works well. This is a form of debate where you can research both sides and prewrite the negative side of the case.

Public Forum is perfect! It changes nine times a year. Both sides of your cases are researched and you can practice both speeches. You can have practice rounds before a tournament. It can be researched very easily on the internet. You may go to www.askjeeves.com and "ask jeeves" the questions re-worded for both sides of the case and the information is popped up in seconds and then you can pick out your points that you wish to make. Coaches pick out four students who get along well with each other and ask who would like to take an amazing adventure that is fun and easy to do. Pair them up and if you need to promise them chocolate each practice to get them started, do so.

After they have attended one contest they are hooked. I already have more volunteers that I can take this summer to enter in Public Forum Debate. The Cross Fires really get the motor running in most students. Diane at the NFL office can help you get the tape. I cannot remember if there is a cost, but it is worth it. Just show the actual debate on the tape. See what happens.

(Anson Shuman coaches at Ardmore Middle School, (OK).

(EDITOR'S NOTE: To obtain the instructional video, contact Diane Rasmussen at nfsales@centurytel.net)
Sacred Heart National Speech & Debate Institute moves to BOSTON 2006

July 16–July 30 Suffolk University

www.sacredheartperformingarts.com

Offering only the very best instruction from a nationally qualified staff in Individual Events, Lincoln-Douglas Debate, Public Forum Debate, Student Congress

Boston . . . where the HEART is!
Take a stand!
Join the National Forensic League and develop the communication skills you'll need to succeed in your career. Over the years, NFL members have become top journalists, media stars, CEOs, Supreme Court justices, even U.S. presidents. As part of its commitment to education, Lincoln Financial Group is a proud sponsor of the NFL. To learn more, call 920-748-6206 and start to express your inner cool.

Too cool

Lincoln Financial Group
Hello future"