

1. How to Flow

Minimum Wage Increase

AFF	NEG
<p>Authorship - Name 1 - Claim → Refutation by later speakers Warrant Numbers Impacts</p> <p>(repeat for point two)</p>	<p>Authorship - Name 1 - Claim → Refutation by later speakers Warrant Numbers Impacts</p> <p>(repeat for point two)</p>
<p>2nd Aff - Fiber Will help struggling families Provide them enough money to live \$15 -> 40 hrs a week = above pov line More families have food on table</p> <p>Will create more econ activity More \$ made = more \$ spent 10k \$ more per person = 100k in econ per community Will lead to more jobs → Aberg said jobs cut, will actually increase</p>	<p>2nd Neg - Daniely Will mean less jobs Need to pay more workers, will pay them less Double in min wage = ½ workers fired → Fiber said jobs saved bc increased econ activity, but that money goes to the executives to increase their salaries, not to more workers so jobs still cut Low-skilled/teen/minority workers fired</p> <p>Will mean more part-time workers Companies can get around min wage laws for parttime workers Parttime workers paid less - more parttime workers Less money to parttime workers → Won't see Fiber's impact of more food on table bc workers will be parttime</p>

2. How to apply it to your speech

Steps

- 1. Divide debate into a few important arguments (i.e jobs, economic activity, family capital)**
- 2. Summarize previous debate on arguments succinctly to remind judges (and if necessary, provide the judges with a reason as to why you chose this argument to address)**
- 3. Provide your analysis as to why, based on the debate (and some new information you can add yourself), your side has won that argument**
- 4. Explain what that means for the debate as a whole (i.e no reason to affirm regarding jobs, negative has no ground in economic output, etc.)**