

Policy Debate Ballot

NATIONAL FURENSIC LEAGUE															
Tournan Date:	nent	11/1/17 - 11/1/18			Tournament Location:			West High School							
Round:	ΙA	Room:	322	Division: 🗸	Judge Name:			Jane Ju	dge	Judge School:	West	High S	choc	ol	
Affirmative Code Red AB								Negative Coo	de	В	lue CD				
Print debaters' names below; award 18-30 pts.; rank 1-4 → Points						Rank	Print debaters' names below; award 18-30 pts.; rank 1-4 →					Points	Rank		
1A:	1A: Ashoka Ahmad 27						4	1N: Cam Caldwell				27.5	3		
2A:	Bianca Bazzoli					28	-	2N: De'vonte Daniels					28	2	
Decision : ☐ Affirmative ☒ Negative Team/Code:							Blue CD Low-po					Low-point v	t win? 🗌 Yes		

Reasons for Decision (provide detailed justification referring to central issues presented in the round, for debaters and their coaches):

IAC: Advantages need stronger link stories, especially advantage 2. I don't see based on the Collar 2017 card how immigrants are key. It seems to only talk about a few isolated incidences. Also in your cross-x-immigrants from the border are not just coming from Mexico, and asylum seekers have nothing to do with the visas that you are talking about in your speech.

2AC: You had some strong cards, especially on the economy flow (griswald 17). Consider moving this into the IAC. Try to keep your strongest cards in your IAC. Try to answer their other 3 off case arguments, like base da, unions da, and neoliberalism K. I understand that you may not have the cards for it, but give it a shot and try to answer their arguments. If things don't make sense, really utilize your CX time to flush your questions out so you have more to say in your speech. If all else fails, just extend your case! Some generic CX questions you can ask: What is the status of your K? How does the world of the alternative look like? How do we link into the K? What are the implications to the K? Why can't we do both the alternative and the plan (permutation)?

IAR: I understand that you feel overwhelmed with their arguments, but you should still take prep, especially before the IAR. Try to answer their neoliberalism K, do your best-this is their strongest argument and if you don't answer it, it essentially functions as a huge solvency deficit to your advantages.

2AC: I appreciated your introduction! They kicked out of T, so there is no reason to discuss this any further-they went for the CP and the DA's.

Reason for decision: I voted for Neg because all the A's advantages were mitigated by both DA's, and T was essentially the only argument extended during the 2AC (negative kicked out of T).